

INITIAL STUDY

HARBOR-UCLA MEDICAL CENTER CAMPUS MASTER PLAN PROJECT

CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA



NOVEMBER 2014

INITIAL STUDY

HARBOR-UCLA MEDICAL CENTER CAMPUS MASTER PLAN PROJECT

CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

Prepared For:

County of Los Angeles

c/o Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

900 S. Fremont Avenue

Alhambra, California 91803

Tel: 626-300-2363

Contact: Clarice Nash, Project Manager, Project Management Division I

Prepared By:

PCR Services Corporation

2121 Alton Parkway, Suite 100

Irvine, California 92606

NOVEMBER 2014

Table of Contents

	Page
ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST	1
ATTACHMENT A: PROJECT DESCRIPTION	A-1
A. Introduction.....	A-1
B. Project Location and Surrounding Uses.....	A-1
C. Existing Conditions.....	A-2
D. Planning and Zoning	A-7
E. Description of the Proposed Project	A-7
F. Construction Phasing.....	A-11
G. Required Approvals.....	A-11
ATTACHMENT B EXPLANATION OF CHECKLIST DETERMINATIONS	B-1
I. Aesthetics	B-1
II. Agricultural and Forestry Resources	B-2
III. Air Quality	B-4
IV. Biological Resources	B-5
V. Cultural Resources.....	B-8
VI. Energy	B-11
VII. Geology and Soils.....	B-11
VIII. Greenhouse Gases	B-14
IX. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	B-14
X. Hydrology and Water Quality	B-17
XI. Land Use and Planning.....	B-19
XII. Mineral Resources	B-20
XIII. Noise	B-20
XIV. Population and Housing	B-22
XV. Public Services.....	B-23
XVI. Recreation	B-25
XVII. Transportation and Circulation.....	B-26
XVIII. Utilities and Service Systems.....	B-28
XIX. Mandatory Findings of Significance	B-31

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Historic Resources Report

List of Figures

	Page
A-1	Regional and Vicinity MapA-3
A-2	Aerial Photograph with Surrounding Land UsesA-4
A-3	Existing Medical Campus BuildingsA-5
A-4	Proposed Medical Campus PlanA-9
A-5	Proposed Vehicular Circulation PlanA-13

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title

Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project

2. Lead agency name and address:

County of Los Angeles

c/o Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

900 S. Fremont Avenue

Alhambra, CA 91803

3. Contact person and phone number:

Clarice Nash, Project Manager, Project Management Division I

Phone: (626) 300-2363

4. Project location:

1000 W. Carson Street

Torrance, CA 90502

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

County of Los Angeles

900 S. Fremont Avenue

Alhambra, CA 91803

6. General plan designation:

Public and Semi Public

7. Zoning:

C-3 Unlimited Commercial/TOD

8. Description of project:

The proposed Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project ("Project") involves the multi-phased development of hospital, outpatient, research, and support facilities through the year 2030 and beyond. The proposed Project would expand development on the existing Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus ("Medical Campus") from the current developed 1,050,000 square feet to approximately 1,900,000 square feet, which would involve the demolition of some existing buildings, rehabilitation/reuse of a number of existing buildings, and construction of new buildings.

9. Surrounding land uses and setting:

The 72-acre County-owned Medical Campus is located in the unincorporated Los Angeles community of West Carson, which roughly encompasses the 2.3-square-mile area between the Harbor Freeway on the east and Normandie Avenue on the west, and Del Amo Boulevard on the north and Lomita Boulevard on the south. The Medical Campus is bordered by Carson Street on the north, 220th Street on the south, Vermont Avenue on the east, and Normandie Avenue on the west. The Harbor Freeway (I-110) is located one block east of the Medical Campus and the San Diego Freeway (I-405) is located

approximately 2 miles to the north and northeast. Surrounding communities include the Cities of Gardena, Lawndale, and Hawthorne to the north; the City of Carson, east of the Harbor Freeway; the Harbor Gateway community, part of the City of Los Angeles, and the City of Torrance to the west; and the Harbor City community, part of the City of Los Angeles, and the City of Lomita to the south.

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required

State of California

- California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD)
- California Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics

PURPOSE OF THE INITIAL STUDY

The proposed Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan is analyzed in this Initial Study, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), to determine if approval of the Project may have a significant impact on the environment. This Initial Study has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of CEQA, under Public Resources Code 21000-21177, of the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387) and under the guidance of the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works. The County of Los Angeles is the Lead Agency under CEQA.

The County has decided to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) rather than a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project and therefore an Initial Study is not required. Notwithstanding the early decision to prepare an EIR, the County has also decided to complete an Initial Study to assist in the preparation of the EIR and to facilitate environmental assessment early in the design process.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture and Forestry Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Energy |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hazards/Hazardous Materials |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Utilities and Service Systems |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance | | |


DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.



October 30, 2014

Signature

Date

Clarice R. Nash

Printed Name

For

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 2) A list of "Supporting Information Sources" should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 3) Impact Columns Heading Definitions:
 - "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
 - "Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The mitigation measures must be described, along with a brief explanation of how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level.
 - "Less Than Significant Impact" applies where the project creates no significant impacts, only Less Than Significant impacts.
 - "No Impact" applies where a project does not create an impact in that category. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one proposed (e.g., the project falls outside of a

fault rupture zone). A “No Impact” answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

- 4) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - Mitigation Measures. For effects that are “Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated,” describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 5) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 6) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<u>I. AESTHETICS</u> – Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES</u> – In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment of and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and forest carbon measurements methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project::				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 1220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
III. AIR QUALITY – Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES – Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
VI. ENERGY – Would the project:				
a) Conflict with Los Angeles County Green Building Ordinance (L.A. County Code Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 20 and Title 21, § 21.24.440) or Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 21, § 21.24.430 and Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 21)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Involve the inefficient use of energy resources (see Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS – Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<u>VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS</u> – Would the Project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment, based on any applicable threshold of significance?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> – Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY –				
Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alternation of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<u>XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING</u> – Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<u>XII. MINERAL RESOURCES</u> – Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<u>XIII. NOISE</u> – Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise level in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING</u> – Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

XV. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

Fire protection?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

XVI. RECREATION

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

XVII. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC – Would the project:

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to, level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities??	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS – Would the project:

a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Conflict with Los Angeles County Green Building Ordinance (L.A. County Code Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 20 and Title 21, § 21.24.440) or Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 21, § 21.24.430 and Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 21)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i) Involve the inefficient use of energy resources (see Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Issues:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<u>XIV. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE</u>				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ATTACHMENT A: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

ATTACHMENT A: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. INTRODUCTION

Los Angeles County proposes the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project (“Project”) to address the future needs of the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus (“Medical Campus”). The Project is based upon the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan, which was completed in June 2012 and will serve as a policy document “guideline” for the Project.

The Project encompasses the addition of a new hospital tower providing acute care services for compliance with seismic requirements which become effective beginning in 2030, renovation of the existing Hospital building for other uses, replacement of other aging facilities and buildings, redesigned vehicular and pedestrian access and circulation, and implementation of a cohesive design that enhances the experience of staff, patients, and visitors. The Project is expected to meet short-term needs as well as long-term needs beyond 2030.

The existing Medical Campus contains approximately 1,050,000 square feet of floor area, including the recently completed Surgery and Emergency Room Replacement Project and recently approved expansion of the Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute’s (“LA BioMed”) research facilities. At buildout, the Medical Campus will contain approximately 1,900,000 square feet of developed floor area. A new, centrally located Hospital Tower (“New Hospital Tower”) would be the focal point of the Medical Campus. Outpatient facilities would be consolidated to allow proximity of these services to each other and the New Hospital Tower.

B. PROJECT LOCATION AND SURROUNDING USES

The 72-acre County-owned Medical Campus is located in the unincorporated Los Angeles community of West Carson, which encompasses a 2.3-square-mile area between the Harbor Freeway on the east and Normandie Avenue on the west, and Del Amo Boulevard on the north and Lomita Boulevard on the south. The Medical Campus is bordered by Carson Street on the north, 220th Street on the south, Vermont Avenue on the east, and Normandie Avenue on the west. The Harbor Freeway (I-110) is located one block east of the Medical Campus and the San Diego Freeway (I-405) is located approximately 2 miles to the north and northeast. The Medical Campus location is illustrated in **Figure A-1**, *Regional and Vicinity Map*.

Surrounding communities include the Cities of Gardena, Lawndale, and Hawthorne to the north; the City of Carson east of the Harbor Freeway; the Harbor Gateway community, part of the City of Los Angeles, and the City of Torrance to the west; and the Harbor City community, part of the City of Los Angeles, and the City of Lomita to the south.

Figure A-2, *Aerial Photograph with Surrounding Land Uses*, is an aerial photograph of the Medical Campus and vicinity. Carson Street, to the north, is largely developed with commercial uses, primarily neighborhood retail businesses and medical/dental services. A multifamily residential apartment complex, Harbor Cove Villa, is located west of the intersection with Vermont Avenue. The area north of Carson Street is a

predominantly single-family residential neighborhood. Vermont Avenue, to the east, is developed with a mix of neighborhood retail uses and medical services, the Torrance Park Villas condominium complex, and Starlite Trailer Park and Rainbow Mobile Home Park. Wholesale and light industrial uses are located to the southeast along 220th Street. Residential neighborhoods border the Medical Campus to the south, across 220th Street, and west, across Normandie Avenue within the Harbor City community. Off-site parking serving LA BioMed is located across 220th Street from the Medical Campus.



C. EXISTING CONDITIONS

1. Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Uses

The existing Medical Campus layout is illustrated in **Figure A-3, Existing Medical Campus Buildings**. The Main Hospital, related treatment facilities, and the majority of support facilities occupy the eastern quarter of the Medical Campus, while buildings occupied by LA BioMed take up the majority of the central Medical Campus, and the majority of outpatient services, including Harbor-UCLA Medical Foundation, Inc. (“MFI”) and the related Imaging Center, Children’s Institute International (“CII”), and other facilities, occupy the western end of the Medical Campus. Patient diagnostic facilities, administration offices, and additional functions are scattered throughout the Medical Campus. Most of the facilities in the central Medical Campus were constructed prior to 1960, including barracks and temporary/modular buildings that occupy much of the Medical Campus land area. The first major expansion of the existing 1962 Hospital building, the Surgery and Emergency Room Replacement Project, was completed in 2013. This project increased the size of the existing emergency room by 50,000 square feet and added 38 new emergency bays as well as 190,000 square feet of space containing surgery suites, adult and pediatric triage, and a new entrance lobby and waiting area. A new 544-space parking structure and heliport were also constructed.

LA BioMed presently occupies a number of older buildings throughout the Medical Campus and intends to consolidate its operations within a smaller 11.4-acre leasehold (“LA BioMed Campus”) in the south-central portion of the Medical Campus. Four new buildings have been constructed on the LA BioMed Campus since 2000, and in September 2014, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors approved a development plan for the LA BioMed Campus to meet LA BioMed’s near-term facility needs. The LA BioMed development plan proposes the construction of approximately 70,700 net new square feet of floor area within the LA BioMed Campus to accommodate the relocation and consolidation of existing uses and operations from older buildings elsewhere on the Medical Campus, and does not constitute an expansion of LA BioMed operations. Potential future expansion of the LA BioMed Campus beyond the recently approved development plan, together with the disposition of older buildings on the Medical Campus to be eventually vacated by LA BioMed, are included in the overall development program for the Project.

Other newer facilities constructed on the Medical Campus since the 1980s include buildings housing Hospital-related outpatient services and major tenants MFI and CII at the western end of the Medical Campus. Overall, the existing layout of the Medical Campus reflects its piecemeal growth over time, and the scattered, aging buildings and infrastructure have become inefficient to operate and maintain, contributing to serious logistical obstacles and service deficiencies. In particular, the Main Hospital, Primary Care and Diagnostics Center (“PCDC”), and outpatient clinics are currently running at or near capacity and existing facilities provide no physical room for growth. Other facility and programmatic shortfalls include a lack of on-site amenities for patients and visitors and a shortage of adequate teaching space for the medical school internship and continuing education programs.



Regional and Vicinity Map

Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project
 Source: ESRI Street Map, 2009; PCR Services Corporation, 2014.

FIGURE

A-1



LEGEND

- | | | | |
|------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| LA BIOMED | TREATMENT | MATERIALS MANAGEMENT | CHILDREN'S INSTITUTE INT. |
| OUTPATIENT | DIAGNOSTICS | FACILITIES MANAGEMENT | LABIOMED SITE BOUNDARY |
| HOSPITAL | ADMINISTRATION | UTILITIES | PROPERTY LINE |



Existing Medical Campus Buildings

Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project
 Source: Perkins+Will, 2012.

This page is intentionally blank.

2. Circulation and Parking

Vehicular access to the Medical Campus is provided by the primary driveway on Carson Street, near the Main Hospital; two driveways on Vermont Avenue; five driveways on 220th Street; and one driveway on Normandie Avenue. Only the Carson Street driveway is signalized. Internal circulation follows the original grid layout established on the Medical Campus, with four east-west roadways and numerous short north-south connector roadways. Most internal intersections of two roadways or drive aisles are stop-sign controlled.

The parking supply on the Medical Campus totals 2,905 spaces, which exceeds the County's parking code requirement of 2,709 spaces.¹ This supply includes 2,168 standard spaces and 124 American with Disabilities Act ("ADA") spaces in designated surface parking lots and the new parking structure in the southeast corner of the Medical Campus, and 596 standard spaces and 17 ADA spaces along the internal streets. An additional 281 spaces (278 standard spaces and three ADA spaces) are provided in off-site parking facilities, and street parking is permitted along all or portions of the four public streets surrounding the Medical Campus.

D. PLANNING AND ZONING

The Medical Campus is designated for Public and Semi-Public use in the Los Angeles County General Plan and has a zoning designation of C-3 (Unlimited Commercial). The C-3 designation allows a broad range of commercial uses and allows a maximum floor area ratio ("FAR") of 13:1. Hospital and ancillary uses on the Medical Campus are consistent with the current zoning. In addition, the eastern portion of the Medical Campus is designated as a Transit Overlay District ("TOD") due to proximity to the Metro Transit Station on Carson Street approximately 0.10 miles to the east, adjacent to the Harbor Freeway. The purpose of the TOD zone designation is to create pedestrian-friendly and community-serving uses near transit stops that encourage walking, bicycling, and transit use.

E. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

1. Project Characteristics

(a) New Project Facilities

The Project proposes to place commercial and community-oriented services along the northern, publicly accessible edge of the Medical Campus and staff and support services in the southern half of the Medical Campus. The New Hospital Tower is intended as the primary focal point. Landscaping and a well-organized network of pedestrian walkways will accommodate circulation throughout the Medical Campus. The LA BioMed Campus will occupy the southern-central part of the Medical Campus, fronting on 220th Street. The CII Burton E. Green Campus will remain in the northwestern corner of the Medical Campus at the intersection of Carson Street and Normandie Avenue, and the remainder of the western end of the Medical Campus will be retained for future expansion opportunities, potentially beyond the 2030 Project buildout

¹ *Los Angeles County Code, Chapter 122.52.1120, Hospitals, Convalescent Hospitals, Adult Residential Facilities, and Group Homes for Children, which requires 2 spaces per bed, 1 space/250 square feet for outpatient facilities, and 1 space/400 square feet for research use.*

horizon. Until such time as programmatic needs for that portion of the Medical Campus are defined, it will be designated for open space, surface parking, and other short-term uses, as needed.

State law mandates that acute care services can no longer be provided after January 1, 2030 in buildings built before 1973, which includes the Main Hospital. This requirement has led to the proposed decommission of the Main Hospital, except for the PCDC and recently constructed Surgery and Emergency Room Replacement Project facilities. Including these facilities, the Project would result in up to approximately 1,900,000 square feet of developed floor area within the Medical Campus, an increase of approximately 850,000 square feet over the current developed 1,050,000 square feet.

Project components broadly include the following: 1) the New Hospital Tower, 2) outpatient facilities, 3) other services and facilities, 4) LA BioMed Campus long-term buildout, and 5) Medical Campus support. The New Hospital Tower would contain up to 446 staffed patient beds, intervention services, and an inpatient imaging department. The existing Hospital and PCDC department would be retained and used for outpatient and hospital support, outpatient imaging, administrative offices, and other uses. Proposed outpatient facilities would include medical offices, ob/gyn, surgery, internal medicine, neurology, pediatrics, specialty clinic services, classrooms, labs, a library, and outpatient imaging including MRI and CT. Outpatient facilities would also contain mental health and social services and would allocate space for other program uses, such as retail or community support functions.

Other new facilities would provide space for meetings, wellness training, post-medical care, nutrition classes, an herbal shop, bookstore, juice bar, yoga studio, massage therapy, aromatherapy, child care, health food market, fitness/exercise store, and similar uses. These uses would be contained in a new two-story building or contained in the ground floors of the new outpatient building(s), the renovated lobby of the existing Hospital, and ground levels of the new parking structures. Campus support would include a central plant (heating and cooling), water treatment, warehouses/material management, and loading dock.

In order to accommodate new facilities and open space, many of the original and older buildings are proposed to be removed, including the original barracks and modular buildings, Parlow Library, Warehouses #1 and #2, the central plant, and the Harbor-UCLA Professional Building and Imaging Center at the western end of the Medical Campus. However, several existing buildings would remain, including the Main Hospital, which would be decommissioned and reused for outpatient support and administration. The PCDC and the CII Burton E. Green Campus building at the western end of the Medical Campus would also remain. **Figure A-4, *Proposed Medical Campus Plan***, illustrates the proposed layout of new and retained buildings, the pedestrian circulation network, landscaped areas, vehicular access and circulation, and parking.

As part of the Project, the County proposes to develop a publicly accessible interpretive program about the history of the Medical Campus as a whole. The program would be designed in consultation with a qualified architectural historian and may include such features as photographic and historical documentation, audiovisual displays, documentary film, and online accessible materials. The potential adaptive reuse of an original building on-site to house elements of the interpretive program will be reviewed as well, although the original WWII structures have been determined to have lost significant integrity and do not qualify as an historic district.



Note: Plans are conceptual and representative of planned buildout of the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus, subject to refinement during design development for specific building sites.



Proposed Medical Campus Plan

Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project
 Source: Perkins+Will, 2012.

This page intentionally blank.

Proposed future buildout of the remainder of the LA BioMed Campus with up to 200,000 square feet of biomedical research space, laboratories, offices, and other support facilities, and disposition of the buildings that LA BioMed will vacate elsewhere on the Medical Campus, are considered part of the Project.

(b) Circulation and Parking

Project implementation would create clear distinctions between general public and staff entries and parking facilities. Staff entries and parking would be located in the southeast corner of the Medical Campus, while access for the general public would be provided from Carson Street along the northern perimeter. A new signalized public entrance on Carson Street and an additional unsignalized staff entrance on Vermont Avenue would be added. Sidewalk connections to public transit would be maintained and on-site sidewalks would be added between the main parking areas and the New Hospital Tower and Outpatient buildings. Pick-up/drop-off loading zones would be provided at the main entrances to the New Hospital Tower and Outpatient buildings. A comprehensive signage and wayfinding plan would aid visitors and patients in finding ultimate destinations and parking intended for those uses. The Project would provide sufficient parking to meet or exceed the County's minimum code parking requirement. Proposed vehicular access and parking are illustrated in **Figure A-5, Proposed Vehicular Circulation Plan**.

F. CONSTRUCTION PHASING

The Master Plan is intended as a long-term guide for future development on the Medical Campus. In order to make space for new development and to upgrade the quality of buildings, Project implementation would result in the demolition of existing buildings. Construction of each proposed component would entail demolition, excavation and/or grading, construction, and finishing activities. Implementation of the Project is anticipated to occur in phases through the year 2030.

Material storage and equipment staging areas associated with construction activities for future implementation phases of the Project would be located on-site, while temporary construction worker parking would be provided either on the Medical Campus or at one or more off-site facilities, the specific location(s) of which would be determined prior to the start of individual construction phases. The location of off-site parking areas would be limited to off-street lots or parking structures in the vicinity of the Medical Campus, with adequate capacity to accommodate the parking demands of both the existing uses at each respective location and the demands of construction worker vehicles, such that parking shortages would not occur. No on-street construction worker parking, material storage, or equipment staging would be permitted. Shuttle service for construction workers for transportation between off-site parking areas and the Medical Campus would be provided throughout construction for each implementation phase, as necessary.

G. REQUIRED APPROVALS

Implementation of the proposed Project would involve but not be limited to the following approvals:


1. County of Los Angeles

- Certification of the Final EIR and Project approval

- Approval of demolition, excavation, and building permits for buildings and other structures
- Approval of haul route

2. State of California

- California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD)
- California Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics



LEGEND

- ▶ PUBLIC ENTRY/EXIT TO CAMPUS ➡ STAFF ENTRY/EXIT TO PARKING — PRIMARY PUBLIC VEHICULAR CIRC.
- ▶ STAFF ENTRY/EXIT TO CAMPUS ➡ MAIN BUILDING ENTRANCE — PRIMARY STAFF VEHICULAR CIRC.
- ▶ PUBLIC ENTRY/EXIT TO PARKING ➡ PUBLIC EMERGENCY ENTRANCE ● AMBULANCE EMERGENCY ENTRY

Note: Plans shown are conceptual and representative of planned buildout of the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus, subject to refinement during design development for specific building sites.



Proposed Vehicular Circulation Plan

Harbor-UCLA Medical Center Campus Master Plan Project
Source: Perkins+Will, 2012.

This page intentionally blank.

ATTACHMENT B: EXPLANATION OF CHECKLIST DETERMINATIONS

ATTACHMENT B

EXPLANATION OF CHECKLIST DETERMINATIONS

I. AESTHETICS

Would the project:

a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?

Potentially Significant Impact. A scenic vista generally provides focal views of objects, settings, or features of visual interest, or panoramic views of large geographic areas of scenic quality, primarily from a given vantage point. Scenic vistas are generally associated with public vantages. Therefore, a significant impact could occur if the Project introduces incompatible visual elements within a field of view containing a scenic vista or substantially alters a view of a scenic vista. The Medical Campus is located within a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development that partially obstruct any available views of scenic resources, including long-distance views of the San Gabriel and Santa Monica Mountains, under existing conditions. The Project would be built out in five phases through the year 2030, increasing the developed square footage on the Medical Campus by approximately 850,000 square feet to 1.9 million square feet, which would substantially increase on-site development intensity and associated bulk and height of structures. This increased development intensity could obstruct views of scenic resources in the Project area. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcrops, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?

Less Than Significant Impact. The closest state highways to the Medical Campus include the Harbor Freeway, less than 0.10 miles to the east, and the San Diego Freeway, approximately two miles to the north and east. Neither has been designated an official scenic highway by the California Department of Transportation on the California Scenic Highway Mapping System. The Medical Campus is therefore not visible from or located within the corridor of a designated state scenic highway. Although Project implementation would result in the removal over time of numerous trees and other landscaping throughout the Medical Campus, new landscaping, including trees, would be planted as part of the proposed improvements and would ultimately increase the amount of landscaping and number of trees compared to existing conditions. The Project would result in the demolition and replacement of 42 extant buildings on-site dating to the 1943 founding of the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital on the property. However, a comprehensive Historic Resources Report that evaluates the entire Medical Campus, included in this Initial Study as Appendix A, determined that the buildings are not historically significant (i.e., are not eligible for individual listing or listing as contributors to a historic district in the National Register or California Register, as discussed in Response V.a), and their removal would not constitute an impact on historic or scenic resources.¹

¹ GPA Consulting, *Historic Resource Report, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute, 1000 W. Carson Street, Torrance, California, July 2013.*

c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located within a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. The existing visual character of the Medical Campus is generally characterized by aging, scattered facilities, including numerous one-story wood-frame barracks buildings remaining from the c. 1943 founding of the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital, and lacks a unified design. Landscaping is generally sparse and the Medical Campus perimeter is not uniformly demarcated. Finally, parking is scattered in distant surface lots and along internal roadways somewhat haphazardly, and pedestrian connections to buildings is inadequate. Project implementation would substantially modify the existing development pattern on the Medical Campus and would increase overall building height, bulk, and massing, throughout the Medical Campus. Building masses would be articulated through ground floor arcades, covered pathways, and the creation of open space courtyards, open turf areas, gardens, plazas, parks and a fitness trail for patients, staff, and the public. Although the Project is intended to improve the visual quality on the Medical Campus, its implementation would substantially alter the visual character of the Medical Campus, including its publicly visible perimeters. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located within a highly urbanized area characterized by medium to high ambient nighttime artificial light levels. During nighttime hours, the surrounding commercial land uses typically display moderate to high levels of interior and exterior lighting for way-finding, security, parking, billboards, signage, architectural highlighting, and landscaping purposes. Traffic on local streets also contributes to overall ambient artificial light levels in the area. Similar to existing conditions, the Project would include nighttime illumination for architectural highlighting, parking, signage, and security, which may be visible from some nearby off-site vantages; thereby contributing to the lighting conditions in the area. In addition, the Project would introduce new building surface materials to the Medical Campus. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

II. AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

Would the project:

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

No Impact. The Medical Campus and surrounding area do not contain agricultural uses or related operations; refer to Figure 9.5, Agricultural Resource Areas Policy Map, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. The Medical Campus is not located on designated Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. Therefore, the Project would not convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of

Statewide Importance to non-agricultural uses, and no impact would occur in this regard. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is located in the C-3 Unlimited Commercial Zone and is designated for Public and Semi Public use in the Los Angeles County General Plan. Agricultural uses are not permitted within the C-3 zone and the Medical Campus is not within a designated Agricultural Opportunity Area or under a Williamson Act contract. Further, no agricultural zoning is present in the surrounding area and no nearby lands are enrolled under the Williamson Act. Therefore, the Project would not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use within a designated Agricultural Opportunity Area or under a Williamson Act contract. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))??

No Impact. As described in Response II.b), the Medical Campus is not zoned for agricultural or forestry uses. No land zoned as forest land or timberland is present on the Medical Campus or in the surrounding area. As such, the Project would not conflict with existing zoning, or cause the rezoning of forest land, timberland, or timberland production land. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is fully developed with hospital and related uses and has been since the 1940s. No forest lands exist on the Medical Campus or in the Project vicinity. As such, the Project would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

No Impact. No agricultural resources or related operations currently exist on or near the Medical Campus. Therefore, the Project would not involve changes in the existing environment that could result in the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

III. AIR QUALITY

The significance criteria established by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the AQMP or Congestion Management Plan?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located within the 6,600-square-mile South Coast Air Basin (“Basin”); refer to Figure 8.1, Air Basins, of the County’s Draft General Plan 2035. The South Coast Air Quality Management District (“SCAQMD”) is required, pursuant to the Clean Air Act, to reduce emissions of criteria pollutants for which the Basin is in non-attainment (i.e., ozone, carbon monoxide, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}). The Project would be subject to the SCAQMD’s Air Quality Management Plan (“AQMP”). The AQMP contains a comprehensive list of pollution control strategies directed at reducing emissions and achieving ambient air quality standards. These strategies are developed, in part, based on regional population, housing, and employment projections prepared by the Southern California Association of Governments (“SCAG”).

The Project would contribute to regional and local air emissions during construction and operation. Construction activities would produce emissions from construction equipment and fugitive dust. Project operations would increase the amount of traffic in the area and would consequently generate vehicle emissions that could affect implementation of the AQMP. As such, it is recommended that the Project’s consistency with the AQMP be addressed in an EIR.

b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response III.a), the Medical Campus is located within the Basin, which is in non-attainment of several criteria pollutants. Implementation of the Project would increase emissions on both a short term (i.e., during construction) and long-term basis in a non-attainment area. Short-term construction emissions would result from a number of sources, including but not limited to the operation of heavy-duty construction equipment and on-site grading. Long-term emissions would result from helicopter activities and motor vehicles traveling to and from the Medical Campus once the Project is fully operational and stationary sources through the use of natural gas and electricity. As the Project would result in increased air emissions associated with construction and operation, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the air basin is non-attainment (ozone, carbon monoxide, & PM₁₀) under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?

Potentially Significant Impact. Since the Project would result in increases in air emissions from construction (e.g., construction equipment, construction vehicle trips) and could result in increases from operations (e.g., helicopter trips as increasing number of patients arrive via helicopter, vehicle trips, stationary sources such as equipment, etc.) within the Basin, which is currently in non-attainment of Federal

and State air quality standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

Potentially Significant Impact. Construction activities and operation of proposed Project uses would increase air emissions compared to current levels. Land uses generally considered especially sensitive to air pollution are as follows: hospitals, schools, residences, playgrounds, child care centers, athletic facilities, and retirement/convalescent homes. Sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the Medical Campus include patients on the Medical Campus itself and single- and multi-family residences to the north, east, south, and west. Halldale Avenue Elementary School, Meyler Street Elementary School, Stephen M. White Middle School, and Caroldale Avenue Elementary School are located approximately 0.10 miles northwest, 0.15 miles south, 0.25 miles east, and 0.50 miles southeast of the Medical Campus, respectively. Normandale Recreation Center, Veterans Park, and Carson Park are located approximately 0.30 miles southwest, 0.60 miles southeast, and 0.70 miles northeast of the Medical Campus, respectively. Construction and operation of the Project could result in increased air emissions that could impact nearby sensitive receptors. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project proposes the development of new medical buildings and uses on-site as well as the removal and/or modification of existing facilities. The Project would not introduce any additional major odor-producing uses that would have the potential to affect a substantial number of people. However, odors associated with Project operations may be incrementally increased by additional on-site waste generation and storage, cooking odors from the hospital cafeteria, operation of the Central Utility Plant, and the use of certain cleaning agents on the Medical Campus. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated. The Medical Campus is located in a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. The Medical Campus contains several landscaped courtyards with mature specimen trees, but landscaping is generally sparse on the Medical Campus. The Medical Campus does not contain native trees that are regulated by the County, nor are other candidate, sensitive plant, or special status plant species present on-site. Mature trees on the Medical Campus may serve as habitat for migratory birds, which are not considered sensitive species but are regulated under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act; potential impacts on migratory birds resulting from tree removal are addressed in Response V.c) and Mitigation Measure BIO-1, below, which would reduce this

potential impact to a less than significant level. The Medical Campus does not otherwise provide habitat for sensitive wildlife species. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is located in an urbanized area, and as such does not contain any riparian habitat, coastal sage scrub, oak woodlands, non-jurisdictional wetland or other sensitive natural communities as indicated in the County or in regulations by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The Project is not located within a Significant Ecological Area (“SEA”) or coastal resource area. Therefore, the Project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any sensitive natural communities. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is located in a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. Neither the Medical Campus nor its surroundings contains wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act. Therefore, the Project would not have an adverse effect on Federally protected wetlands. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native nursery sites?

Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated. The Medical Campus and the surrounding area are completely developed and urbanized; therefore, the Medical Campus does not act as a migratory corridor or support resident terrestrial wildlife movement as it is surrounded by urban development that extends for miles. No aquatic habitat is present on or adjacent to the Medical Campus to support fish species. The highly developed conditions of the Medical Campus and surrounding area preclude its use as a native wildlife nursery site. Therefore, the Project would not substantially interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or use of any native wildlife nursery site, and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

The Medical Campus contains ornamental trees, several of which are mature (i.e., greater than 12 inches in diameter at breast height). These mature trees could potentially provide nesting sites for migratory birds and therefore removal of on-site mature trees could result in a potentially significant impact. To ensure that impacts are reduced to a less than significant level, Mitigation Measure BIO-1 is prescribed below. This mitigation measure would require tree removal activities to be conducted in accordance with the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act, in that tree removal would be scheduled between September 1 and February 14 to the extent possible. If tree removal is to occur outside this timeframe, mature trees would be surveyed for the presence of nests no more than seven (7) days prior to removal, and if nests are found, flagged with a buffer area until the nesting cycle has concluded or the nests have failed. With implementation of a

mitigation measure substantially similar to the one below to ensure compliance with the requirements of the MBTA, impacts to migratory bird species would be reduced to a less than significant level.

Mitigation Measures

BIO-1: If the nesting season cannot be avoided and construction or vegetation removal occurs between March 1st to September 15th (January 1st to July 31st for Raptors), the County shall do one of the following to avoid and minimize impacts to nesting birds²:

- a) Implement a 300-foot minimum avoidance buffers for all passerine birds and 500 foot minimum avoidance buffer for all raptors species. The breeding habitat/nest site shall be fenced and/or flagged in all directions. The nest site area shall not be disturbed until the nest becomes inactive, the young have fledged, the young are no longer being fed by the parents, the young have left the area, and the young will no longer be impacted by the project.³
- b) Develop a project specific Nesting Bird Management Plan. The site-specific nest protection plan shall be submitted to CDFW for review. The Plan should include detailed methodologies and definitions to enable a CDFW-qualified avian biologist to monitor and implement nest-specific buffers based upon the life history of the individual species; species sensitivity to noise, vibration, and general disturbance; individual bird behavior; current site conditions (screening vegetation, topography, etc.), ambient levels of human activity; the various project-related activities necessary to construct the Project, and other features. This Nesting Bird Management Plan shall be supported by a Nest Log, which tracks each nest and its outcome. The Nest Log will be submitted to CDFW at the end of each week.
- c) The County may propose an alternative plan for avoidance of nesting birds for submittal to CDFW.

e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policy or ordinance?

No Impact. The Medical Campus and the surrounding area are completely developed and urbanized. No locally protected biological resources, such as Wildflower Reserve Areas, SEAs, sensitive environmental resource areas (“SERAs”), or oak trees protected under the Oak Tree Permits (Chapter 22.56 – Part 16) (“Oak Tree Ordinance”) of the County Municipal Code (“Municipal Code”), exist on-site. The Project would incorporate a landscape plan which would include the planting of various species of trees (evergreen/semi-evergreens, palm trees, and flowering deciduous trees), and other ornamental plantings, including shrubs, turf, and groundcover, in courtyards, gardens, and other open space features. Therefore, the Project would not conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

² *Qualified avian biologist shall establish the necessary buffers to avoid take of nest as defined in FGC 3503 and 3503.5*

³ *NOTE: Buffer area may be increased if any endangered, threatened, or CDFW species of special concern are identified during protocol or pre-construction presence/absence surveys.*

f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

No Impact. As discussed above, the Medical Campus is not located within a SEA. Additionally, there is no adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan in place for the Medical Campus. Therefore, implementation of the Project would not conflict with any Habitat Conservation Plans and no impacts would occur in this regard. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in significance of a historical resource as defined in State CEQA §15064.5?

Less Than Significant Impact. A comprehensive Historic Resource Report was prepared by GPA Consulting for the entire Medical Campus and is included as Appendix A of this Initial Study.⁴ The following discussion summarizes the findings of the report.

The Medical Campus was initially founded and developed in 1943 by the U.S. Army to house the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital. Augmenting the state's original San Francisco Port of Embarkation, from which servicemen were deployed overseas, the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation encompassed the Station Hospital and other facilities in the Los Angeles area, including docks and warehouses at the Port of Los Angeles, a staging area and training center at Camp Anza in Riverside, and ammunition storage in Rialto. The Port of Embarkation provided military personnel with final training at the training facilities before deployment overseas, and, at the Station Hospital, received wounded military personnel upon their return, as well as providing medical services to servicemen and their families living in the South Bay area.

Between 1943 and 1946, the property was developed with a central administrative facility and 77 wood-framed barracks buildings that housed 600 patient beds and patient services. By 1946, with the end of the war, the hospital was no longer needed and the property was sold by the U.S. Army as war surplus to Los Angeles County. In 1947, the County converted the existing facilities into the Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital, to provide hospital services and medical care for the growing South Bay population. The Historic Resource Technical Report therefore defined the period of significance for the Medical Campus as being from 1943-1946, the period during which the property was in use by the U.S. military. A total of 42 buildings of the original 77 remain on the Medical Campus, primarily in the central portion of the property.

The Medical Campus has not been evaluated or identified as significant in any previous historic resource surveys, nor is it currently designated a landmark at the national, state, or local levels. The property as a whole was evaluated as a potential historic district in the Historic Resource Report, and resources were

⁴ GPA Consulting. *Historic Resource Report, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute, 1000 W. Carson Street, Torrance, California. July 2013.*

evaluated for individual eligibility as well. The Historic Resource Report concluded that the property is significant in the context of World War II military history in Los Angeles, since it was one of a small number of facilities constructed in the region to serve medical needs during World War II. However, the property is lacking in integrity – the ability to convey its significance – because there are not enough buildings remaining from the period of significance; the remaining buildings have been altered to the point that they no longer contribute to an historic district; and enough new buildings have been added that the property no longer represents an intact historic environment. With respect to the individual eligibility of buildings, while some buildings retain integrity from the period of significance, they do not effectively convey the history or significance of the Station Hospital on their own. As such, the property is not eligible for listing in the National Register or the California Register as a historic district, and none of the buildings are individually eligible for listing in the National Register or the California Register.

Although Project implementation would not result in significant impacts on historical resources, the Historic Resource Report prepared for the Medical Campus acknowledges its significance in the context of its association with World War II military history in Los Angeles. The report further notes that, despite its poor condition, Building N6 is the most intact remaining building, and, although the report indicates that retention of N6 is not required to avoid impacting an historic resource, it also recommends consideration of its preservation and rehabilitation. The County proposes to develop a publically accessible interpretive program addressing the history of the Medical Campus, as discussed in Attachment A, *Project Description*, of this Initial Study. The program would be designed in consultation with a qualified architectural historian and may include such features as photographic documentation, audiovisual displays, documentary film, and online accessible materials. In addition, the County will consider the potential relocation and adaptive reuse of all or a portion of Building N6 as part of its overall planning for the improvements at the Medical Campus.

Based on the analysis presented in the Historic Resource Report, implementation of the Project would result in a less than significant impact on historic resources. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?

Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated. The Medical Campus is located within a highly urbanized area and has been subject to physical disruption over the course of several decades since it was first developed in 1943. For this reason, it is likely that any resources that may have been present on the property have been disturbed or removed. Nonetheless, previously undiscovered buried archaeological resources could still exist on the property. Implementation of the Project would require grading, excavation, and trenching into native soils, which could result in direct impacts to undiscovered resources. The following mitigation measures are therefore recommended to ensure that impacts on any previously unknown archaeological resources discovered during Project construction would remain less than significant. Operations during and following Project buildout would have no impact on archaeological resources and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not required.

CULT-1: If any archaeological materials are encountered during the course of the Project development, work in the area shall cease and deposits shall be treated in accordance with Federal, State, and local guidelines, including those set forth in California Public Resources Code Section 21083.2. As part of this effort, the services of an archaeologist

meeting the Secretary of the Interior Professional Qualification Standards for Archaeology shall be secured by contacting the California Historical Resources Information System South Central Coastal Information Center (CHRIS-SCCIC) at Cal State University Fullerton, or a member of the Register of Professional Archaeologists (RPA) to assess the resources and evaluate the impact. In addition, if it is determined that an archaeological site is a historic resource, the provisions of Section 21084.1 of the Public Resources Code and *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15064.5 would be implemented.

CULT-2: If any archaeological materials are encountered during the course of the Project development, a report on the archaeological findings shall be prepared by the qualified archaeologist. A copy of the report shall be submitted to the CHRIS-SCCIC.

CULT-3: If any archaeological materials are encountered during the course of the Project development, recovered archaeological materials shall be curated at an appropriate accredited curation facility. If the materials are prehistoric in nature, affiliated Native American groups (identified by the Native American Heritage Commission) may be consulted regarding selection of the curation facility.

c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated. The Medical Campus has been subject to grading and building activities since it was first developed in 1943, and as with archaeological resources, it is likely that any paleontological resources once present on the property have been disturbed or removed. Nonetheless, previously undiscovered buried resources could still exist on the property. Development of the Project would require grading, excavation, and trenching into native soils that could contain undiscovered paleontological resources. The following mitigation measures are therefore recommended to ensure that impacts on any previously unknown paleontological resources discovered during Project construction would remain less than significant. Operations during and following Project buildout would have no impact on paleontological resources and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not required.

CULT-4: If any paleontological materials are encountered during the course of Project development, work in the area shall be halted. The services of a qualified paleontologist shall be secured by contacting the Los Angeles County Natural History Museum to assess the resources. In addition, a report on the paleontological findings shall be prepared by the qualified paleontologist and a copy of the paleontological report shall be submitted to the Los Angeles County Natural History Museum.

d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

Potentially Significant Impact. As indicated in Response V.c), the Medical Campus has been previously graded and developed, and no known traditional burial sites or cemeteries have been identified on the property. Nonetheless, development of the Project would require grading, excavation, and trenching that may extend into native soils. While the uncovering of human remains is not anticipated, compliance with state law (i.e., Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, and California Code of Regulations Section 15064.5(e)) would reduce potential impacts during Project construction to a less than significant level, and no mitigation measures are necessary. Operations during

and following Project buildout would not result in impacts on human remains. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not required.

VI. ENERGY

Would the project:

a) Conflict with Los Angeles County Green Building Ordinance (L.A. County Code Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 20 and Title 21, § 21.24.440) or Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 21, § 21.24.430 and Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 21)?

Potentially Significant Impact. Implementation of the Medical Campus would require new construction and renovation of the existing Hospital building, which would be subject to the requirements of the County's Green Building Ordinance and Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance. However, given the uncertainty regarding the future implementation of green building and landscaping requirements as part of Project implementation, it is recommended that the Project's consistency with the Green Building Ordinance and Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Involve the inefficient use of energy resources (see Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines)?

Potentially Significant Impact. Implementation of the Medical Campus would result in the replacement of aging structures with new, more efficient structures, as well as renovation of the existing Hospital building, which would likely result in greater energy efficiency than under existing conditions. Nonetheless, despite the anticipated increase in energy efficiency per square foot of development, given the substantial overall increase in development intensity on the Medical Campus, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:

i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.

No Impact. Fault rupture is the displacement that occurs along the surface of a fault during an earthquake. Based on criteria established by the California Geological Survey ("CGS"), faults can be classified as active, potentially active, or inactive. Active faults are those that have shown evidence of movement within the past 11,000 years (i.e., during the Holocene Epoch). Potentially active faults are those that have shown evidence of movement between 11,000 and 1.6 million years ago (i.e., during the Pleistocene Epoch). Inactive faults are those that have not exhibited displacement within the last 1.6 million years. Additionally, there are blind

thrust faults, which are low angle reverse faults with no surface exposure. Due to their buried nature, the existence of blind thrust faults is usually not known until they produce an earthquake.

The seismically active region of southern California is crossed by numerous active and potentially active faults and is underlain by several blind thrust faults. The CGS has established earthquake fault zones known as Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones around the surface traces of active faults to assist cities and counties in planning, zoning, and building regulation functions. These zones identify areas where potential surface rupture along an active fault could prove hazardous and identify where special studies are required to characterize hazards to habitable structures. According to Figure 12.1, Seismic and Geotechnical Hazard Zones Policy Map, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the Medical Campus is not located within a seismic or geotechnical hazard zone. Further, the Medical Campus is not located within a designated Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. As no known earthquake faults or Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones exist on or near the Medical Campus, there would be no potential for surface fault rupture to affect future uses and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary

ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located within the seismically active Southern California area. The nearest active fault, the Palos Verdes Fault, is located approximately 3.5 miles south of the Medical Campus. For these reasons, the Medical Campus could be subject to seismic ground shaking during earthquake events on any one of various active faults in the region. The proposed Project is being undertaken in part due to State law, which requires that all acute care facilities constructed prior to 1973 be decommissioned unless they can be retrofitted to meet current seismic safety requirements. As such, the County proposes to relocate acute care services from the existing Hospital building to the proposed new Hospital Tower and re-purpose the existing Hospital for sub-acute care uses. Although newly constructed future uses would be required to comply with State and County regulations related to seismic safety, given the Medical Campus's proximity to active faults in the region, impacts related to seismic ground shaking would be potentially significant. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

Potentially Significant Impact. Liquefaction is a form of earthquake-induced ground failure that occurs primarily in relatively shallow, loose, granular, water-saturated soils. Liquefaction can occur when these types of soils lose their inherent shear strength due to excess water pressure that builds up during repeated movement from seismic activity. Liquefaction usually results in horizontal and vertical movements from lateral spreading of liquefied materials and post-earthquake settlement of liquefied materials. A shallow groundwater table, the presence of loose to medium dense sand and silty sand, and a long duration and high acceleration of seismic shaking are factors that contribute to the potential for liquefaction. According to Figure 12.1, Seismic and Geotechnical Hazard Zones Policy Map, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the Medical Campus is not located within a seismically induced liquefaction zone. However, given the potential for seismic shaking and related secondary effects at the Medical Campus, it is recommended that liquefaction and lateral spreading be further evaluated in an EIR.

iv) Landslides?

No Impact. Similar to the surrounding region, the terrain of the Medical Campus is relatively flat. The proposed grading and development would not have an adverse effect on geologic stability on-site or off-site

in adjacent areas. According to Figure 12.1, Seismic and Geotechnical Hazard Zones Policy Map, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the Medical Campus is not located within a seismically induced landslide zone and no sloped areas exist in the immediate area. Therefore, no impact would occur and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

Potentially Significant Impact. Implementation of the Medical Campus would require building, hardscape, and infrastructure demolition, site clearance, and grading and excavation, which would expose on-site soils. Construction activities associated with the Project, therefore, would have the potential to result in soil erosion during grading and construction activities. Thus, it is recommended that geologic hazards associated with soil erosion be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response VI.a.iv), above, the Project area is not susceptible to landslides. Subsidence occurs when fluids from the ground (such as petroleum and groundwater) are withdrawn. Since the Medical Campus is not located within a known oil field or groundwater extraction area, subsidence associated with extraction activities is not anticipated. However, evaluation of this issue in an EIR is recommended given the potential for seismic-related effects on proposed development and the extent of grading and excavation proposed.

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?

Potentially Significant Impact. Expansive soils are typically associated with fine-grained clayey soils that have the potential to shrink and swell with repeated cycles of wetting and drying. The soils beneath the Medical Campus have not yet been formally characterized, and therefore it is assumed that the potential exists for expansive soils that may present a hazard to proposed development. Therefore, further analysis of this issue in an EIR is recommended.

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is located in an urbanized area with wastewater infrastructure already in place. New development proposed as part of Project implementation would connect to existing off-site infrastructure and would not use septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. Therefore, no impact would occur, and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

VIII. GREENHOUSE GASES

Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment, based on any applicable threshold of significance?

Potentially Significant Impact. Construction and operation of the Project would increase greenhouse gas emissions ("GHGs). which have the potential to either individually or cumulatively result to contribute to impacts on the environment. Therefore, this issue should be further evaluated in an EIR.

b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project would comply with the County's Green Building Ordinance (Chapter 22.52 – Part 20 of the Municipal Code) by conserving energy, water, and natural resources, and promoting a healthier environment. In conformance with the requirements of this ordinance, the Project would be designed to reduce GHG emissions through various energy conservation measures. In addition, the Project would implement applicable energy conservation measures to reduce GHG emissions, such as those described in the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32). However, to the extent that the Project could result in conflicts with applicable GHG reduction plans, policies, or regulations, impacts are considered potentially significant and it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project would include future development of medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the removal, replacement, and modification of existing buildings. Construction of the Project would involve the temporary use of hazardous substances in the form of paint, adhesives, surface coatings and other finishing materials, and cleaning agents, fuels, and oils. Operation of the Project would involve the use and storage of limited quantities of potentially hazardous materials in the form of cleaning solvents, painting supplies, and pesticides for landscaping. Typical waste generated from hospital uses includes general waste, regulated medical waste, sharps containers, pharmaceutical waste, chemo waste, and pathological waste. Given the nature of proposed uses, construction and operation of the Project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

Potentially Significant Impact. As noted above, the Project would include future development of medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the removal, replacement, and modification of existing buildings, which would involve the routine use, storage, transport, or disposal of limited quantities of hazardous materials. Additionally, short-term grading activities, including trenching and excavation, could expose construction workers or the public to unknown hazardous materials in on-site soil and/or groundwater, should such materials be present. As some of the buildings were built as early as 1943, it is possible that lead-based paint and paint residues are present in the buildings. If released into the environment, these materials could pose a significant hazard to construction workers or the public. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

Potentially Significant Impact. Schools within one-quarter mile of the Medical Campus include Halldale Avenue Elementary School, Meyler Street Elementary School, and Stephen M. White Middle School. Project construction and operation could result in hazardous emissions or the handling of hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste. Because of the close proximity of the Medical Campus to these sensitive land uses, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located in a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. Given the potential presence of listed hazardous materials on-site, and associated potential for existing contamination to affect the proposed new uses on-site as well as surrounding off-site land uses, impacts related to the release of hazardous materials during construction and operation of the Project are considered potentially significant. A hazardous materials assessment will include a current database search of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5. It is recommended that the results of this search and analysis of potential impacts associated with hazardous materials sites be analyzed further in an EIR.

