



LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE/  
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE  
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331  
P.O. BOX 1460, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460  
[www.lacountyiswmtf.org](http://www.lacountyiswmtf.org)

November 30, 2015

TO: All City Mayors, City Council Members, and City Managers in Los Angeles County

**URGENT REQUEST TO ATTEND DECEMBER 8, 2015, WORKSHOP ON THE STATE'S PROPOSED LANDFILL TIPPING FEE INCREASE AND NEW SOLID WASTE GENERATOR CHARGE**

On behalf of the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force), and as a follow up to our July 21, 2015, letter (Enclosed), I am writing to bring to your attention the current status of Assembly Bill 1063 (AB 1063, Williams, 2015). As indicated in our letter of July 21, 2015, due to increase in recycling resulting in a decrease in waste being delivered to landfills and thus a decrease in the State's income, the State through AB 1063 is proposing new and higher fees on cities and counties. AB 1063 would substantially increase the State's existing solid waste disposal fee and create a new State's Solid Waste Generator Charge to be imposed on all solid waste generators (residences and businesses). The new Solid Waste Generator Charge is to be collected by cities and counties, and transferred to the State for use in achieving its recycling goal of 75 percent.

The bill has been held in the Senate Environmental Quality Committee, pending the results of two workshops to be conducted by CalRecycle to obtain stakeholder feedback. One workshop will be conducted at the South Coast Air Quality Management District Headquarters in Diamond Bar, on December 8, 2015, from 1:00 P.M. to 5:00 P.M. It is **extremely important** for local governments to participate in this workshop given the potentially significant impacts and costs to them associated with this proposal.

Therefore, **we urge you to attend the workshop** to express your concerns and suggestions regarding the proposed landfill disposal fee increases, new Solid Waste Generator Charge, and other mechanisms to fund large State investments in solid waste management and recycling infrastructure. Enclosed for your reference is the workshop agenda.

November 30, 2015

Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer of the Task Force at [MikeMohajer@yahoo.com](mailto:MikeMohajer@yahoo.com) or at (909) 592-1147.

Sincerely,



Margaret Clark, Vice-Chair  
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/  
Integrated Waste Management Task Force and  
Mayor, City of Rosemead

JJ:fm

P:\...\TF\TF\2015\AB1063ltr2CitiesonCalRecycleWorkshop

Enc.

cc: Assembly Member Das Williams  
CalRecycle (Scott Smithline, Christine Hironaka, Howard Levenson)  
Each member of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors  
Patrick Ogawa, Acting Executive Officer, Los Angeles County Board of  
Supervisors  
Sachi A. Hamai, Los Angeles County Chief Executive Officer  
California State Association of Counties  
League of California Cities, Los Angeles Division  
San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments  
South Bay Cities Council of Governments  
Gateway Cities Council of Governments  
Westside Cities Council of Governments  
Each City Mayor, City Council Member, and City Manager in the County of Los Angeles  
Each City Recycling Coordinator in Los Angeles County  
Each Member of the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County  
Each Member of the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force



LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE/  
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE  
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331  
P.O. BOX 1460, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460  
[www.lacountyiswmtf.org](http://www.lacountyiswmtf.org)

July 21, 2015

TO: All City Mayors and City Managers in Los Angeles County

**URGENT REQUEST FOR LETTER TO OPPOSE ASSEMBLY BILL 1063 AS AMENDED ON JULY 15, 2015: INCREASING LANDFILL TIPPING FEE AND ESTABLISHING SOLID WASTE GENERATOR CHARGE ON ALL RESIDENTS AND BUSINESSES TO BE COLLECTED BY CITIES**

On behalf of the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force), I am writing to share our concerns regarding the potential consequences your jurisdiction may face if Assembly Bill 1063 (AB 1063) as amended July 15, 2015, were to be enacted. The bill would substantially increase the State's solid waste disposal fee from \$1.40/ton to \$5.00/ton (an increase of approx. \$137 million per year), effective January 2017, and would create a solid waste generator charge on all generators of solid waste throughout the State, including all residences and business, effective January 2019—to be collected by cities and counties. The amount of solid waste generator charge initially would be approximately \$15/year for each single family home and higher for multi-family residences and businesses. Furthermore, this amount is expected to rise in future years based on the operational needs of the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle). The Task Force urges your city to **oppose** this bill which was developed with no transparency or involvement of Southern California stakeholders, including the 88 incorporated cities in Los Angeles County.

