

ATTACHMENT I

**PowerPoint Presentation on
Revisions to Chapter 4 of the Countywide Siting Element**

Countywide Siting Element Chapter 4 Revisions

May 17, 2007

Chuk Agu



FOCUS OF PRESENTATION

- Major Assumptions
- Major Revisions
- Methodology for Projections/Analysis
- Next Step

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS

- Purpose of Chapter
 - To quantify current disposal rate in LA County, and
 - Address the disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities and unincorporated County areas for the 15-year planning period

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- **15-year planning period for revised CSE**
 - Anticipated as 2009 - 2024
- **Basis of Chapter 4 revision**
 - 2005 Annual Report
 - 📅 Planning period: 2005 – 2020
- **Base year for projections: 2005**
- **Source of base year data**
 - DRS, SWIMS, 2006 Facility Survey

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- **Disposal need analysis period**
 - 2005 – 2025
- **1990 disposal quantities and capacity discussion**
 - Required per CCR Section 18755.3
- **Existing landfill capacity**
 - **Fully permitted capacities**
 - Full SWFP permit
 - CUP/LUP, WDR, AQMD

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- **Proposed landfill expansion**
 - Expansions not yet fully permitted
- **Year of expansion capacity**
 - Permitted expansion
 - ▣ existing
 - Proposed expansion:
 - ▣ Assumed based on available information

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- Termination of landfill capacity: (First in time)
 - Exhaustion of permitted capacity
 - Completion of approved fill design
 - Expiration of permit
 - ☐ CUP/LUP
 - ☐ SWFP, WDR, AQMD
 - Closure date

- Landfill Operation
 - In-place density: 1,200 lb/cy

 - Average days of operation:
 - ☐ 6-days per week
 - ☐ 312 days per year

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- Inert waste landfills
 - Formerly unclassified landfills
 - Capacity of inert waste landfills with full SWFP are included in the analysis
 - ☐ Azusa Land Reclamation Landfill
 - ☐ Peck Road Gravel Pit
- Brand Park Landfill
 - Permitted as class III landfill
 - Only accept inert waste
 - Included as inert waste landfill
- Inert Debris Engineered Fill Operations
 - Capacity not included in the analysis

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- Out-of-County waste exports
 - Status quo: current rate of 7,500 tpd
- In-County waste imports
 - Status quo: current rate of 800 tpd
 - 1990 – 1995: imports included in disposal rate
 - 1996 – 2025: exports excluded in disposal rate

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- Diversion rate
 - Status quo: 50%
 - Increased rate: 60% in 2020
- Conversion technology capacity
 - 1,500 tpd in 2014
 - 3,000 tpd in 2018 and above

MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

- Waste Generation/Projection Methodology
 - CIWMB Adjustment Methodology
- Waste Generation/Projection Factors
 - UCLA, Long Term Forecast of LA County, June 2006
 - ▣ Countywide population, employment and taxable sales
 - ▣ Taxable sales data considers the real dollar value

MAJOR REVISIONS

- Eliminate sections, tables, and scenarios with new in-County Class III landfills
- Eliminate sections, tables, and scenarios with Alternative Daily Cover
- Eliminate “summary of scenario” tables to avoid redundancies
 - Tables 4-6, 4-8, 4-12, and 4-14

MAJOR REVISIONS (Cont'd)

- Added new tables
 - Table 4-5: 2005 solid waste generation
 - Table 4-6: Solid waste generation projections
 - Table 4-8: Summary of disposal capacity need analysis scenarios

MAJOR REVISIONS (Cont'd)

- Added new disposal capacity need analysis scenarios
 - Scenario 1: existing landfills only (worst case)
 - Scenario 2: proposed expansions plus exports
 - Scenario 5: increased diversion rate
 - Scenario 6: conversion technology capacity

METHODOLOGY

- Determine 2005 solid waste generation rate
 - See Table 4-5
 - Use actual 2005 in-County disposal data
 - Assume 50% diversion rate
 - Exclude imports
- Determine solid waste generation/projection factors
 - See Table 4-6
 - See Figure 4-1
 - UCLA Long Term Forecast for LA County, June 06

METHODOLOGY (Cont'd)

- Determine annual solid waste generation projections
 - See Table 4-6
 - Based on the computed 2005 solid waste generation rate

METHODOLOGY (Cont'd)

- Determine solid waste disposal capacity requirement
 - See Table 4-7
 - Assume 50% diversion rate
 - Subtract transformation facility capacity
 - Calculate class III landfill disposal capacity requirements (in tons/year)
 - Assume 1,200 lb/cy in-place density
 - Calculate class III landfill disposal capacity requirements (in cy/year)

METHODOLOGY (Cont'd)

- Determine disposal need analysis scenarios (See Table 4-8)
 - 1 -- existing disposal capacity only
 - 2 – existing capacity plus current exports
 - 3 – existing and expanded capacity only
 - 4 – existing and expanded capacity and exports
 - 5 – plus increased diversion rate
 - 6 – plus conversion technologies

METHODOLOGY (Cont'd)

- Determine class III landfill daily disposal capacity shortfall
 - Determine daily waste generation rate
 - ▣ based on 312 days/year of operation
 - Determine in-County class III landfills daily disposal need
 - ▣ Obtain daily disposal rate
 - ▣ Apply 50% (or increased) diversion rate
 - ▣ Add import rate
 - ▣ Subtract export rate
 - ▣ Subtract transformation facilities disposal rate

METHODOLOGY (Cont'd)

- Determine class III landfill daily disposal capacity shortfall (Cont'd)
 - Determine in-County class III landfills daily disposal capacity short fall
 - ☐ Subtract permitted daily disposal rate
 - for existing in-County class III landfills with remaining disposal capacity
 - ☐ Calculate class III landfill disposal shortfall

NEXT STEPS

- Incorporate Subcommittee comments
- Update Figures and Tables based on the approved 2005 Annual Report
- Submit draft Chapter 4 to Subcommittee
- Final update of revised Chapter 4 will be based on the last Annual Report before completion of CSE revision and approval process

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS