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is not within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public use airport. The nearest public airports, Zamperini Field (3301 Airport Drive in Torrance), Hawthorne Municipal Airport (12101 S. Crenshaw Boulevard in Hawthorne), Compton/Woodley Airport (901 W. Alondra Boulevard in Compton), and Los Angeles International Airport (“LAX”) (1 World Way in Los Angeles), are located approximately four miles, seven miles, nine miles, and eleven miles from the Medical

Campus, respectively. However, the Project proposes to relocate an existing helipad to a new permanent location atop the proposed new hospital building. It is recommended that future helicopter operations and associated safety hazards within and outside the Medical Campus be analyzed further in an EIR.

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for the people residing or working in the area?

Potentially Significant Impact. There are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the Medical Campus, and the Medical Campus is not located within a designated airport hazard area. As discussed in Response VIII.e), the Project proposes to relocate an existing helipad to a permanent new location atop the proposed new hospital building. It is recommended that future helicopter operations and associated safety hazards for people residing or working in the area be analyzed further in an EIR.

g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

Less Than Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is bordered by Carson Street on the north, Vermont Avenue on the east, 220th Street on the south, and Normandie Avenue on the west. According to Figure 12.7, Disaster Routes, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the nearest freeway disaster routes to the Medical Campus are the Harbor Freeway and the San Diego Freeway, located approximately less than 0.10 miles east and two miles north and east of the Medical Campus, respectively. Implementation of the Project would not result in the physical changes to the freeways or any streets designated as an evacuation route in an adopted emergency response or evacuation plan.

While it is expected that the majority of construction activities and staging areas would occur entirely within the Medical Campus boundaries, short-term construction activities for sidewalk and infrastructure improvements may temporarily disrupt access on portions of the public rights-of-way. In these instances, the Project would implement traffic control measures (e.g., construction flagmen, signage, etc.) to maintain flow and access. Furthermore, development of the Project would comply with County's building and applicable fire and safety codes that require adequate access for fire personnel and equipment in and out of the Medical Campus. Similarly, access for doctors, staff, patients, and visitors would be maintained throughout future construction phases such that no interruption or reduction in the availability of medical care services would occur. Therefore, construction activities are not expected to result in inadequate emergency access.

The Project proposes to redesign the existing Medical Campus to improve vehicular access and internal circulation. Given the proposed improvements to Project ingress/egress and parking design, access and circulation at the Medical Campus are not anticipated to interfere with emergency vehicle access. An Emergency Evacuation Plan for the Project, as for the existing hospital, would be maintained, periodically updated, and implemented as necessary during emergency situations at the Medical Campus to ensure proper procedures are followed to protect human health and safety. For these reasons, construction and operation of the Project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Impacts would be less than significant, and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is located within a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. The Medical Campus is not located within an identified wildland fire hazard area or very high fire hazard severity zone, based on Figure 12.6, Fire Hazard Severity Zones Policy Map, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

Potentially Significant Impact. Project construction would alter the quantity and composition of surface runoff through grading of hardscape surfaces, construction of impervious streets, building development, introduction of urban pollutants, and irrigation of newly landscaped areas. Additionally, operation of future uses could result in increases in pollutant discharges to receiving waters (including impaired water bodies pursuant to the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list), significant alteration of receiving water quality during or following construction, or violation of water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. Impacts could be potentially significant and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is necessary.

b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned land uses for which permits have been granted)?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project would not directly deplete groundwater supplies as no groundwater extraction activities are proposed. However, the Project would involve future development of medical buildings and uses on the Medical Campus, as well as the removal, replacement, and modification of existing buildings, circulation, and landscaping, which could increase impervious surface area on-site. The reduction in pervious surface area could potentially reduce the amount of water reaching groundwater aquifers beneath the Medical Campus. As such, impacts related to groundwater recharge would be potentially significant and it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

Potentially Significant Impact. Project implementation would substantially modify the existing drainage characteristics on the Medical Campus over the long-term, and is expected to result in an overall increase in pervious surface area and the installation or implementation of a range of water quality and drainage

features and practices. Nonetheless, given the magnitude of redevelopment proposed and the related modification of drainage patterns, impacts are considered potentially significant and it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?

Potentially Significant Impact. Refer to Response IX.c). The Project would modify the drainage patterns on the Medical Campus, and as such, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is currently developed with urban uses and existing storm drain facilities currently provide stormwater drainage for on-site uses. The Project would be designed and constructed to comply with LA County's low impact development ("LID") standards for storm water management, but could potentially result in adverse impacts to downstream drainage facilities. To determine if the Project would create or contribute runoff that could exceed the capacity of storm drainage facilities in the area, and to identify appropriate LID compliance features and practices, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response IX.a), Project implementation could potentially substantially degrade water quality. This issue will be evaluated further in the EIR.

g) Place housing within a 100-year flood plain as mapped on federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?

No Impact. According to Figure 12.2, Flood Hazard Zones Policy Map, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the Medical Campus is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area. Therefore, the Project would not place housing within a 100-year flood plain, and no impact would occur in this regard. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?

No Impact. As discussed in Response IX.g), the Medical Campus is not located within a FEMA-designated 100-year floodplain. Therefore, the Project would not place structures within a 100-year floodplain that would impede or redirect flood flows. Thus, no impact would occur with regard to floodplains and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?

No Impact. As discussed in Response IX.g), the Medical Campus is not located within a 100-year floodplain. No dams or levees are present on or near the Medical Campus. According to Figure 12.4, Dam and Reservoir Inundation Areas, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the Medical Campus is not located within a flood hazard area due to failure of a dam or reservoir. Therefore, flooding resulting from a dam or levee failure would not occur. Further analysis of this issue in the EIR is not necessary.

j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

No Impact. A seiche is an oscillation of a body of water in an enclosed or semi-enclosed basin, such as a reservoir, harbor, lake, or storage tank. A tsunami is a great sea wave, commonly referred to as a tidal wave, produced by a significant undersea disturbance such as tectonic displacement of the sea floor associated with large, shallow earthquakes. Mudflows result from the down slope movement of soil and/or rock under the influence of gravity.

The Medical Center is not adjacent to any large body of water, and therefore there is no potential for seiche hazards. The Medical Campus is located approximately 5.2 miles east of the Pacific Ocean. According to Figure 12.3, Tsunami Hazard Areas, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035, the Medical Campus is not located within a tsunami hazard area. The Medical Campus is located within a relatively flat and highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development and as such is not in an area susceptible to mudflows. Further analysis of these issues in the EIR is not necessary.

XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING

Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is located in an urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. The Project involves future development of medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the expansion, removal, replacement, and modification within the existing Medical Campus. The Project would result in the renovation and expansion of existing hospital, medical office, research, and related medical uses entirely within the existing Medical Campus boundaries, and therefore would not physically divide an established community. Thus, no impact would occur in this regard and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

Potentially Significant Impact. Although the existing Hospital and related uses are consistent with the current designated land use and zoning designations for the Medical Campus and future uses would be

similarly consistent, the Project would substantially increase the intensity of on-site development. As such, impacts related to conflicts with applicable plans, policies, and regulations could occur. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?

No Impact. As discussed above, the Medical Campus is not located within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA). Additionally, there is no adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan in place for the Medical Campus. Therefore, Project implementation would not conflict with any Habitat Conservation Plan, and no impacts would occur in this regard. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not required.

XII. MINERAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

a) Result in the loss or availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is not located within a known mineral resource area and no mineral resources are known to exist at the Medical Campus or in the surrounding area, as shown in Figure 9.6, Natural Resource Areas, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. Therefore, no impact to mineral resources would occur. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?

No Impact. The Medical Campus is not located within a Mineral Resource Zone and there are no known designated locally-important mineral resources located on the Medical Campus or in the vicinity, as illustrated in Figure 9.6, Natural Resource Areas, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. Therefore, no impact to mineral resources would occur. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

XIII. NOISE

Would the project result in:

a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

Potentially Significant Impact. Construction of the Project would require the use of heavy construction equipment (e.g., bulldozers, backhoes, cranes, loaders, etc.) that would generate noise on a short-term basis during each future development phase. Additionally, operations following Project buildout may increase

existing noise levels as a result of related traffic, emergency vehicles/ambulance sirens, helicopter operations, heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (“HVAC”) systems, loading/unloading of trucks, and other activities on the Medical Campus. As such, nearby sensitive uses could potentially be affected. Noise-sensitive areas typically include residential areas, schools, convalescent hospitals, acute care facilities, and park and recreational areas. Sensitive receptors in the Project vicinity consist of single- and multi-family residences to the north, east, south, and west. Schools in the Project area include Halldale Avenue Elementary School, Meyler Street Elementary School, Stephen M. White Middle School, and Caroldale Avenue Elementary School, which are located approximately 0.10 miles northwest, 0.15 miles south, 0.25 miles east, and 0.50 miles southeast of the Medical Campus, respectively. The Carson Library is located approximately 0.75 miles east of the Medical Campus. Normandale Recreation Center, Veterans Park, and Carson Park are located approximately 0.30 miles southwest, 0.60 miles southeast, and 0.70 miles northeast of the Medical Campus, respectively. The Project would result in short-term construction and long-term operational noise level increases in the Project area that could exceed established noise standards at nearby sensitive receptors, which would be considered a potentially significant impact. It is recommended that the Project’s potential to exceed noise standards be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?

Potentially Significant Impact. Construction of the Project may generate groundborne vibration and noise due to site grading, clearing activities, and haul truck travel. In addition, Project construction may require pile driving. As such, the Project would have the potential to expose people to, or generate, excessive groundborne vibration and noise levels during short-term construction activities. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

Additionally, operation of the Project’s hospital-related uses could generate groundborne vibration or noise at levels beyond those that currently exist within the existing urbanized development setting. As such, operation of the Project could have the potential to expose people to excessive groundborne vibration or noise. Further analysis of operational groundborne vibration or noise in an EIR is recommended.

c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response XII.a, above, operation of the Project may increase existing noise levels as a result of Project-related traffic, emergency vehicles/ambulance sirens, helicopter activities, HVAC systems, loading/unloading of trucks, and human activities on the Medical Campus. Therefore, it is recommended that potential impacts associated with a permanent increase in ambient noise levels be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response XII.a), construction of the Project would require the use of heavy construction equipment (e.g., bulldozers, backhoes, cranes, loaders, etc.) that would generate noise on a short-term basis during the various phases of Project construction. Therefore, it is

recommended that potential impacts associated with a temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels be analyzed further in an EIR.

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response VIII.e), the Medical Campus is not within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public use airport. The nearest public airports, Zamperini Field, Hawthorne Municipal Airport, Compton/Woodley Airport, and LAX are located approximately four miles, seven miles, nine miles, and eleven miles from the Medical Campus, respectively. However, the Project proposes to relocate the existing helipad to a permanent helipad location atop the proposed new hospital building, and to relocate the existing helicopter pad to a temporary location on the Medical Campus for a period during construction. Future helicopter operations and associated noise generation within and outside the Medical Campus could result in potentially significant noise impacts to sensitive receptors in the area. As such, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, heliport or helistop, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed in Response VIII.f), there are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the Medical Campus, and Medical Campus is not located within a designated airport hazard area. However, the Project proposes to relocate the existing helipad to a permanent helipad location atop the proposed new hospital building. Future helicopter operations and associated noise generation within and outside the Medical Campus could result in potentially significant noise impacts to sensitive receptors in the area. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING

Would the project:

a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

Potentially Significant Impact. Population growth and future development projections are prepared by SCAG. SCAG provides current and projected population, housing and employment estimates for the region as a component of the Regional Transportation Plan (“RTP”). SCAG bases its estimates, in part, on anticipated development by County/City jurisdictions based on their General Plans, zoning and on-going development activity. The SCAG projections serve as the basis for providing infrastructure and public services by various jurisdictions and service agencies throughout the region.

There are no residential uses on the Medical Campus. The Project would not have indirect effects on growth through such mechanisms as the extension of roads and infrastructure. The Project would be built out in five

phases through the year 2030 increasing the Medical Campus square footage by approximately 850,000 square feet from the existing 1,050,000 square feet to 1.9 million square feet. The Project involves future development of medical buildings and uses on-site as part of the proposed expansion, removal, replacement, and modification within the existing Medical Campus, which would increase the visitor, patient, and employment population on the Medical Campus. According to the proposed Project, the employee population currently on the Medical Campus is estimated to increase by almost 1,500 jobs, or 27 percent, at Project buildout. Therefore, the increased on-site population should be evaluated for consistency with SCAG projections and for the potential to induce substantial population growth. Accordingly, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

No Impact. There is no existing housing on the Medical Campus. Thus, the Project would not displace any housing or associated residential population. No impacts would occur and further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

No Impact. As indicated in Response XIII.a), there are no residential uses on the Medical Campus. According to the Master Plan, the number of jobs on the Medical Campus is estimated to increase by almost 1,500 or 27 percent at Project buildout. Thus, the Project would not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. No impacts would occur. Further analysis of this issue in an EIR is not necessary.

XV. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

i) Fire protection?

Potentially Significant Impact. Los Angeles County Fire Station 36, located at 127 W. 223rd Street, Carson, is located approximately 0.65 miles southeast from the Medical Campus; refer to Figure 12.8, Fire Department Battalions and Stations, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. The Project would increase visitor, patient, and employment populations to the Medical Campus. This increase of population could create a need for expanding existing facilities or staff, construction of a new facility, or adversely impact types of services provided. Therefore, the existing capacity of the County Fire Department to meet these demands must be determined and further analysis of the potential adverse physical impacts to the County Fire Department will be analyzed in the EIR.

ii) Police protection?

Potentially Significant Impact. Carson Sheriff Station, located at 21356 S. Avalon Boulevard, Carson, is located approximately 1.5 miles east from the Medical Campus, refer to Figure 12.9, Sheriff's Department Service Areas, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. The Project would increase visitor, patient, and employment populations on the Medical Campus. This increase in population could create a need for expanding existing facilities or staff, construction of a new facility, or adversely impact types of services provided. Therefore, the existing capacity of County Sheriff Department to meet these demands must be determined and it is recommended that potential adverse physical impacts to the County Sheriff's Department be analyzed further in an EIR.

iii) Schools?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located within proximity of Halldale Avenue Elementary School, Meyler Street Elementary School, Caroldale Avenue Elementary School, Van Deene Avenue Elementary School, Torrance Elementary School, Dolores Street Elementary School, St. Philomena School, Stephen M. White Middle School, Carson High School, and Sherry High School. The Project would increase visitor, patient, and employment population on the Medical Campus. Because the Project could attract new employees that might move to the area, it could generate new students and increase demand for school facilities and services. Therefore, it is recommended that the existing capacities of the nearby schools to meet these demands be determined, and that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

iv) Parks?

Potentially Significant Impact. The parks located nearest the Medical Campus include Normandale Recreation Center, 22400 Halldale Avenue, Torrance, located approximately 0.30 miles southwest; Veterans Park, 22400 Moneta Avenue, Carson, located approximately 0.60 miles southeast; and Carson Park, 21411 S. Orrick Avenue, Carson, located approximately 0.70 miles northeast of the Medical Campus. The Project would increase the number of visitors, patients, and staff on the Medical Campus. The Project does propose open space courtyards, open turf areas, gardens, plazas, parks and a fitness trail for patients, staff, and the public. However, this increase of population could create a need for expanding or existing facilities or staff, construction of a new facility, or adversely impact types of services provided and the existing capacity of the County, City, or other public parks and recreational facilities to meet these demands must be determined. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

v) Other public facilities?

Potentially Significant Impact. The County of Los Angeles Carson Public Library, located at 151 E. Carson Street, Carson, is located approximately 0.75 miles east of the Medical Campus; refer to Figure 13.2, Libraries, of the County's Draft General Plan 2035. The Project would increase the visitor, patient, and staff populations on the Medical Campus, and may attract new residents to the area in response to new employment opportunities. This increase could create a need to expand existing library facilities or staff or construct a new library facility, or could adversely impact types of services provided. Therefore, the existing capacity of public libraries to meet demand in the Project area must be determined. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

XVI. RECREATION

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

Potentially Significant Impact. According to the County's Draft General Plan 2035, Chapter 10, Parks and Recreation Element, large areas of the County are underserved by parks and recreational facilities. The Element shows that the unincorporated areas of the County face a significant deficit in local parkland of 3,620 acres. Based on population projections, the unincorporated areas of the County would have deficits of 5,986 acres in local parkland and 5,046 acres in regional parkland by the year 2035 if no new parks are created. The County has an adopted standard of four acres of local parkland per 1,000 residents and six acres of regional parkland per 1,000 residents. This requirement may be met by dedication of land, payment of in lieu fees or a combination of both as defined by the County's requirements for residential projects. However, as the Project would not involve the provision of new housing, it is not subject to the County's parkland dedication or fee payment requirements.

As discussed in Response XIV.a.iv), the parks located nearest to the Medical Campus include Normandale Recreation Center, Veterans Park, and Carson Park, located approximately 0.30 miles southwest, 0.60 miles southeast, and 0.70 miles northeast of the Medical Campus, respectively. The Project would increase the visitor, patient, and staff populations on the Medical Campus, and may also attract new residents to the area in response to new employment opportunities. The Project proposes open space courtyards, open turf areas, gardens, plazas, parks and a fitness trail for patients, staff, and the public and it is anticipated that patients and employees of the Project would primarily utilize the Project's recreational facilities as well as nearby off-site recreational facilities. Although the Project has limited potential to result in increased use of off-site parks or other recreational facilities as a result of indirect population growth and employees, such that substantial deterioration of the facilities could occur or be accelerated, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project proposes open space courtyards, open turf areas, gardens, plazas, parks and a fitness trail for patients, staff, and the public. As the Project would increase the visitor, patient, and staff populations on the Medical Campus, it will be necessary for the EIR to determine if the Project's proposed recreational facilities and Project's population generation would require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR

XVII. TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION

Would the project:

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project would be built out in multiple phases through the year 2030, increasing the Medical Campus square footage by approximately 850,000 square feet from the existing 1,050,000 square feet to approximately 1.9 million square feet. The Project involves future development of medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the removal, replacement, and modification of existing structures, circulation, and landscaping. These uses would add traffic to local and regional transportation systems. Thus, operation of the Project could adversely affect the existing capacity of the street system or exceed an established level of service (“LOS”) standard. Construction of the Project would also result in a temporary increase in traffic due to construction-related truck trips and worker vehicle trips. Traffic impacts during construction could also adversely affect the street system. A traffic study will therefore be prepared for the Project. The analysis of traffic impacts will identify key intersections for analysis, quantify existing and future traffic conditions at those locations, identify impacts caused by the addition of Project-generated traffic, and identify mitigation measures to reduce potentially significant impacts generated by the Project, as appropriate and where feasible. In addition, construction activities could temporarily limit or otherwise alter access to public transit or other alternative transportation facilities or services (e.g., bike lanes, sidewalks, etc.), and operation of proposed uses could increase demands on such facilities and services, and impacts in this regard could also be potentially significant. As the Project has the potential to result in significant traffic and transportation-related impacts, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

The parking supply on the Medical Campus currently totals 2,905 spaces, exceeding the County’s parking code requirement of 2,709 spaces.⁵ An additional 278 spaces are provided off-site, and street parking is permitted along all or portions of the four public streets surrounding the Medical Campus. On-campus parking is scattered, with the majority of spaces contained in lots relegated to the perimeters of the Campus, sometimes far from the facilities they serve, and in sometimes makeshift fashion along internal streets. Moreover, pedestrian connections between parking lots and buildings generally poorly organized or marked. The availability of parking on-site also fluctuates over time during facility upgrades or construction. The Project proposes to reorganize the on-site parking supply, concentrating patient and visitor parking along the northern perimeter of the Medical Campus and staff parking in the southeast portion of the Medical Campus. The Project proposes to provide sufficient parking to meet or exceed the County’s code requirement in the future; however, this may not be sufficient to meet actual future demand. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

⁵ *Los Angeles County Code, Chapter 122.52.1120, Hospitals, Convalescent Hospitals, Adult Residential Facilities, and Group Homes for Children, which requires 2 spaces per bed, 1 space/250 square feet for outpatient facilities, and 1 space/400 square feet for research use.*

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to, level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

Potentially Significant Impact. The congestion management program (“CMP”) for the County requires that the traffic impacts of individual development projects of potential regional significance be analyzed. The CMP system comprises a specific system of arterial roadways, plus all freeways. The closest roadway within the CMP system to the Medical Campus is the Harbor Freeway, less than 0.10 miles to the east, and the San Diego Freeway, approximately two miles to the north and east. According to the County CMP Traffic Impact Analysis Guidelines, a CMP traffic impact analysis is required if (1) a project would add 50 or more trips during A.M. or P.M. weekday peak hours to CMP arterial monitoring intersection, including freeway ramps; or (2) a project would add 150 or more trips during A.M. or P.M. weekday peak hours, in either direction, to CMP freeway monitoring locations. The Project could result in additional vehicle trips from operation of the proposed expansion of medical facilities. Accordingly, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location, that results in substantial safety risks?

Potentially Significant Impact. The nearest airports, Zamperini Field, Hawthorne Municipal Airport Compton/Woodley Airport, and LAX, are located approximately four miles, seven miles, nine miles, and eleven miles from the Medical Campus, respectively. However, the Project proposes to relocate the existing helipad to a permanent helipad location atop the proposed new hospital building. As such, the Project could result in a change in air traffic patterns, including an increase in hospital-related air traffic levels and changes in landing and takeoff locations and flight paths. It is recommended that the potential for substantial safety risks be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located within a highly urbanized area surrounded by residential uses and commercial development. The Project does not propose uses that are incompatible with the Medical Campus or existing street system, and the roadways adjacent to the Medical Campus are part of an established urban roadway network and contain no sharp curves or dangerous intersections. However, the Project would alter the existing building configuration on-site, construct new access driveways and internal circulation, expand parking facilities, and create new pedestrian improvements. Additionally, the Project would result in an increase in traffic levels in the Project area. Considering these factors, the potential for hazardous conditions may increase over existing conditions under the Project. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

e) Result in inadequate emergency access?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus would be designed to provide access to fire, ambulatory, and police vehicles from adjacent roadways. Access to the Medical Campus is provided by

Carson Street, 220th Street, Vermont Avenue, and Normandie Avenue. While it is expected that the majority of construction activities for the Project would be confined on-site, short-term construction activities may temporarily affect access on portions of adjacent streets during certain periods of the day. In addition, the Project would generate traffic in the vicinity and would result in some modifications to access from the streets that surround Medical Campus. It is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Medical Campus is located in an area well served by public transportation. The Medical Campus is served by transit, which includes the Metro Bus Harbor Transitway on the Harbor Freeway. The Metro Express Line (Route 450) and local municipal bus line CE448 utilize the Harbor Freeway and the Carson Metro Transit Station, which is located less than 0.10 miles east of the Medical Campus. The transit station is located at the south side of Carson Street and public sidewalks are provided between the station and the Medical Campus. A LADOT Park and Ride lot is located to the west of the freeway at the north side of Carson Street. The Medical Campus is served by three public transit systems – LA Metro, Torrance Transit, and Gardena Municipal Bus Lines – and by its own on-Campus shuttle service. Metro Lines 202 and 550 travel along Vermont Avenue, with bus stops at the Carson Street intersection and near 220th Street. As the Project would change site access conditions and contribute additional population to the surrounding area, it is recommended that Project consistency with policies, plans, and programs supporting alternative transportation be analyzed further in an EIR.

XVIII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

Would the project:

a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?