Since the enactment of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939) and subsequent enacted statutes, cities and counties have worked extremely hard and have borne a significant cost to maximize their solid waste recycling activities while minimizing their solid waste landfill disposal. As a result, jurisdictions across the State have reduced their solid waste landfill disposal by over 60 percent as compared to 1990. With the provisions of AB 1063, jurisdictions would be penalized by the State because of its aggressive recycling program implementation which has caused a reduction in the revenues being generated by landfill tipping fees and collected by the State. CalRecycle which oversees solid waste management activities for the State, has been well aware of this structural problem with their current revenue generation mechanism for years, and yet have elected to ignore the issue completely. Now, they are proposing to penalize jurisdictions for their success in reducing their disposal tonnages via AB 1063 as amended on July 15, 2015.

All City Mayors and City Managers  
July 21, 2015  
Page 2

While the Task Force understands CalRecycle's revenue dilemma, it questions its lack of transparency in the matter as affected stakeholders such as cities throughout Los Angeles County were not involved in the development of the proposal. The Task Force has provided a detailed list of mitigating measures in a letter addressed to the Chair of the Senate Environmental Quality Committee (enclosed), which, if CalRecycle welcomed, would quell a number of concerns with the provisions of the bill. Chief among the mitigating measures is to require CalRecycle to release a statutorily required report that was due to the legislature nearly two years ago which is indented to provide the legislature with recommendations on how to carry-out waste diversion activities for the State.

We encourage you to evaluate the impacts the bill will pose on your residents and businesses, as well as your City's resources. It is imperative that all cities express their opposition to this bill at the earliest possible opportunity as it has been moving through the legislature with little opposition thus far (partly due to last minute amendments). For your convenience, also enclosed is a sample letter which your City may use to express its concerns over AB 1063 as amended on July 15, 2015.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer of the Task Force at [MikeMohajer@yahoo.com](mailto:MikeMohajer@yahoo.com) or at (909) 592-1147.

Sincerely,



Margaret Clark, Vice-Chair  
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/  
Integrated Waste Management Task Force and  
Mayor, City of Rosemead

GA:fm

P:\eppub\EA\EA\TF\TF\Letters\2015\AB1063Opoose-Cities7-21-15

Enc.

cc: Governor Edmund G. Brown  
Assembly Member Das Williams  
Each member of the Senate Environmental Quality Committee and Staff  
Each Member of the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force



LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE/  
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE  
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331  
P.O. BOX 1460, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460  
[www.lacountyiswmtf.org](http://www.lacountyiswmtf.org)

July 21, 2015

The Honorable Bob Wieckowski, Chair  
Environmental Quality Committee  
State Capital Room 2205  
Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Senator Wieckowski:

**ASSEMBLY BILL 1063 – LAST AMENDED JULY 15, 2015**  
**SOLID WASTE CHARGES: OPPOSE**

The Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force) **opposes** Assembly Bill 1063 (AB 1063) as amended July 15, 2015. Among other things, this bill would:

- Substantially increase the Disposal Fee imposed on operators of disposal facilities for each ton of solid waste disposed at their facility from the current rate of \$1.40 per ton to \$5.00/ton (an increase of over 250%, generating an additional \$137 million per year, based on 2013 disposal rate) effective January 1, 2017. The Fee would then be reduced to \$3.50 per ton effective January 2022.
- Create and impose a new fee (Solid Waste Generator Charge) on all solid waste generators, including residents, businesses, public institutions, and self-haulers throughout the State, effective January 1, 2019. Initially, the Charge is set to generate \$15 million/year through December 31, 2021. Effective January 1, 2022, the Solid Waste Generation Charge would be established based on the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery's (CalRecycle) annual operation cost.
- Declare that the bill is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Revenues derived from the above fees would be allocated towards activities that promote recycling and what CalRecycle deems as the highest and best use of materials.

Pursuant to Chapter 3.67 of the Los Angeles County Code and the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939 [AB 939], as amended), the Task Force is responsible for coordinating the development of all major solid waste planning documents prepared for the County of Los Angeles and the 88 cities in Los Angeles

County with a combined population in excess of ten million. Consistent with these responsibilities and to ensure a coordinated, cost-effective and environmentally sound solid waste management system in Los Angeles County, the Task Force also addresses issues impacting the system on a countywide basis. The Task Force membership includes representatives of the League of California Cities-Los Angeles County Division, County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors, City of Los Angeles, the waste management industry, environmental groups, the public, and a number of other governmental agencies.

The July 15, 2015, amendments were developed with little engagement of the select-stakeholders and appear to have solely been developed by CalRecycle staff and a chosen few which, to the best of our knowledge, excluded cities in Los Angeles County and the County. The lack of engagement by the most affected/impacted stakeholders combined with the lack of adequate transparency by CalRecycle may explain why the tipping fee would be increased more than three and half-fold without compelling justification for such substantial increase.

Since the enactment of AB 939 and subsequent enacted statutes, cities and counties have worked extremely hard and have borne a significant cost to maximize their solid waste recycling activities while minimizing their solid waste landfill disposal. As a result, jurisdictions across the State have reduced their solid waste landfill disposal by over 60 percent as compared to 1990. With the provisions of AB 1063, jurisdictions would be penalized by the State because of its aggressive recycling program implementation which has caused a reduction in the revenues being generated by landfill tipping fees and collected by CalRecycle. CalRecycle has been well aware of this structural problem with their current revenue generation mechanism, and yet have elected to ignore the issue completely. Now, they are proposing to penalize jurisdictions for their success in reducing their disposal tonnages via AB 1063 as amended on July 15, 2015.

In order to assist CalRecycle in addressing their existing fee structural problem while considering the dilemma posed on cities and counties to collect the proposed Solid Waste Generator Charge, the Task Force offers the following for CalRecycle's consideration:

1. Finalize and release the final report that was required by AB 341 (Chapter 476, 2012) as stipulated in Section 41780.02 of the Public Resources Code including, but not limited to, Subdivision (b) (4), (5), and (7). This report is intended to provide the legislature with, among other things, recommendations for legislative changes (including, but not limited to fees) that are necessary to achieve the 75 percent source reduction, recycling, and composting goal established pursuant to AB 341. The Task Force assumes the report has been completed, as it was due more than one and a half years ago, however, the Task Force is left to wonder what CalRecycle is hiding otherwise the report would have been released as required by law.

2. Review and eliminate any unnecessary rules, regulations, policies, procedures, and guidelines which are currently in existence and promote micromanagement by CalRecycle and/or currently being developed and pursued by CalRecycle; an example are the guidelines currently being finalized by CalRecycle as a part of AB 1826 (Chesbro, 2014) implementation. Laws which require guidelines and/or frequently ask questions (FAQ) with wide-spread consequences throughout the State ought to have a transparent stakeholder regulatory process in which the resulting regulations carry the weight of law. However, CalRecycle has chosen to forego this process, instead releasing guidelines for AB 1826 and AB 1126 (Gordon, 2013). These guidelines do not carry the weight of law and thus are merely interpretations of said legislation which leaves affected jurisdictions to only wonder if they will be reinterpreted at a later date when CalRecycle so chooses.
3. Implement approaches that reflect the changing nature of the solid waste management system. For example, CalRecycle has long supported Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) as a mechanism to alleviate local governments from the expensive practice of managing solid waste. However, CalRecycle has not introduced legislation that would create an EPR system for difficult to manage wastes. For example, placing a fee on manufacturers who create the waste that local governments must manage would incentivize manufacturers to redesign their products to minimize components, including packaging, that need to be managed.
4. Rather than place all of the revenue loss on disposal facilities, consider imposition of a tipping fee at all non-disposal facilities to provide for the loss of revenues from the disposal facilities' tipping fees.
5. Avoid any mandate(s) on local governments requiring them to collect "fees", "charges", "assessments", "taxes", or any other revenue generating mechanisms from cities' and counties' residents and businesses, and then transfer said revenues to the State. Local governments are already stretched in complying with the diversion and recycling mandates placed on them. To then force them to collect on behalf of the State in order to recoup decreased revenue reinforces the notion that CalRecycle is out of touch with the difficulties jurisdictions are experiencing complying with solid waste requirements and lack of viable alternative options.
6. Recognize and mitigate the fact that residents, businesses, and other stakeholders at the local level will care little that the proposed fees and increases are from the State. They will only see that their waste management, recycling, and collection rates are going up, and will balk, making it very difficult to implement the local rate increases. As such, any fees assessed should be charged and collected directly by the State/CalRecycle, rather than being imposed upon local governments for collection and administration.

7. If local governments are to be required to collect fees on behalf of the State, CalRecycle should reimburse local governments for collection. As stated above, local governments are already stretched thin complying with State solid waste management requirements; at the very least the State should repay local governments for this service.
8. Develop a set of criteria in concert with affected stakeholders for grant/loan eligibility/distribution. In order to assure fair and equitable distribution of funding, criteria must include factors involving population and State geographical location. For too long, the Los Angeles County region has been short-changed when it comes to recouping funding it has provided to the State for solid waste endeavors.
9. Deposit all revenues generated pursuant to AB 1063 in the Integrated Solid Waste Management Account. Limit the use of any funds out of the Account to CalRecycle only and prohibit the use of these funds by other CalEPA member agencies, as well as any other State agency or fund/account.