Potentially Significant Impact. The sewer system in the public right-of-way is owned and maintained by the County of Los Angeles Sanitation District (“LACSD”). Several large trunk sewers are located around the perimeter of the Medical Campus. The Project involves future development of medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the expansion, removal, replacement, and modification of existing facilities, and may increase the visitor, patient, and employment populations on the Medical Campus, in turn generating increased wastewater volumes. Increased wastewater volumes could potentially result in impacts with respect to wastewater treatment. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project proposes the development of new medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the expansion, removal, replacement, and modification of existing facilities. Given the associated increase in demand for water service and wastewater treatment, the potential exists for the

Project to require the construction or expansion of water and/or wastewater treatment facilities. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

Potentially Significant Impact. Refer to Section IX, above. Given the proposed changes to on-site drainage patterns, implementation of the Project would require the construction or expansion of storm water drainage facilities. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project proposes the development of new medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the expansion, removal, replacement, and modification of the existing Medical Campus. The Project would increase visitor, patient, and employment populations on the Medical Campus. Therefore, it is currently anticipated that the Project's proposed mix of land uses would generate demand for water that meets or exceeds the threshold requiring the preparation of a water supply assessment ("WSA") pursuant to Senate Bill ("SB") 610. Based on the WSA, the EIR will evaluate whether available water supplies can adequately accommodate the Project's increased demand for water. Changes in water availability and water regulations, as well as water conservation features and practices, are important considerations in the ability of the Project to support its on-site population. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project involves the development of medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the expansion, removal, replacement, and modification of the existing Medical Campus. As such, given the associated increase in demand for wastewater treatment, the potential exists for the Project to exceed the capacity of existing wastewater treatment facilities. Therefore, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

Potentially Significant Impact. The Project proposes the development of new medical buildings and uses on-site, as well as the expansion, removal, replacement, and modification of existing facilities. Construction associated with Project buildout would generate inert solid waste (e.g., export soils, construction and demolition debris) which would require disposal at an unclassified landfill. In addition, during future Project operation, medical uses would generate solid waste which would be disposed of at the landfill(s) serving the County. All jurisdictions, including the County, are required to divert or recycle up to 50 percent of solid waste generated, to reduce the volume of waste requiring disposal in landfills. Although recycling would

extend the life of the landfill(s) serving the Project area, implementation of the Project would increase demand for landfill services and potentially accelerate projected landfill closures. Therefore, it is recommended that Project impacts related to solid waste disposal be analyzed further in an EIR.

g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

Potentially Significant Impact. The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989, also known as Assembly Bill (“AB”) 939, mandates jurisdictions to meet a diversion goal of 50 percent by 2000 and thereafter. In addition, each county is required to prepare and administer a Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (“CoIWMP”). This plan is comprised of the county’s and the cities’ solid waste reduction planning documents plus an Integrated Waste Management Summary Plan (“Summary Plan”) and a Countywide Siting Element (“CSE”). For Los Angeles County, the County’s Department of Public Works (“Public Works”) is responsible for preparing and administering the Summary Plan and the CSE. These documents were approved by the County, a majority of the cities within the County containing a majority of the cities’ population, the County Board of Supervisors, and the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (“CalRecycle”). The Summary Plan, approved by CalRecycle on June 23, 1999, describes the steps to be taken by local agencies, acting independently and in concert, to achieve the mandated state diversion rate by integrating strategies aimed toward reducing, reusing, recycling, diverting, and marketing solid waste generated within the County. In addition, Los Angeles County continually evaluates landfill disposal needs and capacity through preparation of CoIWMP Annual Reports. Within each annual report, future landfill disposal needs over the next 15-year planning horizon are addressed in part by determining the available landfill capacity.

As described above, there are a number of State and County plans and policies that address the availability of sufficient landfill capacity and the diversion/recycling of waste debris, with which the Project could potentially conflict. Therefore, it is recommended that Project consistency with plans and policies related to solid waste be analyzed further in an EIR.

h) Conflict with Los Angeles County Green Building Ordinance (L.A. County Code Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 20 and Title 21, § 21.24.440) or Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 21, § 21.24.430 and Title 22, Ch. 22.52, Part 21)?

Potentially Significant Impact. Long-term sustainability is one of the key principles guiding the Project. The Project would be required to comply with the County’s Green Building Ordinance (Chapter 22.52 – Part 20 of the Municipal Code) by conserving energy, water, natural resources, and promoting a healthier environment. Green building techniques that accommodate new technology and green building practices would be integrated into all building design, construction, and occupancy and integrated with Medical Campus infrastructure and include integrated stormwater and wastewater treatment. In addition, the implementation of the Project would utilize a standardized approach to third party certification systems (i.e., LEED), and all future development would be required by contract specifications to achieve a minimum LEED Silver certification (though incentives could result in higher levels of LEED certification). Project landscaping installed would be compliant with the County’s Drought Tolerant Landscaping Ordinance (Chapter 22.52 – Part 21) of the Municipal Code. Further, the Project would be developed in compliance with all state and local regulations related to energy conservation. Nonetheless, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

i) Involve the inefficient use of energy resources (see Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines)?

Potentially Significant Impact. As indicated in Response XVII.h), the Project would implement a wide variety of sustainability features throughout the Medical Campus and thus would not involve inefficient use of energy resources. The Project would include installation of energy efficient HVAC units, windows, a lighting control system that is Title 24 compliant, tank less hot water heaters, low flow plumbing fixtures, irrigation systems, and drought tolerant landscaping (where feasible). Therefore, the Project would not result in an inefficient use of energy resources. Nonetheless, it is recommended that this issue be analyzed further in an EIR.

XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Potentially Significant Impact. As analyzed in previous sections of this Initial Study, the Project could result in environmental impacts that could degrade the quality of the environment. As such, it this issue will be analyzed further in an EIR.

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects).

Potentially Significant Impact. As discussed above, the Project could potentially result in significant individually limited, but cumulatively considerable, impacts regarding aesthetics, air quality, geology/soils, GHG emissions, hazards/hazardous materials, hydrology/water quality, land use/planning, noise, population/housing, public services, recreation, traffic/transportation, and utilities/services. Therefore, the EIR will evaluate potential individually limited but cumulatively considerable impacts associated with these issues.

c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

Potentially Significant Impact. Due to the potentially significant impacts associated with implementation of the Project, the Project has the potential to cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either

directly or indirectly. Thus, a potentially significant impact associated with this issue could occur, and this issue will be analyzed further in an EIR.

APPENDIX A

HISTORIC RESOURCES REPORT

**LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE
1000 W. CARSON STREET
Torrance, California**

Historic Resource Report



Prepared by:
CONSULTING



July 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....i

1. INTRODUCTION.....1

1.1 Purpose and Qualifications.....1

1.2 Methodology.....1

2. REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT2

2.1 National Register of Historic Places.....2

2.2 California Register of Historical Resources.....5

3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING7

3.1 Historic Contexts.....7

3.2 History and Description of the Study Area.....12

4. EVALUATION OF ELIGIBILITY.....19

4.1 National Register.....19

4.2 California Register.....28

5. CONCLUSION28

6. SOURCES.....28

7. ADDITIONAL FIGURES31

Appendix I: DPR 523 Forms

Appendix II: Alteration Permit Tables

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the results of a historic resource evaluation of the property located at 1000 W. Carson Street in the City of Torrance. The assessor's parcel number for the property is 734-001-901. The property was developed as a military station hospital by the U.S. Army in 1943 and became Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital in 1947. It is now called Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. The Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute is also located on the campus.

The property is not currently designated a landmark at the national or state levels, nor has it been identified or evaluated as significant in any previous historic resource surveys. GPA Consulting (GPA) was retained to complete this evaluation as part of the environmental review of a proposed project on the property in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The property was evaluated in this report using the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) and California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) criteria. The primary contexts used in the evaluation were World War II military history and the history of public health in the Los Angeles area. After careful research and evaluation, GPA concludes that the property is not eligible for listing in the National or California Registers. While it is significant in the context of World War II military history in the Los Angeles area, it is lacking in integrity. GPA also concludes that none of the buildings on the property are individually eligible at the federal or state levels. Therefore, the property is not a historic resource subject to CEQA. As the project will have no impact on historic resources, no further study is required. While it is not required, the preservation and rehabilitation of Building N6 is recommended. It is the most intact building remaining on the property.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and Qualifications

The purpose of this report is to determine and set forth whether or not a proposed project will impact historic resources. The project site is located on a single parcel of land at 1000 W. Carson Street in the City of Torrance. The assessor's parcel number for the 77-acre property is 734-001-901. The subject property was developed as a military station hospital by the U.S. Army in 1943 and became Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital in 1947. The property is now called Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, and is still owned by the County of Los Angeles. A modern hospital facility occupies the eastern end of the property, while the Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute (LA BioMed) operates in a series of World War II era barracks, recently constructed buildings, and modular buildings in the center portion of the property.

Harbor-UCLA Medical Center is in the process of preparing a master plan for the Medical Center portion of the property. LA BioMed is in the process of securing a master lease from the County for an 11-acre portion of the property to be devoted exclusively to LA BioMed. LA BioMed plans for the construction of two new research buildings, which require the removal of some of the existing World War II era buildings, and likely the removal of many of the remaining buildings in the 11-acre lease premises. As many of the existing buildings are over 50 years of age, LA BioMed commissioned this Historic Resource Report to determine if they are historic resources subject to CEQA.

Teresa Grimes, Principal Architectural Historian with GPA, was responsible for the preparation of this report. She fulfills the qualifications for historic preservation professionals outlined in Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61. Amanda Yoder, Architectural Historian at GPA assisted with the preparation of the report. Their résumés are available upon request.

1.2 Methodology

In conducting the analysis of potential historic resources and project impacts, the following tasks were performed:

1. Conducted a preliminary field inspection of the project site and surrounding area to determine the study area for the report and to identify potential historic resources. The study area was identified as the entire 77-acre parcel, because it is a single parcel with a common history and use. The study area was not larger because the proposed project would have no potential to impact known or unknown historic resources in the vicinity of the property. Potential historic resources were considered buildings or structures 50 years of age or older. While the modern hospital facility on the eastern end of the property owned and operated by the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center was originally constructed in 1963, making it 50 years of age, it was not identified or evaluated as a potential historic resource because the proposed project would have no potential to impact it.
2. Conducted an intensive field inspection of the buildings identified as potential historic resources to establish their general condition and physical integrity. Digital photographs were taken of each potential historic resource within the study area during this field inspection.

3. Researched the property to determine whether or not it is currently listed as a landmark at the national, state, or local levels and whether or not it has been previously identified or evaluated as a historic resource. This involved a records search at the South Central Coastal Information Center at California State University, Fullerton. It revealed no previously recorded built-environment or archaeological resources within the study area. However, the property is included in the California Historical Resources Inventory System (CHRIS) with an evaluation code of 6J. This evaluation appears to be incorrectly applied as it means California Historical Landmarks or Points of Historical Interest found ineligible for designation by the State Historical Resources Commission. As the property is not and has never been designated at Landmark or Point of Interest, this evaluation is nonsensical. Further investigation by GPA determined that the State Office of Historic Preservation provided a cursory evaluation of the property for the Department of State Architect in 1995 in response to a request for funding by the County of Los Angeles.
4. Obtained building permit records from the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works website and reviewed the available documents. There were no original permits for the buildings constructed by the U.S. Army. However, there are aerial photographs from 1943 and 1952 that document the presence of the buildings during that period and the original layout of the campus. Building permit records were used to help document the dates the buildings were altered. For a full list of permitted alterations, please see the permit tables in Appendix II.
5. Researched the property and surrounding area at local libraries and archives to establish the general history and the contexts in which it should be evaluated. This included a review of the relevant databases, newspapers, books, and articles.
6. Reviewed and analyzed ordinances, statutes, regulations, bulletins, and technical materials relating to federal, state and local historic preservation designations, and assessment processes and programs.

2. REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Generally, a lead agency must consider a property a historic resource under CEQA if it is eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources. The California Register is modeled after the National Register of Historic Places. Furthermore, a property is presumed to be historically significant if it is listed in a local register of historic resources or has been identified as historically significant in a historic resources survey (provided certain criteria and requirements are satisfied) unless a preponderance of evidence demonstrates that the property is not historically or culturally significant.¹ The national and state designation programs are described below.

2.1 NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES

The National Register is "an authoritative guide to be used by federal, state, and local governments, private groups and citizens to identify the nation's cultural resources and to indicate what properties should be considered for protection from destruction or impairment."²

¹ Public Resources Code Section 5024.1 and 14 CCR Section 4850.

² Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60.2.

Criteria

To be eligible for listing in the National Register, a property must be at least 50 years of age and possess significance in American history and culture, architecture, or archaeology.³ A property of potential significance must meet one or more of four established criteria:⁴

- A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or
- B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
- C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
- D. Yield, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Physical Integrity

According to *National Register Bulletin #15*, "to be eligible for listing in the National Register, a property must not only be shown to be significant under National Register criteria, but it also must have integrity."⁵ Integrity is defined in *National Register Bulletin #15* as "the ability of a property to convey its significance."⁶ Within the concept of integrity, the National Register recognizes seven aspects or qualities that in various combinations define integrity. They are feeling, association, workmanship, location, design, setting, and materials, and they are defined by *National Register Bulletin #15* as follows:⁷

- Location is the place where the historic property was constructed or the place where the historic event occurred.
- Design is the combination of elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style of a property.
- Setting is the physical environment of a historic property.
- Materials are the physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern or configuration to form a historic property.
- Workmanship is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history or prehistory.
- Feeling is a property's expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time.

³ Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60.4.

⁴ Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60.4.

⁵ *National Register Bulletin #15*, p. 44.

⁶ *National Register Bulletin #15*, pp. 44-45.

⁷ *National Register Bulletin #15*, pp. 44-45.

- Association is the direct link between an important historic event or person and a historic property.

Context

To be eligible for listing in the National Register, a property must also be significant within a historic context. *National Register Bulletin #15* states that the significance of a historic property can be judged only when it is evaluated within its historic context. Historic contexts are "those patterns, themes, or trends in history by which a specific...property or site is understood and its meaning...is made clear."⁸ A property must represent an important aspect of the area's history or prehistory and possess the requisite integrity to qualify for the National Register.

Historic Districts

The National Register includes significant properties, which are classified as buildings, sites, districts, structures, or objects. A historic district "derives its importance from being a unified entity, even though it is often composed of a variety of resources. The identity of a district results from the interrelationship of its resources, which can be an arrangement of historically or functionally related properties."⁹

A district is defined as a geographically definable area of land containing a significant concentration of buildings, sites, structures, or objects united by past events or aesthetically by plan or physical development.¹⁰ A district's significance and historic integrity should help determine the boundaries. Other factors include:

- Visual barriers that mark a change in the historic character of the area or that break the continuity of the district, such as new construction, highways, or development of a different character;
- Visual changes in the character of the area due to different architectural styles, types, or periods, or to a decline in the concentration of contributing resources;
- Boundaries at a specific time in history, such as the original city limits or the legally recorded boundaries of a housing subdivision, estate, or ranch; and
- Clearly differentiated patterns of historical development, such as commercial versus residential or industrial.¹¹

Within historic districts, properties are identified as contributing and noncontributing. A contributing building, site, structure, or object adds to the historic associations, historic architectural qualities, or archeological values for which a district is significant because:

- It was present during the period of significance, relates to the significance of the district, and retains its physical integrity; or
- It independently meets the criterion for listing in the National Register.¹²

⁸ *National Register Bulletin #15*, p. 7.

⁹ *Ibid*, p. 5.

¹⁰ Title 36 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60.3(d).

¹¹ *National Register Bulletin #21*, p. 12.

2.2 California Register of Historical Resources

In 1992, Governor Wilson signed Assembly Bill 2881 into law establishing the California Register. The California Register is an authoritative guide used by state and local agencies, private groups and citizens to identify historic resources and to indicate what properties are to be protected, to the extent prudent and feasible, from substantial adverse impacts.

The California Register consists of properties that are listed automatically, as well as those that must be nominated through an application and public hearing process.¹³ The California Register automatically includes the following:

- California properties listed in the National Register and those formally Determined Eligible for the National Register;
- California Registered Historical Landmarks from No. 0770 onward; and
- Those California Points of Historical Interest that have been evaluated by the Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) and have been recommended to the State Historical Resources Commission for inclusion on the California Register.

The criteria for eligibility of listing in the California Register are based upon National Register criteria, but are identified as 1-4 instead of A-D. To be eligible for listing in the California Register, a property must be at least 50 years of age and possess significance at the local, state, or national level, under one or more of the following four criteria:

1. It is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history, or the cultural heritage of California or the United States; or
2. It is associated with the lives of persons important to local, California, or national history; or
3. It embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values; or
4. It has yielded, or has the potential to yield, information important in the prehistory or history of the local area, California, or the nation.

Historic resources eligible for listing in the California Register may include buildings, sites, structures, objects, and historic districts. Resources less than 50 years of age may be eligible if it can be demonstrated that sufficient time has passed to understand its historical importance. While the enabling legislation for the California Register is less rigorous with regard to the issue of integrity, there is the expectation that properties reflect their appearance during their period of significance.¹⁴

⁹ *National Register Bulletin #16*, p. 16.

¹³ Public Resources Code Section 5024.1.

¹⁴ Public Resources Code Section 4852.

The California Register may also include properties identified during historic resource surveys. However, the survey must meet all of the following criteria:¹⁵

1. The survey has been or will be included in the State Historic Resources Inventory.
2. The survey and the survey documentation were prepared in accordance with office (OHP) procedures and requirements.
3. The resource is evaluated and determined by the office (OHP) to have a significance rating of Category 1 to 5 on a DPR Form 523.
4. If the survey is five or more years old at the time of its nomination for inclusion in the California Register, the survey is updated to identify historical resources which have become eligible or ineligible due to changed circumstances or further documentation and those which have been demolished or altered in a manner that substantially diminishes the significance of the resource.

OHP Survey Methodology

The evaluation instructions and classification system proscribed by OHP in its *Instructions for Recording Historical Resources* provide a three-digit evaluation code for use in classifying potential historic resources. In 2003, the codes were revised to address the California Register. The first digit indicates the general category of evaluation. The second digit is a letter code to indicate whether the resource is separately eligible (S), eligible as part of a district (D), or both (B). The third digit is a number, which is coded to describe some of the circumstances or conditions of the evaluation. The general evaluation categories are as follows:

1. Listed in the National Register or the California Register.
2. Determined eligible for listing in the National Register or the California Register.
3. Appears eligible for listing in the National Register or the California Register through survey evaluation.
4. Appears eligible for listing in the National Register or the California Register through other evaluation.
5. Recognized as historically significant by local government.
6. Not eligible for listing or designation as specified.
7. Not evaluated or needs re-evaluation.

¹⁵ Public Resources Code Section 5024.1.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

3.1 Historic Contexts

U.S. Military History in Los Angeles Leading up to World War II

Los Angeles was captured by American forces from Mexican militia in the summer of 1846, and became part of the United States in 1850 when California joined the union. In order to consolidate their conquest the U.S. military constructed Forts Hill and Moore, as well as a naval base in San Pedro. Fort Hill was positioned atop a hill overlooking El Pueblo. Six weeks later Fort Moore was constructed on the very same hill near the present-day intersection of North Hill Street and Cesar Chavez Avenue. The military has maintained a presence in San Pedro ever since, while all that remains of Fort Moore is a memorial.

During the Civil War, California provided 17,000 volunteers despite the fact that a significant portion of the state's population emigrated from southern states.¹⁶ Many of these men served in battalions in the east, but others served in Arizona. Because of the large amount of Confederate sympathizers in Southern California, the federal government maintained a strong military presence in the state. Through the Civil War several camps were established to house the Union Army. Among them were Camp Latham, Camp La Cienega, and Camp Drum.¹⁷

In the early 20th century, Los Angeles established its official port at San Pedro. This port would quickly become the largest port on the West Coast.¹⁸ The military quickly realized that such an important port should not be vulnerable to attack and constructed Fort MacArthur. Large gun batteries were added to the fort during World War I and removed after World War II. During the Cold War the fort became part of the Nike surface-to-air missile defense system. In the late 1970s part of the fort was declared surplus property. It was purchased by the City of Los Angeles and converted into Angel's Gate Park. The remainder of the fort became an air force base, which is what it remains today.¹⁹

U.S. Military History in the Los Angeles Area During World War II

World War II was a very different war for Los Angeles residents. Unlike previous wars, Los Angeles was under the threat of real attack. The American Civil War and World War I had both been fought far away from the city, but Los Angeles' position on the Pacific Coast meant that many Angelinos would experience much more drastic effects of war. An example of this was the Battle of Los Angeles. The battle was actually a false alarm caused by the sighting of unidentified aircraft. The defense forces of Southern California took the threat seriously and sounded air raid sirens, ordered blackouts, and began shooting flak into the skies.²⁰ Despite no enemy aircraft being confirmed, the event still showcased the Army's level of preparedness for a real attack.

¹⁶ California State Military Museum, "California and the Civil War," accessed June 24, 2013,

<http://www.militarymuseum.org/HistoryCW.html>

¹⁷ ASM Affiliates, *SurveyLA Historic Context Statement, Context: Institutional Development: Government and Private, Theme: Military Institutions and Activities*, 2012.

¹⁸ *Ibid.*

¹⁹ Fort MacArthur Museum, "The History of Fort MacArthur," accessed June 27, 2013,

<http://www.ftmac.org/Fmhist.htm>

²⁰ *Ibid.*

At the outset of the war, Los Angeles became a major center for aircraft and ship production and repair. Before the war San Pedro shipyards employed approximately 20,000 workers. During the war that number more than quadrupled. The city also became a hub for the construction of aircraft for the war effort. Los Angeles' shipyards and factories incentivized the Army to increase the city's defenses.

Early in the war the Navy did not have enough ships to patrol the entire West Coast. Thus, they commandeered all manner of ships in order to patrol the coast until enough warships could be manufactured. This included all yachts in California as well as a large number of tuna fishing boats.²¹

Airbases were constructed next to the Los Angeles International Airport and in Santa Ana, and air units were placed in other airports such as the 146th tactical airlift wing at the Van Nuys Airport.²² In the desert, anti-aircraft gunners were trained to defend the skies around Los Angeles region at Camp Haan. At another desert camp soldiers practiced tank warfare.²³

After the attack on Pearl Harbor, the organization and movement of troops to the Pacific Theater became one of the primary objectives of the U.S. Army. The Port of Embarkation in San Francisco, established in 1898 during the Spanish-American War, became the nerve center for the transportation of men and materials across the Pacific Ocean. However, it was quickly overwhelmed by such a large mission, so the Army established new ports of embarkation in Los Angeles, Seattle, and Portland.

The Los Angeles Port of Embarkation included docks and warehouses in Los Angeles Harbor, a hospital in Torrance, Camp Anza in Riverside, and ammunition storage in Rialto. Peak employment was over 15,000 personnel.²⁴ The Port of Embarkation served as the first and last point of entry for military troops; men would receive their final training at the staging areas or be hospitalized upon their return.²⁵

The Army constructed the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital in 1943 in Torrance. The hospital provided health services to the families of servicemen in the area, as well as wounded servicemen returning from the Pacific Theater. The site consisted of 77 one-story wood-framed barracks buildings organized into neat rows. In 1946, the site was declared Army surplus and purchased by the County of Los Angeles for \$48,271.²⁶ The hospital was converted into the Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital to serve the civilians in the South Bay. In 1951, the hospital became affiliated with the UCLA School of Medicine.

Both civilians and military personal used other hospitals during the war. In Van Nuys the Army built Birmingham General Hospital named after Brigadier General Henry Patrick Birmingham. The buildings followed the same plan as the station hospital in Torrance: dozens of wood-framed barracks situated in rows. The hospital had 1,777 beds,

²¹ Roger W. Lotchin, *Bad City in the Good War* (Indiana: Indiana University Press), p. 8.

²² *Ibid.*, p. 9.

²³ *Ibid.*

²⁴ No Author, "Port of Embarkation Posts 'Closed' Signs," *Los Angeles Times*, March 30, 1946, accessed June 10, 2013 via ProQuest.

²⁵ No Author, "Army's Gigantic Transport Corps Two Years Old," *Los Angeles Times*, July 31, 1944, accessed June 10, 2013 via ProQuest.

²⁶ California State Military Museum, "Historic California Posts, Stations and Airfields Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital," accessed June 26, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/LAPESInHosp.html>.

approximately half of which were reserved for returning soldiers. The hospital's location near Hollywood meant that movie and radio stars frequented the hospital. The site was even the filming location for Fred Zimmerman's "The Men." In 1953, the majority of the hospital was torn down and replaced by the Birmingham Junior High School (now the Birmingham Community Charter High School). The rest of the site was retained by the Army and used as a missile defense battalion during the Cold War. These barracks survive and are now used by the Daniel Pearl Magnet High School.

One of the more unusual military hospitals developed by the Army was the Pasadena Area Station Hospital, also referred to as the McCormack General Hospital. The hospital was originally the Vista del Arroyo Hotel and Bungalows. In the 1880s, a small inn occupied the site overlooking the Arroyo Seco. Hotel tycoon, Daniel M. Linnard purchased the inn in 1919 and demolished it to make way for the existing buildings, which were constructed during the 1920s and 1930s.²⁷ In 1943, the Army acquired the property and began using it as a hospital, as well as offices. It primarily served as a convalescent hospital for Army officers. It continued to function as a hospital until 1949. The building was once again put to federal use as the U.S. Court of Appeals and Federal Building in 1985.

Another important military hospital was part of the Long Beach Naval Base at Terminal Island. The base had been established in 1917, but when the war began the base expanded beyond Terminal Island and the Terminal Island Dry Dock Facility was constructed. Because of the limited size of the island, the dry dock was never the permanent home for any of the Navy's ships, instead the dry dock was mainly used for ship repair. In addition to the dry dock the Navy built barracks, large cranes, a boiler shop, a plate shop, massive above-ground and underground fuel storage facilities, a net depot, an ammunition depot, a large hospital, a prison, a degaussing range, a radio station, and an airfield.²⁸ After the war the facility played a large part in the demilitarization process by decommissioning several warships. The hospital was located on East Carson Street and continued to function until 1994 when it officially closed. It was eventually demolished and replaced with a shopping center.

History of Public Health in the Los Angeles Area²⁹

In the 1960s, the Los Angeles City Health Department merged into the Los Angeles County Health Department. In 1972, the Los Angeles County departments of hospitals, public health, and mental health, along with the veterinarians' office, were merged into the Department of Health Services (DHS) to provide integrated health services.

DHS currently operates four hospitals: Los Angeles County-USC Medical Center, Olive View-UCLA Medical Center, Martin Luther King Jr. Community Hospital, and Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. In addition the Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center provides physical therapy services to individuals around the county. The oldest of these is Los Angeles County-USC Medical Center, originally called Los Angeles County General Hospital.

²⁷ California State Military Museum, "Historic California Posts McCormack General Hospital (Pasadena Area Station Hospital)," accessed June 26, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/McCormackGenHosp.html>.

²⁸ California State Military Museum, "Naval Station Long Beach", accessed July 1, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/NOBLongBeach.html>.

²⁹ This context was largely derived from the article by Michael R Cousineau and Robert E. Tranquada, "Crisis & Commitment: 150 Years of Service by Los Angeles County Public Hospitals," in *American Journal of Public Health* (April 2007) accessed June 26, 2013, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1829364/>.

Los Angeles County's health care system began in 1856, when six Daughters of Charity of St. Vincent DePaul traveled to Los Angeles from Emmitsburg, Maryland, to open a hospital. Their eight-bed facility later became St. Vincent's Hospital, from which the County purchased medical services for indigent patients at a cost of \$1.22 a day. It was not long before the cost of caring for the indigent became an issue in Los Angeles, and in 1878, the County opened its own 100-bed Los Angeles County Hospital and Poor Farm as a way of lowering costs to the County. This facility in Downey evolved into the Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center.

The Los Angeles County health care system was built under changing and sometimes conflicting social policies governing both public health and welfare for the poor. Although public health laws contributed to the evolution of the DHS, the Los Angeles County health care system emerged largely from the county's responsibility to provide for the health and welfare of its indigent population. The Pauper Act of 1855, adopted shortly after California achieved statehood, evolved to become Section 17000 of the state Welfare and Institutions Code. Passed in 1935, Section 17000 delegated the health and welfare responsibilities of the indigent to the counties. Counties appropriated a portion of their tax base to health care, and by 1966, 66 public hospitals were distributed across all but nine of the 58 counties in California.

Until 1915, public health activities and administration were centered primarily in the City of Los Angeles, which had operated a health department since 1879. The city's first health officer, Walter Lindley, used his office to attract health seekers, individuals who might be lured to Southern California by its warm climate and lifestyle. Families from the East Coast and Midwest came to Southern California, and the population surged. The growing economy also attracted immigrants from Asia and Mexico, who came to Los Angeles searching for employment opportunities.

New communities of immigrants formed outside the city limits of Los Angeles. High rates of infant mortality and infectious disease were reported in the media and discussed by both City and County officials. Residents' fears of communicable disease grew as the number of immigrant families in the area grew, introducing a new dimension to the struggle to expand the Los Angeles County health care system.

In 1915, the Los Angeles County Public Health Department, which had jurisdiction over smaller cities and unincorporated regions, appointed John Larabee Pomeroy, who, as the County's first health officer, confronted high infectious-disease rates among immigrant families. Pomeroy developed a series of 12 free health clinics strategically placed throughout the county that would provide a new front against communicable diseases and alleviate some of the patient care demands at General Hospital.

However, throughout the early years of the Great Depression, private physicians in the county opposed these clinics, fearing they would draw paying patients away from their offices. Under this pressure they were closed by the County's Board of Supervisors. Poor and immigrant families in Los Angeles County had little access to private health care, however, and with the growing concern that immigrants would spread infectious diseases to others, physicians eventually dropped their opposition, facilitating the expansion of public health clinics in the 1940s and 1950s.

The growing rates of infectious diseases contributed to Los Angeles County's decision to build a new facility on the General Hospital campus in the 1920s. Infectious diseases even influenced the design of the new facility, with its vertical stacks of wards separated by

stairwells and elevators to reduce the flow of patients, visitors, and staff, and the spread of infectious agents.

Fear of communicable diseases did not ease the concerns of taxpayers, who were wary of the cost of building the new hospital. An initial bond measure failed and a second narrowly passed in 1923, authorizing a \$5 million bond, later augmented by a 10-cent property tax surcharge, to acquire the land and construct the hospital. Actress Mary Pickford dedicated the hospital's eight-ton cornerstone on December 7, 1930, and the 1,680-bed Los Angeles County General Hospital opened in December 1933. Its size was one million square feet, and its cost was \$12 million.

As infectious diseases subsided, many of the Los Angeles County General Hospital campus facilities and ancillary hospitals built to treat infectious disease were converted to provide general acute care or even specialty care. Several miles south of Los Angeles County General Hospital, Rancho Los Amigos was started in 1890 as a poor farm and became an internationally recognized rehabilitation institute, but only after the poliomyelitis epidemic pressed it into service as a respiratory center.

Changes in types of health problems facing Los Angeles were not the only factors affecting change in public hospitals. Facilities were added or expanded in response to changing demographic and social forces and events. In 1942, the capacity of the General Hospital was expanded to nearly 3,800 beds to accommodate injured military personnel returning from World War II. At the end of the war, the County acquired two military hospitals: the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital in Torrance (now Harbor-UCLA Medical Center) and a hospital in Long Beach, which was later closed. A 265-bed psychiatric hospital was built next to the General Hospital in 1955, in part as a response to the closures of state psychiatric hospitals. New educational institutions became part of the Los Angeles County Hospital, including the College of Medical Evangelists, which later moved to Loma Linda University, and the California College of Medicine, which moved to become the University of California, Irvine School of Medicine.

Postwar population growth in Los Angeles County and suburbanization had a profound impact on Los Angeles and its health care system. Up to this time, the General Hospital served not only the poor but also some of the middle-income working class who lived in central and east Los Angeles. These communities were thriving, with industries, jobs, and neighborhoods with single-family dwellings. During the postwar population surge of the 1950s, industries, jobs, and money followed the mostly White families to the growing suburban communities. The previously prospering central and east Los Angeles communities became home to a growing number of low-income families who were predominantly Black and Latino.

As employment-related, private health insurance expanded and private hospitals were built to serve growing middle-class suburban communities, health care for the poor became the prominent domain of the Los Angeles County General Hospital. By the 1960s, the hospital had become a medical complex that included the General Hospital, the Pediatric Pavilion, the Psychiatric Hospital, and the Women's and Children's Hospital. It was renamed the Los Angeles County-USC Medical Center.

The social and economic neglect of south-central Los Angeles, capped by police racism, culminated in urban unrest and the Watts riots of 1965, a seminal point in the history of Los Angeles. An independent commission's report on the causes of the Watts

riots identified the lack of health care in south-central Los Angeles and led to the building of the Martin Luther King Jr. Medical Center and the Charles R. Drew Postgraduate Medical School (later to become the Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science). Both opened in 1972.

3.2 History and Description of the Study Area

The property located at 1000 W. Carson Street is a medical campus spanning 77 acres. It is bounded by Carson Street on the north, 220th Street to the south, and Vermont and Normandie Avenues to the east and west, respectively. The property is completely flat. There are a number of surface parking lots, roads, and sidewalks scattered throughout the site, though most of the buildings are surrounded by patches of lawn. There are a number of mature trees and shrubs, although a regular planting pattern is not evident.

The property was originally a military station hospital, constructed as part of the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation.³⁰ The hospital was completed in 1943 and equipped with 600 beds and medical, surgical, and dental facilities in 77 barracks. The original plan also included a theater, sports fields, recreation rooms, and two mess halls.³¹ Research did not indicate if these planned recreational facilities were ever constructed.




Figure 1: Location Map. Source: Google Maps.

The site consisted primarily of one-story rectangular buildings with gabled roofs and wood clapboard siding. The barracks had multi-light double-hung windows and partially-glazed paneled doors. The majority of the long, thin buildings were oriented facing north-south with covered walkways that connected the buildings running east to west. At the

³⁰ No Author, "Army's Gigantic Transport Corps Two Years Old," *Los Angeles Times*, July 31, 1944, accessed June 10, 2013, via ProQuest.

³¹ No Author, "Army 600 Bed Hospital Ready," *Los Angeles Times*, August 27, 1943, accessed June 4, 2013, via ProQuest.

west end of the site, there was a grouping of small cottages: single-story, square buildings with gabled roofs that were likely used for staff housing.



Figure 2: Photograph of the site in 1943. Source: www.labiomed.org.

After the war ended, the last patient to receive treatment at the hospital was discharged in February of 1946.³² The site was officially closed by the military the following month.³³ At that time Los Angeles County made plans to purchase it to relieve overcrowding at Los Angeles County General Hospital near Downtown Los Angeles. The County purchased the property in June for \$48,271 and renamed it Harbor General Hospital.³⁴

Harbor General Hospital opened in July of 1946, equipped with 60 beds and 70 employees.³⁵ Prior to the opening of Harbor General, the South Bay area was sparsely populated and did not require a hospital. Depending on the seriousness of their condition, patients would either be treated at a clinic in nearby San Pedro or at Los Angeles County General Hospital near Downtown Los Angeles.³⁶ When factories began to spring up in the area to produce materials for the war, those looking for work quickly settled in the area.³⁷ Tracts of single-family residences were constructed to answer the need for housing. Harbor General operated out of the military barracks, seemingly at a fraction of the original military station hospital's capacity. Harbor General left the site relatively unchanged aside from the addition of just three buildings, as seen on a 1952 aerial photograph of the site. Only one (Building N24, the Outpatient Clinic) of these three early postwar buildings remains.

³² Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The Beginning." Accessed June 19, 2013. <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/cabegin.html>.

³³ No Author, "County Eyes U.S. Hospital in Torrance," *Los Angeles Times*, February 18, 1946, accessed June 10, 2013, via ProQuest.

³⁴ Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The Beginning." Accessed June 19, 2013. <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/cabegin.html>

³⁵ Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The 1940s-1950s," accessed June 19, 2013, <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/ca4050.html>.

³⁶ Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The Beginning." Accessed June 19, 2013. <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/cabegin.html>

³⁷ Harbor-UCLA Pediatrics. "History of Harbor-UCLA Medical Center," accessed June 26, 2013. <http://harborpeds.org/aboutus/ourhistory>.

The hospital's first patient arrived in August of 1946 after a plane crash in a nearby field. Later that year, tuberculosis patients were transferred to Harbor General from Los Angeles County General Hospital and were treated in isolation wards. In 1948, Harbor General would also serve as a rehabilitation facility for patients suffering from the polio epidemic.³⁸

Harbor General's affiliation with UCLA began in 1951. The Veteran's Administration ruled that hospitals would receive compensation for providing training, encouraging hospitals to introduce residency programs. Soon after, in 1948, the County Board of Supervisors allowed an informal affiliation between UCLA's School of Medicine and Harbor General. UCLA's medical school was founded in 1945, but did not have access to facilities in which to practice. In 1951, UCLA admitted its first medical students and Harbor General served as the medical school's southern campus.³⁹

In 1956, a bond measure provided funds for the construction of a new hospital facility. The project consisted of an eight-story hospital and an adjacent two-story wing for outpatient services. The two facilities were designed as a joint effort between architects Welton Becket, Adrian Wilson, Paul R. Williams, and Francis J. Heusel.⁴⁰ The hospital was constructed on the east end of the site, which was vacant land with the exception of four barracks at the south end. These barracks were removed for the construction of the new hospital.

The new Harbor General Hospital was completed, and began accepting patients in 1963. No longer necessary for the hospital, the barracks were vacated and slated for demolition. Despite the fact that the barracks were only meant to last seven years,⁴¹ Sherman Mellinkoff, then Dean of the UCLA School of Medicine, saw their potential for additional research space and convinced the County to retain the buildings.⁴²

Medical research began at Harbor General in the early 1950s with the formation of the Research Committee under Dr. Walter P. Martin and the Attending Staff Association (ASA).⁴³ In 1963, the ASA hired a full-time research administrator, Frank J. DeSantis, who sought out grants and contracts for research. In 1973, the Attending Staff Association became the Professional Staff Association, and by 1981, what was then called the Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute was established as a separate, non-profit entity. Today the barracks are occupied by the Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute, commonly known as LA BioMed, which traces its roots back to the ASA.⁴⁴

Based on aerial photographs (please refer to Figures 4-7 below), the site remained much the same from 1943 to 1980. Today, only 42 of the World War II era buildings remain, concentrated in the center of the site. Many of the original buildings have been demolished and replaced with more modern facilities, constructed primarily at the south

³⁸ Ibid.

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ No Author, "Furtherance of County Hospital's Set: New Structures Represent Cost of \$14,100,000," *Los Angeles Times*, December 28, 1958, accessed June 4, 2013, via ProQuest.

⁴¹ Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The 1960s-1970s," accessed June 19, 2013, <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/ca6070.html>.

⁴² LA BioMed, "Our Historical Timeline: Vacated Barracks Become Research Space," accessed June 21, 2013, <http://www.labiomed.org/history/>.

⁴³ Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: Research and Education Institute," accessed June 19, 2013, <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/carei.html>.

⁴⁴ Ibid.

and western portions of the site. The new construction does not follow a unified campus plan and seems to have been constructed randomly, and likely on an as-needed basis.

Some remaining buildings are freestanding, such as Building N14, while others in the B, C, D, E, and F blocks are connected by the original covered walkways. However, some buildings connected to these walkways have been demolished, leaving crude scars such as exposed framing or gable remnants. Thus, the once regular pattern of barracks now has missing pieces, and new buildings have filled some of the gaps.



Figure 3: Building N6, north elevation, view looking southwest. Source: GPA.

The most intact building that remains is Building N6, at the north end of the site. Although it is in generally poor condition, it provides the most insight into how the original buildings appeared in 1943. Building N6 is a rectangular building with a gabled roof and flush eaves. The original roof material is not known and has been replaced with a composition shingle. There are louvered attic vents at the gable ends. At the center of the gable ridge is a wood cupola; the cupola has a gabled roof and is clad in horizontal clapboard with louvered vents on its north- and south-facing sides. The exterior of the building is clad in wide, horizontal clapboards with simple wood corner boards and trim. The building has no foundation and is elevated above ground level by concrete piers. A plywood skirt now encloses the open space beneath the building. The original entrances, based on evidence from Buildings N14 and N6, were partially glazed wood panel doors with sidelights, accessed by wood steps. The windows on Building N6 are eight-over-eight double-hung wood windows surrounded by simple wood trim. Portions of original buildings were identified by the GPA project team based on these characteristics. For descriptions of the other buildings on the site, please see the state historic resource inventory forms (DPR 523 forms) located in Appendix I. For a list of buildings on the site over 50 years of age, please see Table I on the following pages.

TABLE I – BUILDINGS OVER 50 YEARS OF AGE

BUILDING NAME	DEPARTMENT	BUILD DATE
B	Walkway connecting B buildings	1943
B1	LA BioMed – Medicine/Pathology	1943
B2	LA BioMed - Surgery	1943
B3 Annex	Medical Records	1943
B4	LA BioMed – Pediatrics/Psychiatry	1943
C1	LA BioMed - Medicine	1943
C2	LA BioMed – Medicine/Obstetrics	1943
C3	LA BioMed - Surgery	1943
D	Walkway connecting D buildings	1943
D1	LA BioMed	1943
D3	LA BioMed – Obstetrics and Gynecology/Medicine	1943
D5	Outpatient Psychiatry	1943
D6	Outpatient Psychiatry	1943
E	Walkway connecting E buildings	1943
E2	LA BioMed - Medicine	1943
E3	LA BioMed – Pituitary Hormone Center	1943
E4	LA BioMed – Pediatrics	1943
E5	LA BioMed – Medicine/Clinical Trials	1943
E6	LA BioMed – Anesthesiology/Medicine/Pathology/Surgery	1943
F	Walkway connecting F buildings	1943
F1	LA BioMed	1943
F2	LA BioMed	1943
F3	Facilities Management	1943
F4	Facilities Management/Hospital Plan. & Arch.	1943
F5	Storage	1943
F6	Storage	1943
F7	Surgery	1943
F8	Resource Center/Sleep Rooms	1943
F9	Storage	1943
H1	LA County Transportation	1943
N	Walkway connecting N buildings	1943
N6	Medical Records	1943
N14	LA BioMed – Administration	1943
N17	Patient Resource Center/Snack Bar	1943
N22	Clinical Social Work/Outpatient Pharmacy	1943
N24	Outpatient Clinics	1950
N28	Women's Health Care Clinic	1943/50
N34	DHS – Child Health Disability & Prevention	1943

BUILDING NAME	DEPARTMENT	BUILD DATE
T1	Facilities Management	1943
Cottage #14	Public Health	1943
Cottage #16	Nursing – Home Health Care	1943
Cottage #18	Medical Records	1943
Paint Shop	Facilities Management	1943

Common alterations to the buildings include: incompatible additions; the replacement of the original cladding with T1-11 siding or stucco in part or entirely; the removal of the corner boards; the replacement of the foundation skirts with plywood; the replacement of original doors and windows and in some cases, removal of door and window openings entirely; the addition and then removal of wall mounted air conditioning units; the addition of newer heating and air conditioning equipment and ducts which are exposed on the exteriors; and the addition of handicapped access ramps. For a full list of permitted alterations, please see the permit tables in Appendix II.



Figure 4: The site as it appeared in 1952. Source: Historicaerials.com



Figure 5: The site as it appeared in 1980. Source: Historicaerials.com




Figure 6: The site as it appears today. Source: Google Maps.



Figure 7: Original buildings that remain, in whole or in part, are shaded green. Source: *Historicaerials.com*.

4. EVALUATION OF ELIGIBILITY

The medical campus at 1000 W. Carson Street was evaluated for listing in the National and California Registers. The contexts and themes considered in these evaluations included military history during World War II and the history of public health in the Los Angeles area.

4.1 National Register

Large properties with multiple buildings and structures from the same period of time and multiple buildings or structures with a common history and use are typically evaluated to determine if such buildings constitute a historic district. As such, the medical campus was evaluated to determine if it constitutes a historic district. Historic districts usually meet the last portion of Criterion C, "a distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction." However, they must also be significant within a historic context. As such, historic districts may also be historically significant under Criterion A, B, or D, or architecturally significant under other portions of Criterion C.

Criterion A

In order to qualify under Criterion A, a property must be associated with events or trends that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history. The first context considered under this criterion is World War II military history in the Los Angeles area. World War II had a major impact on the Los Angeles area. The military dramatically increased its presence in the area with the construction of new military facilities, expansion of existing military facilities, and adaptation of existing civilian facilities for military use. These facilities included forts, camps, airfields, shipping yards, submarine bases, and hospitals. Thousands of people relocated to the Los Angeles area during the period for jobs in the defense industry.

In order to create staging areas for soldiers being deployed to the Pacific Theater, the construction of military camps in California accelerated. Two troop embarkation points were designated, a main one in San Francisco and a sub-port in Los Angeles. By 1944, Los Angeles was elevated to a full port. The Los Angeles Port of Embarkation expanded

the historic military presence in the Los Angeles Harbor, especially in Wilmington. It is unknown if there are any properties remaining from the period in Wilmington. Because of the lack of available land in the Los Angeles area, the Army constructed Camp Anza on a ranch in Riverside County near the March Air Base. Camp Anza became the main processing center for soldiers waiting to be deployed. Camp Anza is largely gone. There are a few individual buildings remaining, some of which were converted to single-family residences. The station hospital in Torrance was a key component of the Los Angeles Port of Embarkation, because it provided critical medical care to servicemen returning from the Pacific Theater.

The subject property is significant under Criterion A in the context of World War II military history in the Los Angeles area. The property has a direct and significant association with the military build up in the Los Angeles area, because it was expressly constructed to serve military medical needs during World War II and was one of only a few such facilities in the area. The property played an important role in the Port of Embarkation through which over 600,000 military personnel traveled to the Pacific Theater. The period of significance for the property in this context is 1943 to 1946, the duration it was used by the U.S. military.

The second context considered under this criterion is the history of public health in the Los Angeles area, specifically Los Angeles County. The history of public health in Los Angeles County reaches back to the 19th century. By the postwar era it had become a large network of hospitals and clinics serving millions of individuals. The main purpose of the system was and still is to ensure the general health of the citizens, prevent the spread of infectious diseases, and provide critical care to low-income individuals in Los Angeles County. The County of Los Angeles purchased the subject property in 1946 to increase access to medical care in the southern portion of the county. However, the newly founded Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital remained relatively small, using only a small portion of the military station hospital buildings. As the population in the South Bay continued to grow during the 1950s, the County began to plan for a new hospital facility, which was completed on the eastern portion of the campus in 1963. Therefore, with regard to the evaluation of the original station hospital as a historic resource, the period of significance for this context would be 1946 to 1962.

The property is associated with the history of public health in Los Angeles County. However, as *National Register Bulletin # 15* points out: "Mere association with historic events or trends is not enough, in and of itself, to qualify under Criterion A: the property's specific association must be considered important as well."⁴⁵ The property is not significant under National Register Criterion A because it does not have a specific and important association with this context. It was not constructed specifically as a public health facility, but rather an existing facility that was taken over after World War II. It was created to assist in the decentralization of public health services in the county during the postwar period. Therefore, it is not any more or less important than any other facility in the network created after World War II. Furthermore, there is no evidence that there were any advances made in public health on the property during the period of significance. The programs, for which the hospital is well known, specifically the programs in women's health care and emergency medicine, were not established until 1969.

⁴⁵ *National Register Bulletin #15*, p. 12.

Criterion B

To be eligible for listing in the National Register under Criterion B, a property must be associated with the lives of persons significant in our past. As a hospital (whether it was operated by the U.S. Army or the County of Los Angeles) thousands of individuals have worked at and even more have received medical care on the campus. There is no doubt that among these employees were some particularly accomplished doctors, nurses, and healthcare professionals. However, their collective achievements would be better understood within the contexts of World War II military history and the history of public health in the Los Angeles area under Criterion A. Additionally, research did not reveal any particular individuals, significant or otherwise, who were directly associated with the property. Therefore, the property does not appear to be significant under Criterion B.