For the reasons described above, the Task Force **opposes** AB 1063 as amended on July 15, 2015. The Task Force welcomes a transparent process for this proposal and would appreciate inclusion thereof. Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer of the Task Force at [MikeMohajer@yahoo.com](mailto:MikeMohajer@yahoo.com) or at (909) 592-1147.

Sincerely,



Margaret Clark, Vice-Chair  
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/  
Integrated Waste Management Task Force and  
Mayor, City of Rosemead

GA:fm

P:\leppub\EA\EA\TF\TF\Letters\2015\AB1063Oppose07-21-15

cc: Governor Edmund G. Brown  
Governor Brown's Deputy Legislative Secretary Martha Guzman-Aceves  
Assembly Member Das Williams  
Each member of the Senate Environmental Quality Committee and Staff  
CalRecycle (Ken DeRosa, Scott Smithline & Christine Hironaka)  
California State Association of Counties  
League of California Cities  
League of California Cities, Los Angeles County Division

The Honorable Bob Wieckowski

July 21, 2015

Page 5

Each member of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Gateway Cities Council of Governments

Westside Cities Council of Governments

Each City Mayor and City Manager in the County of Los Angeles

Each City Recycling Coordinator in Los Angeles County

Each Member of the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force

\_\_\_\_\_, 2015

The Honorable Bob Wieckowski, Chair  
Environmental Quality Committee  
State Capital Room 2205 Sacramento,  
California 95814

Dear Senator Wieckowski:

**ASSEMBLY BILL 1063 – SOLID WASTE CHARGES: OPPOSE**

The City of \_\_\_\_\_ opposes Assembly Bill 1063 (AB 1063) as amended July 15, 2015, for the following reasons:

- The development of the July 15, 2015 amendments for AB 1063 excluded affected stakeholders in the Los Angeles County region. The lack of transparency for a bill with substantial repercussions throughout the State is imprudent and only leaves our city to assume that the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) staff alone developed the language.
- CalRecycle has not released the AB 341 Report which was due to the Legislature on January 1, 2014. This report, required pursuant to AB 341 (Chesbro, 2012), is intended to, among other things, provide the legislature with guidance as to how to prioritize legislation to accomplish the State's robust solid waste management goals and the needed financial resources.
- The bill would force local governments to collect a solid waste generator fee on its residents and businesses with no mechanism to recoup resources utilized to collect said fee.
- The bill provides no assurance that revenue collected from disposal facilities, residents, and businesses, would be proportionally invested in the region where the monies originated from.

CalRecycle has been well aware of the structural problem with their current revenue generation mechanism for years, and yet elected to ignore the issue completely. Now, they are proposing to penalize jurisdictions for their success in reducing their disposal tonnages via AB 1063, as amended, on July 15, 2015.

For these reasons, the City of \_\_\_\_\_ **opposes** AB 1063 and welcomes an open discussion to resolve the revenue dilemma CalRecycle finds itself in. Should you have any questions, please contact \_\_\_\_\_

Sincerely,

cc: Governor Edmund G. Brown  
Governor Brown's Deputy Legislative Secretary Martha Guzman-Aceves  
Assembly Member Das Williams

Each member of the Senate Environmental Quality Committee and Staff  
CalRecycle (Ken DeRosa, Scott Smithline & Christine Hironaka)  
California State Association of Counties  
League of California Cities  
League of California Cities, Los Angeles County Division  
Each member of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors  
San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments  
South Bay Cities Council of Governments  
Gateway Cities Council of Governments  
Westside Cities Council of Governments  
Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force

**California's Environmental Goals and Funding Waste Management Infrastructure  
December 8, 2015  
1:00-5:00PM South Coast Air Quality Management District Auditorium**

- I. Welcome and Introduction:** Scott Smithline, Director
- II. History, Current Funding Shortfall, Funding to Achieve New Goals**
  - i. **The Integrated Waste Management Act (AB 939 (Sher) Chapter 1095, Statutes of 1989)**
  - ii. **Overview of the Integrated Waste Management Account**
  - iii. **New Goals and Mandates**
- III. Mechanisms for Achieving California's Environmental Goals**
  - i. **Infrastructure and Financing Needs**
  - ii. **State Investments – *Possible Approaches***

-----Public Comment-----
- IV. Sustainable Funding Strategies to Support California's Environmental Goals**
  - i. **Possible Funding Mechanisms**
  - ii. **Implementing a Statewide Charge on Solid Waste Generation**

-----Public Comment-----