Criterion C

To be eligible for listing under Criterion C, a property must embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, represent the work of a master, possess high artistic values, or represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

The property, in its original form, was a typical example of an Army hospital constructed for the mobilization of military personnel during World War II. During World War II, the Army constructed temporary hospitals in the United States, as permanent hospitals on military bases did not have the capacity to care for the massive increase in personnel. These temporary hospitals were much like cantonments (temporary garrisons and training camps) in that they included a complement of buildings that were quickly constructed.

At the beginning of the 20th century the Army developed standards for mobilization camps. The standards remained unchanged through World War I. As late as 1930, the *Handbook for Quartermasters* contained construction documents for single-story unpainted wood-framed buildings with gabled roofs and wood-sash windows. These documents evolved into the Series 700 barracks that accounted for the majority of Army buildings erected between 1940 and 1941. The World War II buildings were painted, doors were moved from side elevations to gable ends, and ventilators were added to gable ends in buildings with ceilings. Interiors remained unpainted and uninsulated in most cases. Concrete piers and footings replaced treated timber posts, which helped to prolong the life of World War II era temporary buildings. Concrete slabs were poured for showers and latrines, which remained separate buildings. The Series 800 barracks were introduced in 1941 and further modified by the Army Corps of Engineers in 1942. The design of barracks could be adjusted for a variety of uses, such as mess halls; however, detailed plans were also developed for specialized buildings such as field houses for recreational activities. Security against armed attack was not a consideration in the layout of cantonments or hospitals. Therefore, buildings were generally organized into evenly spaced rows.⁴⁶

The property is not significant in the context of military architecture or planning. Not enough of the original layout remains, but more importantly the original layout was not unique in any way. The barracks and other buildings were mostly situated in rows that

⁴⁶ John S. Garner. *World War II Temporary Military Buildings: A Brief History of Cantonments and Training Stations in the United States* (Champaign, IL: U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories), pp. 35-39.

resulted in a mundane and repetitive plan with no central feature. The buildings are not collectively or individually significant for their architecture as they merely followed the standards that were dictated by the Army and used throughout the country. Therefore, the property does not represent a significant distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction, and the buildings do not represent the work of a master architect, embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or possess high artistic values. Therefore, the property and its buildings do not appear to be significant under Criterion C.

Criterion D

Criterion D was not considered in this report, as it generally applies to archeological resources; however, there is no reason to believe that the property has yielded or will yield information important to the prehistory or history of the local area, state, or nation.

Assessment of Integrity

To be eligible for listing in the National Register, a property must not only be shown to be significant under the National Register criteria, but it must also have integrity. Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. While some factors of integrity are more important than others depending on the property, a majority of the seven should be retained.

To be eligible for listing in the National Register, historic districts must retain integrity as a whole. For a district to retain integrity as a whole, the majority of the components that make up the district's historic character must possess integrity even if they are individually undistinguished.⁴⁷ In other words, the integrity of each building within a potential historic district is also evaluated to determine if it is contributing or non-contributing. Only those buildings that were present during the period of significance and retain their integrity are counted as contributing. In addition, the relationships among the district's components must be substantially unchanged since the period of significance.⁴⁸ According to National Register Bulletin #15:

When evaluating the impact of intrusions upon the district's integrity, take into consideration the relative number, size, scale, design, and location of the components that do not contribute to the significance. A district is not eligible if it contains so many alterations or new intrusions that it no longer conveys the sense of a historic environment.

Regardless of the significance of the potential historic district in the context of the military history of Los Angeles during World War II, it does not retain integrity as a whole because there are not enough buildings remaining from the period of significance (1943-46). Furthermore, most of the remaining buildings are so altered that they do not retain sufficient integrity to contribute to the potential historic district.

There are roughly 100 buildings on the property, not including sheds and some small temporary buildings. There are 42 buildings on the property remaining from the period of significance. All of them have been altered to some extent. In some cases, the alterations are limited to the replacement of doors and the addition of handicapped

⁴⁷ National Register Bulletin #15, p. 46.

⁴⁸ Ibid.

access ramps. But in most cases the buildings have been engulfed by additions such that their original form, material, and design are no longer evident. Table II below identifies potential contributing and non-contributing buildings to provide an idea of how much change has taken place since the end of the period of significance and to illustrate the lack of historic integrity.

TABLE II – WOULD-BE CONTRIBUTING AND NON-CONTRIBUTING BUILDINGS

BUILDING NAME	CONTRIBUTING NON - CONTRIBUTING	REASON
B Walkway	Contributing	Doors replaced and some windows removed but retains historic cladding, windows, and plan.
B2	Contributing	Cladding replaced but only in the gable ends. Otherwise, retains most of the historic features including windows and clapboards.
B3 Annex	Contributing	Retains almost all historic features including windows and clapboards, aside from a small patch of T1-11 on a side elevation.
C1	Contributing	Retains most of the historic features including windows, clapboards, and cupolas, despite side additions.
D Walkway	Contributing	Retains most of the historic clapboards and windows, some wings removed and doors replaced.
D3	Contributing	Retains almost all of the historic features including clapboards and windows. The side elevation addition is distinct from the original construction.
D5	Contributing	Retains almost all of the historic features including clapboards and windows. The side elevation addition is very distinct from the original construction.
D6	Contributing	Retains almost all of the historic features including clapboards and windows. The side elevation addition is very distinct from the original construction.
E Walkway	Contributing	Retains almost all of the historic features including clapboards and windows. The doors have been replaced, although the original door openings remain.
F8	Contributing	This building, while different from the others, does not appear to have undergone any major alterations. It retains historic metal cladding, metal casements, and paneled entry door.
N Walkway	Contributing	Does not appear to have undergone any major alterations.
N28	Contributing	N28 consists of two historic buildings attached in the center by new construction. The historic portions retain the historic clapboards and windows.
N34	Contributing	All cladding replaced, but retains doors and windows and original plan.
N6	Contributing	Retains almost all of the historic features including clapboards and windows. The doors have been replaced, although one original door opening remains.
B1	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south

BUILDING NAME	CONTRIBUTING NON - CONTRIBUTING	REASON
		elevation has been stuccoed and the doors and windows have been replaced. The side elevations, while more intact, are almost entirely covered by machinery and equipment.
B4	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south elevation has been stuccoed and the doors and windows have been replaced. Most windows on the side elevations have been replaced with metal sliders.
C2	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south elevation has been stuccoed, a new porch entrance has been constructed and the doors and windows have been replaced. All but three window openings on the side elevations have been boarded up.
C3	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south elevation has been stuccoed, a new porch entrance has been constructed and the doors and windows have been replaced. Some window openings on the side elevations have been boarded up.
Cottage 14	Non-contributing	All cladding and all windows replaced.
Cottage 16	Non-contributing	All cladding and all windows replaced.
Cottage 18	Non-contributing	All cladding and all windows replaced.
D1	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south elevation has been stuccoed and the doors and windows have been replaced. D1 has a number of additions, and many window openings have been boarded up.
E2	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south elevation has been stuccoed, a new porch entrance has been constructed, large portions of cladding have been replaced and many windows have been replaced.
E3	Non-contributing	South and west elevations are not at all visible due to additions. On the east elevation, the doors have been replaced and the windows have been boarded up, taken up by A/C units, or obscured by security bars.
E4	Non-contributing	Heavily altered, notably the south elevation. The south elevation has been stuccoed, a new porch entrance has been constructed and the doors and windows have been replaced. A large portion of cladding has been replaced with stucco and many windows have been replaced with vinyl.
E5	Non-contributing	All cladding and all windows replaced. A new porch entrance has been constructed on the south elevation.
E6	Non-contributing	Completely surrounded by additions.
F Walkway	Non-contributing	Cladding replaced with stucco.
F1	Non-contributing	All cladding and doors replaced, all window openings in filled, south end truncated and loading dock

BUILDING NAME	CONTRIBUTING NON - CONTRIBUTING	REASON
		installed.
F2	Non-contributing	Very little is visible as F2 is enclosed by a fence; based on what is visible, the original cladding and doors have been replaced.
F3	Non-contributing	All cladding and all windows replaced.
F4	Non-contributing	Large portions of original cladding and windows have been replaced and door openings have been removed.
F5	Non-contributing	All cladding and all doors replaced.
F6	Non-contributing	All cladding and all doors replaced, some windows replaced.
F7	Non-contributing	All cladding replaced, many windows and doors replaced.
F9	Non-contributing	Surrounded by additions, the only visible portion has been stuccoed and the windows have been replaced.
H1	Non-contributing	All cladding, doors and windows have been replaced.
N14	Non-contributing	Retains a good portion of original material including windows and clapboards; however, there are numerous additions.
N17	Non-contributing	All cladding replaced, some windows replaced, some doors replaced.
N22	Non-contributing	All cladding replaced, some windows replaced, some doors replaced.
Paint Shop	Non-contributing	All cladding and doors replaced, some windows replaced.
T1	Non-contributing	All cladding and doors replaced, original window openings and vehicular entrances replaced.

As illustrated in Table II and Figure 8 on the following page, of the 42 buildings remaining from the period of significance, only 14 retain sufficient integrity to be considered contributing to the potential historic district. These buildings are generally scattered throughout the property, and they have been joined by new buildings that were constructed on the campus beginning in the early 1980s. Indeed, so many buildings have been added to the campus that it no longer conveys its sense as an historic environment. (Select photographs of the buildings on the property are located in Section 7: Additional Figures).



Figure 8: Would-be contributing buildings are shaded yellow. Source: Google Maps.

A detailed analysis of the integrity of the potential historic district is set forth below:

Location – The place where the historic property was constructed or the place where the historic event occurred.

While many of the buildings from the period of significance have been altered or demolished, they have not been moved to or from another location. Therefore, the integrity of location has been retained.

Setting – The physical environment of the historic property.

The setting of the potential historic district has been greatly diminished by the demolition of the World War II era buildings and the addition of new buildings. Nearly half of the World War II era buildings have been demolished and 87 new buildings have been added to the campus in the last 50 years. Most of the new buildings are incompatible with the World War II era buildings in scale, massing, design, and materials, and their location and orientation do not follow the original plan for the campus. Moreover, the new buildings do not seem to follow a new plan for the campus. Rather, they appear to have been constructed wherever space was available at the time, and each appears to have been designed by a different architect. Therefore, the integrity of setting has been lost.

Materials – The physical elements that were combined or deposited during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern or configuration to form a historic property.

The primary material used within the potential historic district is wood, because there were restrictions on the use of metal and other materials during World War II. Metal and petroleum-based building materials were diverted from domestic use to the war effort for the manufacturing of ammunition, as well as military equipment and vehicles. Wood, therefore, was used in the fabrication of the clapboard siding, windows, and doors. On many of the individual buildings, these elements have been removed and replaced or covered by incompatible additions. For example, the original wood cladding has been replaced with T-11 siding or stucco in part or entirely; wood windows have been

removed and replaced, most often with aluminum windows; and wood doors have been replaced with metal doors. Furthermore, the original materials that remain are in fair to poor condition due to moisture penetration. The buildings and their component parts have gone unpainted for so long that bare wood is exposed. As such, the integrity of the materials has been compromised.

Design – The combination of elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style of a property.

The design of the potential historic district does not reflect the original use of the property as military station hospital. According to the research, the property included 77 barracks, as well as a theater, sports fields, recreation rooms, and two mess halls. There are 43 buildings remaining from the period of significance.⁴⁹ Although they are primarily concentrated in the central portion of the property, they lack cohesion as a grouping. The very distinctive pattern of long rectangular barracks connected by walkways has been lost by the seemingly random demolition of barracks. The pattern of development has been further disrupted by the addition of numerous buildings constructed on the property beginning in the early 1980s. The design of these new buildings is inconsistent with the design of the original buildings. While the original buildings were all one story in height with gabled roofs, multi-light wood windows, and clapboard exteriors, the new buildings have multiple stories with flat roofs, fixed and banded aluminum windows, and mostly stucco exteriors. Moreover, many of the 43 World War II era buildings remaining are lacking in integrity of design, because they have been enveloped by additions that post-date the period of significance for the potential historic district. Therefore, the design integrity of the campus as a whole has been lost.

Workmanship – The physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history or prehistory.

The potential historic district does not include a high-degree of craftsmanship. The World War II era buildings were constructed very quickly and were only meant to last for seven years. As previously stated, nearly half of the World War II era buildings have been demolished and those that remain have been extensively altered. Therefore, the integrity of workmanship has been lost because there is so little remaining of it from the period of significance.

Feeling – A property's expression of the aesthetic or historic sense of a particular period of time.

In the case of the potential historic district, the concept of feeling would be its reflection of a World War II era military station hospital. While the property is still used as a medical campus, it no longer reflects the period of time when it was constructed and used as a military station hospital. The demolition and alteration of original buildings and the construction of new buildings has negatively affected the feeling of the property. Therefore, the integrity of feeling in the potential historic district is poor.

Association – The direct link between an important event or person and a historic property.

⁴⁹ Please note that the east-west walkways connecting the north-south barracks are being counted as individual buildings.

The property does not retain its integrity of association because it is not sufficiently intact to convey its relationship to World War II military history. It does not retain its quality of association as a military station hospital because of the demolition and alteration of original buildings and the construction of new buildings.

Summary of Eligibility

The subject property is not eligible for listing in the National Register. Although it is significant under Criterion A in the context of the military history of Los Angeles during World War II, it does not retain sufficient integrity to convey its significance. While some of the individual buildings retain their integrity from the period of significance, they do not effectively convey the history or significance of the military station hospital on their own. One building on the site was not any more important than another. Therefore none of the buildings on the property are individually eligible for listing in the National Register.

4.2 California Register

Because the California Register criteria mirror those of the National Register, the property at 1000 W. Carson Street is ineligible for listing in the California Register for the same reasons outlined under the National Register evaluation.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The property at 1000 W. Carson Street is not currently designated a landmark at the national, state, or local levels, nor has it been identified or evaluated as significant in any previous historic resource surveys. The property was evaluated in this report as part of the CEQA compliance process. The property as a whole was evaluated as a potential historic district, but it does not appear to be eligible for listing in the National Register or California Register. While it is significant in the context of World War II military history in the Los Angeles area, it is lacking in integrity. None of the buildings on the property are individually significant because one building alone cannot convey the significance of the former military hospital. The recommended evaluation code for the property is 6Z ineligible for designation at the national, state, and local levels through survey evaluation. Therefore, the property is not a historic resource subject to CEQA. As the project will have no impact on historic resources, no further study is required.

While it is not required, the preservation and rehabilitation of Building N6 is recommended. It is the most intact building remaining on the property. The building could be preserved in place or moved to another location on the campus. If moved, its orientation should be strictly east-west or north-south. The building could continue to function as a part of the medical campus or it could be used for an educational exhibit on the history of the property.

6. SOURCES

Abel, Emily. *Suffering in the Land of Sunshine: A Los Angeles Illness Narrative*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2006.

ASM Affiliates. *SurveyLA Historic Context Statement, Context: Institutional Development*:

Baur, John. *The Health Seekers of California, 1870-1900*. San Marino, CA: Henry E. Huntington Library and Art Gallery, 2010.

- Bronson Gray, Barbara. *120 Years of Medicine: Los Angeles County, 1871-1991*. Houston, TX: Pioneer Publications, 1991.
- California State Military Museum. "Historic California Posts McCormack General Hospital (Pasadena Area Station Hospital)," accessed June 26, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/McCormackGenHosp.html>.
- California State Military Museum. "Historic California Posts, Stations and Airfields Los Angeles Port of Embarkation Station Hospital," accessed June 26, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/LAPEStnHosp.html>.
- California State Military Museum, "California and the Civil War," accessed June 24, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/HistoryCW.html>.
- California State Military Museum, "Naval Station Long Beach," accessed July 1, 2013, <http://www.militarymuseum.org/NOBLongBeach.html>.
- Cousineau, Michael R and Robert E. Tranquada, "Crisis & Commitment: 150 Years of Service by Los Angeles County Public Hospitals," in *American Journal of Public Health* (April 2007).
- Cowdrey, Albert. *Fighting for Life: American Military Medicine in World War II*. New York, NY: Free Press, 1994.
- Donahue, Katherine, Robert Frank, and Dora Weiner, editors. *Medical History at UCLA, 1950-1995*. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA School of Medicine, 1996.
- Garner, John S. *World War II Temporary Military Buildings: A Brief History of Cantonments and Training Stations in the United States*. Champaign, IL: U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories, 1993.
- Government and Private, Theme: Military Institutions and Activities*, 2012.
- Fort MacArthur Museum, "The History of Fort MacArthur," accessed June 27 2013, <http://www.ftmac.org/Fmhist.htm>
- Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The 1940s-1950s." Accessed June 19, 2013. <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/ca4050.html>.
- Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: The Beginning." Accessed June 19, 2013. <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/cabegin.html>.
- Harbor-UCLA Medical Center. "Celebrating 50 Years of Caring: Research and Education Institute." Accessed June 19, 2013. <http://www.humc.edu/calendar/carei.html>.
- Harbor-UCLA Pediatrics. "History of Harbor-UCLA Medical Center," accessed June 26 <http://harborpeds.org/aboutus/ourhistory>.
- LA BioMed. "Our Historical Timeline: Admiral William 'Bull' Halsey." Accessed June 21, 2013. <http://www.labiomed.org/history/>.
- LA BioMed. "Our Historical Timeline: Vacated Barracks Become Research Space." Accessed June 21, 2013, <http://www.labiomed.org/history/>.

- Los Angeles County Medical Association. *A Struggle of Excellence: One Hundred Years of the Los Angeles County Medical Association, 1871-1971*. Los Angeles, CA: Ward, Ritchie & Simon, 1971.
- Lotchin, Roger. *The Bad City in the Good War: San Francisco, Los Angeles, Oakland and San Diego*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 2003.
- Martin, Helen Eastman. *History of the Los Angeles County Hospital, 1878-1968*. Los Angeles, CA: University of Southern California Press, 1979.
- National Park Service. *National Register Bulletin #15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation*. Published 1990, Revised 1991, 1995, 1997.
- National Park Service. *National Register Bulletin #16: How to Complete the National Register Registration Form*. Published 1990, 1997.
- National Park Service. *National Register Bulletin #21: Defining Boundaries for National Register Properties*. Published 1995, Revised 1997.
- No Author. "Army 600 Bed Hospital Ready." *Los Angeles Times*. August 27, 1943. Accessed June 4, 2013 via ProQuest.
- No Author. "Army's Gigantic Transport Corps Two Years Old." *Los Angeles Times*. July 31, 1944. Accessed June 10, 2013 via ProQuest.
- No Author. "County Eyes U.S. Hospital in Torrance." *Los Angeles Times*. February 18, 1946. Accessed June 10, 2013 via ProQuest.
- No Author. "Furtherance of County Hospital's Set: New Structures Represent Cost of \$14,100,000." *Los Angeles Times*. December 28, 1958. Accessed June 4, 2013, via ProQuest.
- No Author. "Port of Embarkation Posts 'Closed' Signs." *Los Angeles Times*. March 30, 1946. Accessed June 10, 2013 via ProQuest.
- Wilmington Historical Society. *Wilmington, California, Images of America Series*.

7. ADDITIONAL FIGURES



Figure 9: Imaging Center, view looking northeast. Source: GPA



Figure 10: Chronic Diseases Clinical Research Center. Source: GPA.



Figure 11: Hanley-Hardison Research Center, north and east elevations, view looking southwest. Source: GPA.



Figure 12: Example of temporary trailers. Source: GPA



Figure 13: Hospital, north and west elevations, view looking southeast. Source: GPA

APPENDIX I: DPR 523 FORMS

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) B Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M
c. Address B Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: East/west	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Metal
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Removal of Building B3 leaving behind a scar, original doors replaced.

Notes: Long, narrow building that houses an interior hallway connecting "B" buildings, windows, multiple exterior doors accessed by stairs or ramps, interior doors that lead directly into each remaining building.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

***P5a. Photograph or Drawing** (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:
Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:
Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) B Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013





Continuation Update



South elevation, building scar. 6/11/13.



North elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M
c. Address Building B1 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Irregular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Cupolas, gable-end attic vents	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 8-over-8 double-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Clapboard, stucco	
	Primary Elevation: None	

Alterations: South elevation cladding replaced, HVAC ducts at east elevation, concrete ADA-accessible ramps with metal handrails at south elevation, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced.

Notes: Entrances on projecting bays, canopies over some entrances, wood steps to entrances on projecting bays, much of the building is surrounded by machinery/equipment.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

***P5a. Photograph or Drawing** (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
East elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update





South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



West elevation, south end, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M
c. Address Building B2 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: L-shaped	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab, partially glazed slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Shallow, open with exposed rafter tails	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, T1-11	
	Primary Elevation: South	

Alterations: Additions, south elevation door opening altered, ADA-accessible concrete ramp with metal handrail, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced, some original cladding replaced with T1-11.

Notes: Wood steps to south elevation entrance.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41, Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South and east elevations, view looking northwest.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation



Update



East elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B3 Annex - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building B3 Annex - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, T1-11
Primary Elevation: None

Door Type(s): Slab
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): 2-over-2 double-hung
Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Some original cladding replaced with T1-11, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails on east elevation, original door replaced.

Notes: B3 Annex was an annex to Building B3 which has since been demolished. Canopy over south elevation entrance, wood steps to south elevation entrance.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

***P5a. Photograph or Drawing** (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
South and east elevations, view looking northwest.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B3 Annex - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder





Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B4 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M _____
c. Address Building B4 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush, open	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, slider
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, aluminum
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, stucco	
	Primary Elevation: South	

Alterations: Addition to west elevation, some original windows replaced, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, door opening removed, entrance porch partially demolished, some original cladding replaced with stucco, concrete ADA-accessible ramp at south elevation, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, north elevation door opening altered, original doors replaced.

Notes: None.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source:**

Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

***P9. Date Recorded:** 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building B4 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation


Update



Entrance through B Walkway, view looking south. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # _____ Other Listings _____ Reviewer _____ Date _____
DOE # _____ Review Code _____

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building C1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier: _____

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M _____
c. Address Building C1 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Cupolas	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): Multilight awning or hopper, 6-over-6 double-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard	
	Primary Elevation: South	

Alterations: Additions to south and west elevations, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, free-standing water heater shed at west elevation, some window openings boarded up, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, visible doors replaced.

Notes: Wood steps to south elevation entrance.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building C1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation



Update



West elevation, view looking east. 6/11/13.



South elevation, door. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
 HRI #
 Trinomial
 NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # _____ Other Listings _____ Reviewer _____ Date _____
 DOE # _____ Review Code _____

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building C2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
 and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
 *b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M _____
 c. Address Building C2 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
 d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
 e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, single-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, vinyl
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard	
	Primary Elevation: South	

Alterations: Some window openings boarded up, some windows replaced in original openings, concrete ADA-accessible ramp at south elevation entrance, south elevation cladding replaced, addition of gabled projection over south elevation entrance, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, some cladding patched, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced.

Notes: Wood steps at east and west elevation entrances, entrance porch at north end of west elevation supported and enclosed by wood posts.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
 South and east elevations, view looking northwest.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
 500 W. Temple Street #754
 Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
 GPA Consulting
 231 California Street
 El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building C2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update






South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



East elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
 HRI #
 Trinomial
 NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # _____ Other Listings _____ Reviewer _____ Date _____
 DOE # _____ Review Code _____

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building C3 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M _____

c. Address Building C3 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially-glazed slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Metal
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, multi-light hopper, single-hung, slider
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, vinyl, aluminum
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, T1-11	
	Primary Elevation: South	

Alterations: Some windows boarded up, some windows replaced in original openings, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, some original cladding replaced with T1-11 or stucco, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails at south elevation entrance, addition of gabled projection over south elevation entrance, security bars added to some windows, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced.

Notes: None.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
 South and east elevations, view looking northwest.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric

Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
 500 W. Temple Street #754
 Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
 GPA Consulting
 231 California Street
 El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary #
HRI #

Page 2

*NRHP Status Code 6Z

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building C3 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update





South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building D1 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Cross-gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents, cupolas	Door Material(s): Metal
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 12-over-12 and 9-over-9
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	double-hung
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard	Window Material(s): Wood
	Primary Elevation: None	

Alterations: Additions to south and west elevations, the majority of windows boarded up, vent openings sealed, concrete ADA-accessible ramps with metal handrails on south elevation, original doors replaced.

Notes: Canopy over east elevation entrance.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
East elevation, view looking west. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary #
HRI #

Page 2

*NRHP Status Code 6Z

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



East elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking east. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # _____ Other Listings _____ Reviewer _____ Date _____
DOE # _____ Review Code _____

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D3 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier: _____

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building D3 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard
Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab, partially-glazed paneled door
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, slider
Window Material(s): Wood, aluminum

Alterations: Additions to south and west elevations, some windows replaced, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, some original doors replaced.

Notes: Wood ADA-accessible ramp on south elevation, wood porch steps on south and west elevation entrances. Large entry porch on west elevation, supported and enclosed by thin wood posts.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

*P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



*P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South and west elevations, view looking northeast.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D3 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation





Update



West elevation, view looking east, 6/11/13.



Original windows, south elevation, 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D5 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building D5 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard
Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab door with sidelights
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung
Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Additions on south and west elevations, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, A/C units added to windows, concrete porch steps with metal handrails at south elevation entrance, plywood skirt around perimeter of original building, original door replaced within original opening.

Notes: Entry porch at west elevation supported and enclosed by wood posts, canopy over south elevation entrance.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D5 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update




South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



West elevation, entrance porch. 6/11/13.



Southeast corner detail. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building D6 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard
Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung
Window Material(s): Wood


Alterations: Addition to east elevation, vent openings sealed, A/C units in original windows, HVAC ducts added to east elevation, concrete porch steps with metal handrails at south elevation entrance, plywood skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced.

Notes: Canopy over south elevation entrance, porch on east elevation supported and enclosed by wood posts.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41, Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South and west elevations, view looking northeast.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building D6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update




South and east elevations, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.





East elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



South elevation, attic vent detail. 6/11/13.



Southeast corner detail. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building E2 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, sliding
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, aluminum
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, stucco	
	Primary Elevation: South	

Alterations: Addition to west elevation, windows on east elevation replaced with aluminum sliders, new concrete steps at west elevation, south elevation cladding replaced with stucco, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails on west elevation, plywood and chickenwire skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced.

Notes: Canopy over west elevation entrance.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
West elevation, view looking southeast

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary #
HRI #

Page 2

*NRHP Status Code 6Z

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update




South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



East elevation, view looking west. 6/11/13.



West elevation, south end, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E3 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M
c. Address Building E3 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard
Primary Elevation: None

Door Type(s): Partially-glazed slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): Obscured by screen
Window Material(s): Metal

Alterations: No windows visible, either boarded up or obscured by security bars, A/C units in a number of windows, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails at east elevation, chickenwire skirt around perimeter of building, original doors replaced.

Notes: None.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
East elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E4 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building E4 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular

Orientation: North/south

Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled

Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents

Eaves: Shallow, open with fascia board

Roof Material: Composition shingle

Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard

Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab

Door Material(s): Metal

Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, slider

Window Material(s): Wood, vinyl, aluminum

Alterations: Additions to south and west elevations, some windows replaced within original openings, original doors replaced, addition of gabled projection over south elevation entrance, HVAC unit added to roof, plywood skirt around original portion of building, wood and concrete ramp at west elevation entrance.

Notes: None.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)

South elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric

Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County

500 W. Temple Street #754

Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder

GPA Consulting

231 California Street

El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record

Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record

Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E4 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013


Continuation Update



West elevation, south end, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



West elevation, north end, view looking east. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E5 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building E5 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): Fixed
Window Material(s): Metal

Alterations: Completely altered - all original windows, cladding and doors replaced, addition of gabled projection over south elevation entrance, HVAC vents added to west elevation, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails on south elevation.

Notes: None.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

***P9. Date Recorded:** 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E5 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder





Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building E6 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboards, T1-11,
stucco
Primary Elevation: None

Door Type(s): Partially-glazed slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung
Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Extensive additions. The original building is "sandwiched" between new construction leaving only the original south elevation visible, HVAC vents added to south elevation, concrete ADA-accessible ramps on west elevation, original doors replaced.

Notes: None.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building E6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013





Continuation Update



South and west elevations, addition, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



North elevation, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F1 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Cross-gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): Boarded up
Window Material(s): None visible

Alterations: Loading dock added, stuccoed addition between F1 and F2, HVAC ducts added to east elevation, truncated south end, windows boarded up, original cladding replaced with stucco, original doors replaced.

Notes: Wood porch steps at east elevation.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):


*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder






Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



East elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F2 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially-glazed slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): None visible
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): None visible
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Stuccoed addition between Buildings F1 and F2, original cladding replaced, visible doors replaced.

Notes: Very little of Building F2 is visible, the majority is enclosed by chain-link fence.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

***Resource Name or #:** (Assigned by Recorder) Building F2 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder


Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation




Update



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



South and east elevations, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F3 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F3 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially-glazed paneled door
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung, jalousie
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Additions to east, south and west elevations--the original building is "sandwiched" between new construction, original cladding replaced, HVAC ducts added to east elevation, plywood skirt around perimeter of building.

Notes: Wood entry porch with wood porch supports and railing.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

***P5a. Photograph or Drawing** (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

***P9. Date Recorded:** 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F3 - 1000 W. Carson Street






Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation Update



West elevation, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F4 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F4 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, T1-11
Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): Single-hung
Window Material(s): Vinyl

Alterations: Some windows replaced, addition to west elevation, original cladding replaced with T1-11 on east elevation, door opening removed from north elevation, window opening altered, security bars added, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, original doors replaced.

Notes: Canopies over east elevation doors, wood walkway along east elevation.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North and west elevations, view looking southeast.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F4 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update





East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



Removed door opening. 6/11/13.



Replacement window and security bars, east elevation. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F5 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building F5 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular

Orientation: North/south

Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled

Roof Features: None

Eaves: Flush

Roof Material: Composition shingle

Exterior Materials: Stucco

Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Slab, paneled

Door Material(s): Wood

Window Type(s): Multilight hopper, 2-over-2

and 6-over-6 double-hung

Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Original cladding replaced with stucco, some original doors replaced, HVAC ducts added to east elevation, wood ADA-accessible ramp at west elevation.

Notes: Canopies over west elevation entrance, wood stairs at east elevation entrance.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)

North and west elevation, view looking southeast.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric

Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County

500 W. Temple Street #754

Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder

GPA Consulting

231 California Street

El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record

Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record

Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F5 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation


Update



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F6 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: None

Door Type(s): Partially-glazed slab
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): Multilight hopper, 6-over-6 double-hung
Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Some window openings boarded up, some original windows replaced, original doors replaced, original cladding replaced with stucco, HVAC ducts added to west elevation.

Notes: Wood steps at east elevation entrance.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North and west elevations, view looking southeast.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F6 - 1000 W. Carson Street






Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation Update



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F7 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M.
c. Address Building F7 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially glazed slab
Orientation: North/south	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 2-over-2 double hung, multi-light hopper
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Concrete	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: None	

Alterations: Additions to west elevation, original cladding replaced with stucco, some original windows replaced, HVAC ducts added to east elevation, ADA-accessible ramps at west elevation entrances, both concrete and wood, original doors replaced.

Notes: None.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North and west elevations, view looking southeast.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

***P9. Date Recorded:** 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

***Resource Name or #:** (Assigned by Recorder) Building F7 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation Update




West elevation additions, view looking east. 6/11/13.



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



West elevation, doorway. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F8 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F8 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Not visible
Foundation: Not visible

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Shallow, open
Roof Material: Rolled asphalt
Exterior Materials: Standing seam metal siding
Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Partially-glazed panel
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): Hoppers, paired casements
Window Material(s): Steel

Alterations: No major alterations were observed.

Notes: This building is significantly different from the rest of the c. 1943 buildings in that its cladding, construction and windows are all made of metal as opposed to wood. Its original function may have differed.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41, Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric

Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County

500 W. Temple Street #754

Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder

GPA Consulting

231 California Street

El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F8 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation





Update



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



East elevation, windows. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building F9 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building F9 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: None

Door Type(s): None visible
Door Material(s): None visible
Window Type(s): Slider
Window Material(s): Aluminum

Alterations: Original cladding replaced with stucco, original windows replaced with aluminum sliders.

Notes: The only visible portion of F9 is the north gable end.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building H1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building H1 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: East/west
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): Slider
Window Material(s): Aluminum

Alterations: Original windows and doors replaced, original cladding replaced, security bars added to some windows, wall A/C units added to north and east elevations.

Notes: Canopies over south elevation entrances, brick structure at north elevation, possibly an incinerator.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

*P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
South and east elevations, view looking northwest.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building H1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder





Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



North and east elevations, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N14 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M
c. Address Building N14 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Irregular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially-glazed paneled door with sidelights
Orientation: East/west	Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents and attic access doors, cupolas	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 8-over-8 double-hung, sliders
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, aluminum
Foundation: Concrete	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, stucco	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Additions to all elevations, some window openings on west elevation altered, some original windows replaced with aluminum sliders, HVAC ducts added to south elevation, vent openings sealed, non-original concrete steps on north elevation entrances with metal handrails.

Notes: Multiple entrances, accessed by concrete or wood steps. Free-standing wood shed to the rear clad in plywood with a gabled roof, 6-over-6 double-hung wood windows and a wood slab door.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41, Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

***P9. Date Recorded:** 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N14 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



North elevation, east end, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



North elevation, west entrance. 6/11/13.



West elevation, addition detail. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



East elevation, view looking west. 6/11/13.



South elevation, free-standing shed. 6/11/13.

**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N17 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M
c. Address Building N17 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially-glazed paneled door, slab
Orientation: East/west	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): Multilight casements, single-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, vinyl
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Original cladding replaced with stucco, security bars added to some windows, some windows replaced on north elevation, awning added over north entrance, chickenwire skirt around perimeter of building, some original doors replaced.

Notes: Wood entrance ramp on the north elevation, wood porch entrance on the west elevation, porch is supported and enclosed by thin wood beams.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

***P5a. Photograph or Drawing** (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N17 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



North elevation, entrance. 6/11/13.





West elevation, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.



North elevation, typical window. 6/11/13.



North elevation, replacement window. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N22 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building N22 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular

Orientation: East/west

Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gable

Roof Features: None

Eaves: Flush

Roof Material: Composition shingle

Exterior Materials: Stucco

Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Slab

Door Material(s): Metal

Window Type(s): Multilight casement, 6-over-6 double-hung

Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Cladding replaced with stucco, security bars added to some windows, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, original doors replaced, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails at north elevation entrance, plywood skirt around building perimeter, doors replaced.

Notes: None.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)

North elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric

Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County

500 W. Temple Street #754

Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder

GPA Consulting

231 California Street

El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record

Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record

Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N22 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update





East elevation, view looking west. 6/11/13.



North elevation, entrance and ramp. 6/11/13.



South elevation, original window. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N24 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)
*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M _____
c. Address Building N24 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502
d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN
e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: T-shaped	Roof Form: Cross-gabled and shed	Door Type(s): Double, slab
Orientation: East/west	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Metal
Stories: 1	Eaves: Open with fascia board	Window Type(s): Sliders
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Aluminum and wood
Foundation: Concrete	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: North [blocked by new construction]	

Alterations: Additions to east and south elevations, replacement of some windows with aluminum sliders, original cladding possibly replaced with stucco, original doors replaced, HVAC ducts added to east elevation.

Notes: This building appears to date after the property was purchased by Los Angeles County and may be an early Harbor General Hospital building.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
East elevation, view looking west. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source: Historic Prehistoric Both
c. 1950 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary #
HRI #

Page 2

*NRHP Status Code 6Z

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N24 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update




South and east elevations, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



West elevation, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.



East elevation, original window. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N28 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building N28 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Combination hipped/gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Shallow, both open and boxed
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco, wood clapboard
Primary Elevation: East

Door Type(s): Slab, fully-glazed
Door Material(s): Wood, metal
Window Type(s): Hopper/awning, 2-over-2 double-hung, sliding
Window Material(s): Wood, metal

Alterations: Additions, replacement of original windows with metal sliding windows, new concrete steps with metal handrails at entrances, HVAC ducts added to east elevation, awning added to primary entrance on west elevation, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails at west elevation main entrance, replacement of original doors. This was originally two buildings that were later connected by the stuccoed portion in the center.

Notes: None.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
West elevation, north end, view looking southeast.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

1943/50 1952 Historic Aerial/Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N28 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation



Update



East elevation, north end, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N34 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Building N34 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Shallow, open with exposed rafter tails
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Partially-glazed paneled door
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): 3-over-3, 6-over-6 and 8-over-8 double-hung
Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Original cladding replaced with stucco, HVAC ducts added to west elevation, new concrete porch steps, concrete ADA-accessible ramp with metal handrails.

Notes: South elevation porch with thin wood porch supports and wood porch enclosure.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

*P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N34 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation



Update



East elevation, view looking west. 6/11/13.



South elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building N6 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular

Orientation: East/west

Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled

Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents and cupola

Eaves: Flush

Roof Material: Composition shingle

Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard

Primary Elevation: South

Door Type(s): Slab

Door Material(s): Wood

Window Type(s): 8-over-8 double-hung

Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Window opening on west elevation boarded up, HVAC ducts added to south elevation and roof, siding patched, vent openings sealed on south elevation, water heater added to south elevation, east elevation entrance moved, south elevation entrance opening possibly altered, ADA-accessible concrete ramps with metal handrails at entrances on east and south elevations, plywood skirt around building perimeter, original doors replaced.

Notes: Canopy over south entrance.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/17/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building N6 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



North elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



East elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



East elevation and ADA, view looking west. 6/11/13.



South elevation, typical window. 6/11/13



South elevation, HVAC ducts. 6/11/13.



South elevation, entrance. 6/11/13.

**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building T1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Building T1 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Irregular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Stories: 1	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Wood
Construction: Wood	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): Multilight awning/hopper, slider
Foundation: Concrete	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood, aluminum
	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: West	

Alterations: Additions, some window openings boarded up, some windows replaced with aluminum sliders, vehicular openings on north elevation boarded up, original cladding replaced with stucco, original doors replaced.

Notes: Canopy over west elevation entrance, originally used as a gas station.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:
(View, date, accession #)
West elevation, view looking east. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source:
 Historic Prehistoric
 Both
c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:
Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:
Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")
Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Building T1 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder




Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Cottage 14 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Cottage 14 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Shallow, open with exposed rafter tails
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Paneled
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): Single-hung
Window Material(s): Vinyl

Alterations: Original windows replaced (original window openings maintained), original cladding replaced with stucco, new concrete porch steps.

Notes: Entrance porch at north and south elevations with shed roofs supported by thin wood posts, no windows on east elevation.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41, Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Cottage 14 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Cottage 16 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Cottage 16 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Location Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Shallow, open with exposed rafter tails
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Paneled
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): Single-hung
Window Material(s): Vinyl

Alterations: Original windows replaced (original window openings maintained), original cladding replaced with stucco, new concrete porch steps.

Notes: Entrance porch at north and south elevations with shed roofs supported by thin wood posts, no windows on east elevations.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

*P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type: (Describe)**

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
 Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Cottage 16 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder





Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Cottage 18 - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address Cottage 18 - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Concrete

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Shallow, open with exposed rafter tails
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Paneled
Door Material(s): Wood
Window Type(s): Single-hung
Window Material(s): Vinyl

Alterations: Original windows replaced (original window openings maintained), original cladding replaced with stucco, new concrete porch steps.

Notes: Entrance porch at north and south elevations with shed roofs supported by thin wood posts, no windows on east elevations.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

***P5a. Photograph or Drawing** (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



***P5b. Description of Photo:**

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking south. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)
Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
 Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Cottage 18 - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder


Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



South and west elevations, view looking northeast. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) D Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M _____

c. Address D Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Slab
Orientation: East/west	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Metal, wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard, T1-11	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Additions to north elevation, removal of Building D4 and patch with T1-11, original doors replaced.

Notes: Long, narrow building that houses an interior hallway connecting "D" buildings, windows, multiple exterior doors accessed by stairs or ramps, interior doors that lead directly into each remaining building.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
North elevation, view looking southeast. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) D Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation


Update



South elevation, building scar. 6/11/13.



North elevation, gable remnant. 6/11/13.



South elevation, severe window deterioration. 6/11/13.



Interior, severe termite damage. 6/11/13.



Interior, floor damage. 6/11/13.



Interior wall materials. 6/11/13.

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) E Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address E Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular

Orientation: East/west

Stories: 1

Construction: Wood

Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Gabled

Roof Features: None

Eaves: Flush

Roof Material: Composition shingle

Exterior Materials: Wood clapboard

Primary Elevation: North

Door Type(s): Slab

Door Material(s): Metal, wood

Window Type(s): 6-over-6 double-hung

Window Material(s): Wood

Alterations: Additions to north elevation, replacement of original doors, alterations to door openings.

Notes: Long, narrow building that houses an interior hallway connecting "E" buildings, windows, multiple exterior doors accessed by stairs or ramps, interior doors that lead directly into each remaining building.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Reature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) E Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



North elevation, view looking southwest. 6/11/13.



South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.



North elevation, altered entrance. 6/11/13.



North elevation, altered entrance. 6/11/13.

State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey #
DOE #

Other Listings
Review Code

Reviewer

Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) F Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address F Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular	Roof Form: Gabled	Door Type(s): Partially-glazed paneled door
Orientation: East/west	Roof Features: None	Door Material(s): Wood
Stories: 1	Eaves: Flush	Window Type(s): 2-over-2 double-hung
Construction: Wood	Roof Material: Composition shingle	Window Material(s): Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers	Exterior Materials: Stucco	
	Primary Elevation: North	

Alterations: Portions of F Walkway are enclosed, but the majority is a covered, open walkway supported by thin wood posts and covered with a gabled roof. It may have been entirely open or entirely enclosed originally.

Notes: Long, narrow building that houses an interior hallway connecting "F" buildings, windows, multiple exterior doors accessed by stairs or ramps, interior doors that lead directly into each remaining building. Portions of F Walkway are open and covered with a gabled roof supported by thin wood posts.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South elevation, view looking north. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) F Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder






Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation

Update



F Walkway, view looking east. 6/11/13.



**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # _____ Other Listings _____ Reviewer _____ Date _____
DOE # _____ Review Code _____

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) N Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier: _____

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles
and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua _____ Date _____ T _____ ; R _____ ; 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of Se _____ ; B.M

c. Address N Walkway - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone _____ ; _____ mE/ _____ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

***P3a. Description:** (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: Rectangular
Orientation: North/south
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: None

Roof Form: Gabled
Roof Features: None
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: None
Primary Elevation: None

Door Type(s): None
Door Material(s): None
Window Type(s): None
Window Material(s): None

Alterations: No major alterations were observed.

Notes: Long, narrow open walkway covered by a gabled roof supported by thin wood posts, connects "N" buildings.

***P3b. Resource Attributes:** (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
N Walkway, view looking south. 6/11/13.

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and**

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

***P7. Owner and Address:**

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

***P8. Recorded by:**

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

***P10. Survey Type:** (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

***P11. Report Citation:** (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List): _____

**State of California - The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
PRIMARY RECORD**

Primary #
HRI #
Trinomial
NRHP Status Code 6Z

Survey # DOE # Other Listings Review Code Reviewer Date

Page 1 *Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Paint Shop - 1000 W. Carson Street

P1. Other Identifier:

*P2. Location: Not for Publication Unrestricted *a. County Los Angeles

and (P2c, P2e, and P2b or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)

*b. USGS 7.5'Qua Date T ; R ; 1/4 of 1/4 of Se ; B.M

c. Address Paint Shop - 1000 W. Carson Street City: Torrance Zip 90502

d. UTM (Give more than one for large and/or linear resources) Zone ; mE/ mN

e. Other Locational Data: (e.g., parcel #, directions to resource, etc. as appropriate) APN: 7344-001-901

*P3a. Description: (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries.)

Plan Shape: L-shaped
Stories: 1
Construction: Wood
Foundation: Elevated on concrete piers

Roof Form: Combination
Roof Features: Gable-end attic vents
Eaves: Flush
Roof Material: Composition shingle
Exterior Materials: Stucco
Primary Elevation: East

Door Type(s): Single and double, slab doors
Door Material(s): Metal
Window Type(s): Sliders, fixed, 6-over-6 double-hung
Window Material(s): Aluminum, wood

Alterations: Additions, original cladding replaced with stucco, door opening removed, security bars added to some windows, awnings added to some windows, non-original doors.

Notes: No skirt around building base.

*P3b. Resource Attributes: (List Attributes and codes) HP41. Hospital

*P4. Resources Present Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (isolates, etc.)

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects.)



P5b. Description of Photo:

(View, date, accession #)
South and east elevations, view looking northwest.

*P6. Date Constructed/Age and

Source: Historic Prehistoric
 Both

c. 1943 Visual Observation

*P7. Owner and Address:

Los Angeles County
500 W. Temple Street #754
Los Angeles, CA 90012

*P8. Recorded by:

Amanda Yoder
GPA Consulting
231 California Street
El Segundo, CA 90245

*P9. Date Recorded: 6/18/2013

*P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Intensive Level Survey

*P11. Report Citation: (Cite survey report and other sources, or enter "none.")

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute Historic Resource Report, GPA Consulting, July 2013

*Attachments: NONE Location Map Sketch Map Continuation Sheet Building, Structure, and Object Record
Archaeological Record District Record Linear Feature Record Milling Station Record Rock Art Record
Artifact Record Photograph Record Other (List):

*Resource Name or #: (Assigned by Recorder) Paint Shop - 1000 W. Carson Street

Recorded By Amanda Yoder

Date: 6/18/2013

Continuation



Update



South and west elevations, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



East elevation, north end, view looking northwest. 6/11/13.



APPENDIX II: ALTERATION PERMITS

Building		Applicant		N BLOCK		Owner		Date	Work Completed
N28		R.E. Shonerd		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		9/21/1950	Add flammable liquid storage vault for pharmacy
N6		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		2/29/1968	Change partitions, add sheetrock to walls
N14		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		3/12/1971	Install interior partitions
N14		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		6/21/1971	1,500 sq.ft. addition, a covered loading dock for receiving office supplies
N24		Clyde M. Johnson		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		12/22/1971	4,000 sq. ft. addition to N24 for research lab
N24		Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.		Harbor General Hospital		Harbor General Hospital		12/22/1971	1 - 4 ton compressor, 1 - 5 ton compressor
N14		Clyde M. Johnson		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		5/4/1972	Cover open section to create new office space
N24		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		10/20/1972	225 sq. ft. shed addition to house animals
N22		Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.		Los Angeles County Hospital		Los Angeles County Hospital		10/25/1972	1 Compressor
N24		Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.		Los Angeles County Hospital		Los Angeles County Hospital		10/30/1972	1 24M BTU Compressor
N22		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		12/29/1972	Convert warehouse to offices
N14		Illegible		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		1/4/1973	Relocate 2 doors
N24		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		None listed		None listed		1/9/1973	Convert restrooms to examination rooms
N28		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		1/19/1973	Join existing structures and make small addition [originally A 14 and 16, joined in the middle by this permit]
N28		Air Tec		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		1/19/1973	Replace existing: 4 air handling units, 2,000 cfms; 4 60M ventilation systems
N17		S. A. DiGiamaopolo		Los Angeles County		Los Angeles County		2/14/1973	Install partitions and add toilet room

N17	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	2/14/1973	1 - 3 horsepower compressor
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/1/1973	Addition of storage area (plan check only)
N14	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	L.A. General Hospital	8/1/1973	1 - 3 1/2 horsepower compressor
N24	None listed	Los Angeles County	10/26/1973	Construct two offices
N14	Illegible	Los Angeles County	3/13/1974	East side addition
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/15/1974	Addition of office
N6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/3/1974	Install 7' high office partition
N28	Paul Thompson	Los Angeles County	6/26/1974	Addition for research
N28	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/22/1974	Construct telephone equipment room
N28	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/21/1975	Addition to clinic
N28	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	1/21/1975	1 - 3hr heat pump, 1 - 5hr heat pump, 1 - 2hr heat pump
N28	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	1/21/1975	1 - heat pump, 126,000 BTUs
N14	Bill Ferguson	Harbor General	9/7/1976	Add 2 walls and shelves
N34	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General	9/1/1977	A/C unit, gas piping
N34	Paul Burton	Harbor General Hospital	9/21/1977	Remove 3 wall heaters
N28	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/7/1977	Interior changes, enclosure of play area
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/6/1978	Wood frame addition for storage
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/31/1978	Add a room to the existing building
N28	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/15/1978	Alter existing building into storage room and lab
N28	Ernest Garcia	Los Angeles County	12/14/1978	Alteration
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/19/1978	Add northeast offices to existing building room 7B (Phase I only)

N14	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	1/15/1979	36/100 Gas & electric a/c units
None listed	Frank Gallo	Los Angeles County	1/15/1979	Extension of "A" [now N] ramp
N14	Frank Gallo	Los Angeles County	4/30/1979	Photo machine
N14	R.G. Lyman	Harbor General Hospital	5/9/1979	Install fire sprinklers
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	2/1/1980	Interior partitions
N28	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/5/1980	Install fire sprinklers
N14	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/4/1980	Construct 30' x 15' lattice patio roof cover
N24	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	1/13/1981	60,000 BTU Compressor
N28	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/3/1981	Remodel
N14	Howell Barvin (?)	Los Angeles County Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	2/20/1982	Remodel interior
N14	Illegible	Los Angeles County Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	3/18/1982	Fire-rated drywall
N24	SWG Sheet Metal	Los Angeles County Harbor General	12/13/1982	1 - 24,000 BTU Compressor, 1 4 ton gas & electric replacing existing 48,000 BTUs
N24	None listed	Harbor General Hospital	11/24/1984	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors, 28 - supply and return vents
N14	None listed	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	12/12/1984	Add kitchen
N14	So Dev Concepts Inc	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	12/12/1984	Move A/C, supply
N14	Halco Const.	Harbor UCLA Medical Center	8/27/1987	Replace T-bar ceiling
N14	Action Fire	Harbor UCLA Medical Center	5/1/1991	Install fire sprinklers
N14	George G. Layman	Los Angeles County	5/1/1991	Enclose shipping dock
N24 and N26	Mary Werk	Los Angeles County Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	11/24/1992	Addition of (state-approved) trailers to existing HIV clinic (foundation only)
N14	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	illegible	1 - 36,000 BTU furnace

B BLOCK				
Building	Applicant	Owner	Date	Work Completed
B2	Illegible	Los Angeles County	11/24/1965	Alter
B2	Charles R. Reid	Harbor General Hospital	2/21/1968	Interior partitions
B5	Illegible	Los Angeles County	3/26/1968	2124 sq.ft. addition to lab building on side of barracks (plan check only)
B6	Illegible	Los Angeles County	6/14/1968	Revision of B6, Area II (plan check only)
B4	Glen Colvin	Harbor General Hospital	10/4/1968	Add windows; one door and siding at barracks
B6	Clyde M. Johnson	Harbor General Hospital	3/19/1969	Add partitions to south end
B3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Harbor General Hospital	2/7/1970	Enclose porch on west side
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	2/10/1970	Add research laboratory (plan check only)
B5	Charles R. Reid	Harbor General Hospital	2/10/1970	1800 sq.ft. addition for labs and offices
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	3/30/1970	B5 addition, northeast end, conference room (plan check only)
B5	A. W. Peterson	Los Angeles County	5/21/1971	Fire damage
B1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/21/1971	Alteration of and addition to research lab (plan check only)
B2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/21/1971	Install noise ceiling, install tracks for x-ray and add to footings
B4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	2/14/1972	Install cabinets and do necessary work
B3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/19/1972	1600 sq.ft. addition for storage
B1	Ernest J. Davis	Los Angeles County	3/15/1973	Remodel, new partitions at rear
B4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital	12/17/1973	Addition to existing structure for laboratory
B4	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	12/17/1973	1 - 3 1/2 horsepower compressor
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/10/1974	2710 sq.ft. addition (plan check only)

B3	None listed	Los Angeles County	8/16/1974	988 sq.ft. addition
B6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/16/1974	2769 sq.ft. lab addition (plan check only)
B6	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	10/16/1974	1 - 5 ton gas/electric compressor; 1 - 3 ton gas/electric compressor
B-2	Colin P. Ward	Los Angeles County	12/10/1974	Install non-load bearing wall
B6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/2/1975	Enclose B6 ramp (plan check only)
B1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/29/1975	Construct wood frame building with raised-floor offices and lab (plan check only)
B1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	12/29/1975	2 - 2 horsepower compressors
B5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	6/20/1976	1 - 3/4 horsepower refrigeration system
B5	Randy Brown	Harbor General Hospital	11/8/1976	Fire sprinklers
B5	Dir. Mech. Services	Los Angeles County	11/8/1976	Wood frame building with raised-floor office and storage area, 435 sq.ft.
B5	Keith Gallo	Harbor General Hospital	5/13/1977	Wood ramp, 25' long, 4' wide
B4	J. Broudreaux	Los Angeles County	5/16/1977	Renovate existing building (plan check only)
B4	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County	5/16/1977	1 - Forced air furnace
B6	J. Broudreaux	Los Angeles County	9/7/1977	144 sq.ft. addition to existing building
B6	Ernest L. Garcia	Harbor General Hospital	9/7/1977	1 - 8,000 BTU compressor
B3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/9/1977	Install fire sprinkler to existing building (plan check only)
B2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/9/1977	Install fire sprinkler to existing building (plan check only)
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/18/1978	703 sq.ft. addition to southwest corner (plan check only)

B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/26/1978	Install fire sprinklers in conference room alteration (plan check only)
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/28/1978	Install fire sprinklers in addition (plan check only)
B5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	7/28/1978	1 - 55,000 BTU forced air furnace
B	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/15/1978	"Close in passage way with doors"
B3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/15/1978	Addition to existing building for use as record storage (plan check only)
B	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/19/1978	Install "pass-thru" door and counter
B2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/19/1978	Construct vestibule and alter existing building
B5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	3/14/1979	Modify existing duct system
B5	R.G. Lyman	Harbor General Hospital	5/10/1979	Install fire sprinklers
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/5/1979	Remodel and addition to B5
B1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	2/15/1980	1 - 48,000 BTU compressor
B1	Ernest L. Garcia	Los Angeles County	12/3/1980	Build 2 partition walls
B6	Western Automatic Sprinkler	Harbor General Hospital	4/28/1981	Install fire sprinklers
B6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/28/1981	510 sq.ft. addition to B6
B4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/29/1981	Remodel interior
B3	None listed	Los Angeles County	11/15/1981	2 - 100,000 BTU forced air furnaces
B1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor Hospital	6/22/1982	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors
B4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/12/1982	Cold storage room and office
B6	R.E. Thomas Co.	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	9/2/1982	Add 3 fire sprinkler heads
B6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/2/1982	Alteration to building B6 and addition (plan check only)

B2	None listed	Los Angeles County	11/15/1982	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 2 - 100,000 BTU furnaces
B1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor Hospital	11/21/1982	1 - 24,000 BTU compressor; 6 - supply outlets
B5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/3/1983	Addition to B5
B4	S&G Sheet Metal	Research Education Institute, Inc.	6/20/1984	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors, 40 - inlets and outlets
B6	S&G Sheet Metal	Research Education Institute, Inc.	6/20/1984	3 - 60,000 BTU compressors, air inlets and outlets
B5	Graves & Son's	None listed	11/20/1984	3 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 15 - supplies
B5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	11/29/1984	1 - 2 ton heat pump; 6 - supplies
B1	So Dev	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	12/12/1984	Fire sprinklers
B1	R&J Air Conditioning	Harbor General Hospital	2/20/1985	Adding/relocating 5 units
B1	Halco Const.	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	3/8/1985	Construct new rooms in existing building
B4	Norm Fast	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	1/22/1991	2,182 sq.ft. addition
B4	R&J Air Conditioning	Research Education Institute, Inc.	10/1/1991	2 - 30,000 BTU units, 28 - outlets

C BLOCK				
Building	Applicant	Owner	Date	Work Completed
C1	Harbor General Hospital	Los Angeles County	1/19/1950	Waiting room addition 168 sq. ft.
C1	Charles Seymour	Los Angeles County	4/29/1963	370 sq.ft. addition to building (plan check only)
C1	None listed	Harbor Hospital	8/6/1968	Area II - C1 remodel (plan check only)
C1	Glen Colvin	Los Angeles County	12/12/1968	Alter/repair C1
C1	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	4/9/1970	Add 39x36 research laboratory
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/14/1970	1,0650 sq.ft. addition to C1 (plan check only)
C1	TRN Heating and Air Conditioning	Harbor General Hospital	12/14/1970	1 - 3 horsepower compressor
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/23/1972	1,500 sq.ft. addition (plan check only)
C1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	6/23/1972	1 - 5 horsepower compressor
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	3/19/1973	Add 870 sq.ft. C1 Annex Lab (plan check only)
C4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/14/1976	Alteration to existing building
C3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/23/1976	Alter existing room into offices
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/7/1978	Alteration to existing building (plan check only)
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/7/1978	Alteration to existing building (plan check only)
C2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/16/1978	Install additional partitions
C3	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	1/15/1979	1 - 36/80 A/C unit compressor
C1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	3/19/1979	2 compressors: 1 - 3 1/2 horsepower, 1 - 5 horsepower

C2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/5/1979	Add a partition
C3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/11/1979	Alter rooms 13, 13A, 15 and 17
C3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/18/1979	Alter existing building north end into offices (plan check only)
C2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/14/1980	Add 10'x3'x6" bubble to east side of building
C2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/4/1980	Partition off lobby
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/16/1980	Addition to building
C1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	6/1/1981	1 - 18M, 40M Heat compressor
C1	Halco Const. Co	Los Angeles County	6/3/1981	Addition to building
C1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/3/1981	Install wall and door in C1
C3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/3/1981	Alteration to existing building (plan check only)
C3	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	9/3/1981	2 - 48/120 A/C unit compressors
C1	S/P Co. Inc.	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	5/26/1982	3 - 32,000 CFM air-handling units; 3 <100,000 BTU compressors, 2 exhaust fans
C1	Harbor General Hospital	Los Angeles County	8/11/1982	1,400 sq.ft. addition (plan check only)
C1	R.G. Lyman	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	4/29/1983	Install fire sprinklers
C1	So Dev.	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	6/7/1984	"Hallway" (plan check only)
C1	S&G Sheetmetal	Harbor General Hospital	9/19/1984	3 - compressors (1 36,000 BTU, 1 48,000 BTU, 1 60,000 BTU); 38 inlet and outlets
C4	None listed	Los Angeles County	9/21/1984	2 - 60,000 BTU compressor; 2 - 100,000 BTU forced air furnace
C4	None listed	Los Angeles County	11/15/1984	2 - 100,000 BTU forced air furnaces
C5	Los Angeles County	Los Angeles County	11/15/1984	2 - 60,000 BTU compressor; 2 - 100,000 BTU forced air furnace

G P A

C2	Gerard Somers	Los Angeles County	5/29/1986	Alteration inside building C2 (plan check only)
C2	Halco Const. Co	Los Angeles County	5/25/1999	Match handicap ramp per permit #BL9905120018

D BLOCK				
Building	Applicant	Owner	Date	Work Completed
D3	Harbor General Hospital	Los Angeles County	2/20/1950	Alterations to building
D1	Robert M. Bigelow	Los Angeles County	10/1/1969	Vet lab, surgery locker room - post mortem
D1	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	4/22/1970	Convert storage area to 2 vet labs
D3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/4/1971	Connect part of dayroom to an office
D3	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	8/17/1971	Alteration of interior partitions
D3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/17/1971	480 sq. ft. addition for conference room (plan check only)
D1	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	9/17/1971	Roof over wash area
D3	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	4/20/1972	Remove interior walls
D1	Frank Gallo	Los Angeles County	12/14/1972	Add 15' partition and 1 door
D1	Frank Gallo	Los Angeles County	2/16/1973	8' roof extend ??? shed roof
D1	Ernest Garcia	Los Angeles County	1/28/1974	Alter storage
D3	Calvin Ward	Los Angeles County	12/10/1974	Relocate 2 walls, relocate door
D4	Calvin Ward	Los Angeles County	12/10/1974	Cover ??? walls
D4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/13/1975	Alteration to building D4 - 2x4 studs, 5/8 dry wall partition (plan check only)
D1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/1/1975	Addition - animal surgery (plan check only)
D1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	10/1/1975	1 - 116M BTU compressor
D1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/7/1976	Addition to storage shed at east side of D1
D1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	2/14/1977	1 - 140M BTU forced air furnace
D Ramp	J. Broudreaux	Los Angeles County	7/8/1977	Enclose ramp to make into storage room
D1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/4/1977	Relocated existing entrance door

D1	J. Broudreaux	Los Angeles County	11/23/1977	Construct 39'x42' concrete block building - sheep-holding building (plan check only)
D1	Nichols Sheef Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	11/23/1977	4 - 1,000 CFM air-handling units, 2 - 69M BTU compressors
D1	Valentini's Refrigeration	Professional Staff Assoc	11/23/1977	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 2 - ventilation systems; 2 - 120,000 BTU forced air furnaces
D4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/10/1978	Divide existing room with 1-hour rated partition (plan check only)
D1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/15/1978	Alter existing building (plan check only)
D3	Frank Gallo	Los Angeles County	1/15/1979	Raising foundation for repair
D6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/15/1979	Install new doorway
D6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/26/1979	Alter for storage room
D2	Los Angeles County	Los Angeles County	8/29/1979	1 - 120,000 BTU forced air furnace with cooling
D4	Nichols Refrig. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	9/19/1979	1 - 15M BTU remote; 1 - 11M BTU remote
D3	Dennis Lish	Harbor General Hospital	9/5/1980	Install fire sprinklers
D3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/5/1980	Addition to building
D5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/20/1981	Construct 15'x8' bubble north and attached to D ramp for toilets
D4	None listed	Los Angeles County	4/30/1982	Alteration inside of building D4 (plan check only)
D4	Thomas M. Ryan	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	5/26/1982	1 - 1,600 air handling unit; 1 - 48,000 BTU compressor
D3	Graves & Son's	None listed	1/19/1984	3 - 48,000 BTU compressors; 15 - supplies
D5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	None listed	7/24/1984	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 2 - 100,000 BTU furnaces
D6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	None listed	10/24/1984	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 2 100,000 BTU furnaces
D1	Charles Seymour	None listed	11/20/1984	2 - 398M BTU boilers

G P A

D2	Tony DiGiampaolo	Los Angeles County	4/9/1986	Interior wall
----	------------------	--------------------	----------	---------------

E BLOCK				
Building	Applicant	Owner	Date	Work Completed
E6	A. Anderson?	Los Angeles County	11/13/1966	Repair lab and Experiments (partitions)
E5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	4/22/1970	2 compressors: 1 - 3.5 horsepower and 1 - 5 horsepower
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/8/1971	Addition (plan check only)
E4	Modern Air Cond. Co.	Harbor General Hospital	11/8/1971	1 - 5 horsepower compressor; 1 - 4 horsepower compressor
E2	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	12/7/1971	Alterations of interior partitions
E5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/8/1972	546 sq.ft. office addition
E5	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/8/1972	3 air-handling units
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	3/8/1973	795 sq.ft. addition to existing structure (plan check only)
E2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Harbor General Hospital	7/23/1973	Vivarium records office
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/26/1974	800 sq.ft. addition (plan check only)
E4	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	8/26/1974	1 combined unit
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/21/1974	Remodel for offices
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/29/1975	Lab and office addition (plan check only)
E4	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	8/29/1975	2 - 200,000 BTU compressors
E2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/26/1976	Wood frame building with raised-floor research labs and office
E2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/26/1976	1 - 2,000 CFM air-handling unit; 2 - 5 ton a/c units and components
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/21/1977	Alter existing building and add an addition (plan check only)

E3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/15/1977	Install room divider
E3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/28/1978	Alteration to existing building
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/15/1978	Remodel E4 rooms 1A and 14A (plan check only)
E2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/15/1979	Alteration to existing building (plan check only)
E3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	3/16/1979	Add to existing building for office space (plan check only)
E6	Steve Meredith	Los Angeles County	12/31/1979	Fire sprinklers in E6 addition
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/3/1980	Addition to E6
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/7/1980	Enclose corridor alcove
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/7/1980	Install metal storage building
E3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/16/1980	Cold storage room
E3	John F. Loguzzo	Los Angeles County UCLA Medical Center	4/16/1980	Fire sprinklers
E3	E.B. Kilstoffe	Los Angeles County	4/18/1980	Remodel lab
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/2/1980	Porch roof over ramp between E6 and E6 trailers
E4	Robert Oliveira	Harbor General Hospital	5/28/1980	Install fire sprinklers
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	3/24/1981	Add offices to west side of E6
E6	Pyro Auto. Prot.	Los Angeles County	3/24/1981	Fire sprinkler system
E6	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	3/24/1981	1 - 30,000 BTU compressor
E3	Beverly Aire	Los Angeles County	5/1/1981	2 compressors: 1 - 24M, 1 - 42M; 2 ventilation systems
E4	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	5/28/1981	1 - 48,000 BTU compressor
E4	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/1/1981	Install two trailers and ramps
E3	R.A. Aire	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	5/5/1982	2 - 1,050 CFM air-handling unit; 2 - 40,000 BTU forced air furnaces

E3	Howell Barfoot	"County UCLA"	8/28/1982	Alter existing building floor for free-standing equipment
E3	Western Automatic Sprinkler	Los Angeles County	11/10/1982	Install 2 fire sprinklers
E3	Howell Barfoot	Los Angeles County	11/30/1982	Construct ramp from E3 to trailer
E3	Ray Brewington	Los Angeles County	12/2/1982	3 - 2,000 CFM air-handling units; 3 - 60,000 BTU compressors
E6	Halco Const. Co.	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	12/16/1982	1 ventilation system
E3	So Dev	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	5/14/1984	Addition to E3
E3	So Dev	UCLA Medical Center	7/2/1984	Install automatic fire sprinklers
E6	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	12/12/1984	Addition to building E6 for laboratory and offices
E6	South Bay Heating & Air	Los Angeles County	12/22/1984	1 - 2,000 CFM air-handling unit; 1 - 60,000 BTU compressor; 21 - registers
E5	Ernest Garcia	Los Angeles County	8/15/1985	Wood frame work
E3		Research and Education Institute, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	11/13/1987	480 sq.ft. addition to existing lab building
E6	George G. Layman	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	1/15/1991	Enclose 241 sq.ft. of open space to include into building E6
E6	George G. Layman	Research and Education Institute	5/1/1991	Minor renovation - Relocating walls, reflooring, enclosing an open corridor
E5	Mary Werk	Los Angeles County Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	6/18/1993	Interior alterations (plan check only)
E5	Eidson Fire Protection	Los Angeles County	11/16/1993	Relocate 5 fire sprinklers, change other heads
E3	Regency Fire Protection	Los Angeles County	12/16/1997	New fire sprinkler system/1173 sq. ft.
E3	All Seasons Air Conditioning	Los Angeles County	1/20/1998	Add 1 5-ton heat pump
E4	Du Bordieu Inc.	Los Angeles County	4/3/1998	Add handicap ramp, remove door opening

E6	Du Bordieu Inc.	Los Angeles County	7/13/1998	Removal of existing wooden porch and stairs at south building elevation, new stucco color coat and landscape planters
E5	Du Bordieu Inc.	Los Angeles County	7/13/1998	New concrete block wall and chain link fence around ser [sic] area
E2	Du Bordieu Inc.	Los Angeles County	7/13/1998	New porch and stairs, stucco (on south side), hollow metal door
E3	Halco Const. Co.	Los Angeles County	5/12/1999	Construct new H.C. ramp, canopy, stucco south elevation
E2	Halco Const. Co.	Los Angeles County	5/12/1999	Replace wood steps and landing, construct canopy, stucco south elevation, concrete block planter
E1	Halco Const. Co.	Los Angeles County	5/12/1999	Replace existing wood landing with concrete

F BLOCK				
Building	Applicant	Owner	Date	Work Completed
F1	Henry B. Schnitger	Los Angeles County	9/15/1950	Kitchen & employee lockers - addition and alterations to Bldg F1
F9	Clyde M. Johnson	Los Angeles County	3/19/1968	Interior partitions for cardiovascular research library
F3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	9/18/1969	Women's restroom addition
F3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	4/28/1971	Carpenter shop addition
F9	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/2/1971	Additions/alterations for attending staff facilities (plan check only)
F9	Modern Air Conditioning Co	Harbor General Hospital	6/2/1971	1 - 2 horsepower boiler
F2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/1/1972	600 sq.ft. addition for shower room and locker room
F9	Air Conditioning Systems, Inc	Harbor General Hospital	7/19/1972	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors
F7	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	2/14/1973	Convert restrooms to restroom and examination room
F7	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	6/18/1973	Office addition
F5	Frank Gallo	Los Angeles County	3/6/1974	Lab - interior partitions
F1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	4/4/1974	1 combined unit - 80,000/50,000 BTUs
F5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	5/10/1974	2 - 60,000 BTU forced air furnaces
F5	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County Hospital	5/10/1974	1 compressor - 24M BTU cool, 60M Heating
F1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/21/1974	Replace existing loading dock
F1	Ernest Garcia	Los Angeles County	6/26/1974	Ramp

F1	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Harbor General Hospital	2/14/1975	1 - 3 1/2 horsepower compressor
F7	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	3/4/1975	Add to office (plan check only)
F7	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	1/28/1976	Install 3'x6'8" door
F1	Bill Ferguson	Los Angeles County	5/11/1976	Install 1 sink and cabinet, add one wall and one door
F9	Bill Ferguson	Los Angeles County	7/26/1976	Change 6 windows to UL [illegible] and 1 SC Door
F9	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	11/15/1976	One hour fire wall (plan check only)
F8	Harbor General Hospital	Los Angeles County	1/7/1977	New addition to building F8, install a/c unit and duct work
F8	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/7/1977	Addition - Wood frame building with slab floor
F9	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/1/1978	Install partition wall (plan check only)
F2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	5/2/1980	Install partitions and exterior ramps
F1	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	8/29/1980	Remodel rooms 1, 1A and 2C in Building F1
F3	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	10/22/1980	Enclose porch
F7	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	2/24/1981	Alter north end of building
F7	David Butcher	Harbor General Hospital	2/24/1981	Installation of auto sprinkler system
F9	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	7/22/1981	850 sq.ft. addition
F9	Western Auto Sprinkler	Harbor General Hospital	7/22/1981	Install fire sprinklers
F9	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	Los Angeles County	7/22/1981	1 - 48,000 BTU compressor
F2	Nichols Sheet Metal and Air Co. Inc.	None listed	9/29/1982	1 - compressor

F5	Howell Barfoot	Los Angeles County	5/14/1983	Remove non-load bearing wall, rooms 9 and 10
F6	Graves & Son's	None listed	11/2/1983	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 15 - supplies
F7	Graves & Son's	None listed	11/2/1983	2 - 60,000 BTU compressors; 15 - supplies
F5	Halco Const.	Harbor-UCLA Medical Center	4/9/1986	Stucco exist..??
F3	None listed	Los Angeles County	5/29/1986	1 - 60,000 BTU compressor; 1 - 100,000 BTU furnace
F2	S. A. DiGiamaopolo	Los Angeles County	Illegible	Alteration to existing building (plan check only)

OTHER			
Building	Owner	Date	Work Completed
Paint Shop	Los Angeles County Harbor General Hospital	3/16/1971	Install paint-spray booth according to codes
H1	Harbor General Hospital	4/19/1972	Remodel H1
Cottage 16	Los Angeles County	1/29/1974	Fume hood vent



PCR IRVINE

2121 Alton Parkway, Suite 100
Irvine, California 92606
TEL 949.753.7001
FAX 949.753.7002

PCR SANTA MONICA

201 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 500
Santa Monica, California 90401
TEL 310.451.4488
FAX 310.451.5279

PCR PASADENA

80 South Lake Avenue, Suite 570
Pasadena, California 91101
TEL 626.204.6170
FAX 626.204.6171

pcrinfo@pcrnet.com
www.pcrnet.com