

# **Attachment H**

Summary of Facility and Plan Review  
Subcommittee's Request and BFI's Response

## SUMMARY OF FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S REQUEST AND BFI'S RESPONSE

	<b><i>Facility &amp; Plan Review Subcommittee's Request of February 8, 2007</i></b>	<b><i>BFI's Response [DEI Letter Dated March 5, 2007]</i></b>	<b><i>Staff's Comments</i></b>
<b><i>1. Revised Project Design Capacity Table</i></b>	Revise Section 4, Project Design Capacity, page 2 of the FOC submittal report, showing the correct design capacity of each phase within the County, including the density used as conversion factor. The table should be tabulated so that the TOTAL adds up to 25.4 million tons.	A revised Section 4, Project Design Capacity, page 2 of the FOC submittal report, is provided in attachment J.	The revised design capacity table appears reasonable.
<b><i>2. Final Grading Plan</i></b>	Provide a clear and legible Site Plan showing the final contours/elevations of the Landfill and legend, including a cross-sectional detail and profile of the disposal limit and final cover shown on a standard-size or larger-size plan.	A Site Plan showing final contours and elevations of the landfill including a cross-sectional detail and profile of the disposal limit is provided in attachment K.	The Site Plan appears reasonable.
<b><i>3. Landfill Phasing Plan</i></b>	Provide a detailed Landfill Phasing Plan similar to Figure 5-1 of Vol 1 of JTD, with legends clearly detailing all contours and color schemes shown on a standard-size or larger-size plan.	A standard sized Landfill Phasing Plan is provided in attachment L.	The Phasing Plan appears reasonable.

## SUMMARY OF FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S REQUEST AND BFI'S RESPONSE

	<b><i>Facility &amp; Plan Review Subcommittee's Request of February 8, 2007</i></b>	<b><i>BFI's Response [DEI Letter Dated March 5, 2007]</i></b>	<b><i>Staff's Comments</i></b>
<b><i>4. Status of Riparian and Wetland Habitat</i></b>	Provide a status on Riparian and Wetland Habitat mitigation required in Condition 38 of CUP 86-312-(5).	<p><u>County Requirement</u></p> <p>Compliance with condition 38 was met with the construction of the Arroyo Seco mitigation project in Pasadena. Mitigation included development of approximately 22.5 acres of woodlands that contained 4.2 acres of stream zone and wetland.</p> <p>The project was turned over to the City of Pasadena in February 2004.</p>	BFI is in compliance with the provisions of existing CUP.
<b><i>4. [Cont'd]</i></b>	[Also provide status of City's [Q] Condition C-9]	<p><u>City Requirement</u></p> <p>A design for the mitigation project at Chatsworth Reservoir has been completed and approved by the Army Corp of Engineers and the California Department of Fish and Game. BFI is currently resolving land purchase and easement issues with the City of Los Angeles. Construction of this mitigation project will commence following resolution of these issues.</p>	BFI appears to be in compliance with the City's zoning ordinance.

## SUMMARY OF FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S REQUEST AND BFI'S RESPONSE

	<b><i>Facility &amp; Plan Review Subcommittee's Request of February 8, 2007</i></b>	<b><i>BFI's Response [DEI Letter Dated March 5, 2007]</i></b>	<b><i>Staff's Comments</i></b>
<b><i>5. Status of Oak Tree Mitigation and Vegetative Cover Requirements</i></b>	Provide status on Oak Tree Mitigation and vegetative cover requirements in Conditions 42 thru 47 of CUP 86-312-(5).	No response provided	<p><u>Oak Tree Mitigation – County-side</u></p> <p>Staff's review of the Biennial Report shows that as of December 2004, a total of 3,497 oak trees and 40 bigcone Douglas-fir trees had been removed for project construction. At the required mitigation ratios of 2:1 oak and 5:1 bigcone Douglas-fir, 6,994 oak trees and 200 bigcone Douglas-fir trees of qualifying size are required for tree loss mitigation. Overall, BFI has provided and/or planted for mitigation a total of 9,078 oak trees and 675 bigcone Douglas-fir trees.</p> <p><i>(Ref: Sunshine Canyon Landfill Oak Tree Mitigation Monitoring Report No. 12, dated July 11, 2005).</i></p>

## SUMMARY OF FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S REQUEST AND BFI'S RESPONSE

	<b><i>Facility &amp; Plan Review Subcommittee's Request of February 8, 2007</i></b>	<b><i>BFI's Response [DEI Letter Dated March 5, 2007]</i></b>	<b><i>Staff's Comments</i></b>
	[Also provide status of City's [Q] Condition C-10-b].	No response provided	<p><u>Vegetative Cover</u></p> <p>BFI needs to provide a description of its current and future program for implementing vegetation on temporary and permanent slopes in compliance with provisions of existing CUP and the City's zoning ordinance.</p>
<b><i>6. Closure Plan and Evidence of Financial Assurance</i></b>	Provide a copy of the Closure/Post-Closure Maintenance Plan, including evidence of financial assurance.	<p>Closure/Post-Closure Plans included as Section 9 of the County Extension Joint Technical Documents is included as attachments M &amp; N.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Letter dated February 15, 2007, to the Waste Board including evidence of financial assurance in the form of an updated Certificate of Insurance for the County Extension (attachment M)</li> <li>- A March 1, 2007, letter from the Waste Board indicating compliance with Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations.</li> </ul>	<p>Plan and evidence of financial assurance are approved by the CIWMB.</p> <p><i>[Plan and evidence of financial assurance required pursuant to the new replacement CUP 00-194-(5) have yet to be submitted for review and approval by the County's Technical Advisory Committee].</i></p>

## SUMMARY OF FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S REQUEST AND BFI'S RESPONSE

	<b><i>Facility &amp; Plan Review Subcommittee's Request of February 8, 2007</i></b>	<b><i>BFI's Response [DEI Letter Dated March 5, 2007]</i></b>	<b><i>Staff's Comments</i></b>
<b><i>7. Copy of issues Raised by the North Valley Coalition</i></b>	Provide a copy of the Summary of Comments and Responses to the letter dated January 18, 2007, from the attorneys representing the North Valley Coalition <i>(DPW to provide)</i> .	N/A	See Attachment O
<b><i>7. Copy of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Summary</i></b>	Provide copy of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Summary dated December 2006, prepared for replacement CUP 00-196-(5) <i>(DPW to provide)</i> .	N/A	See Attachment P
<b><i>8. Copy of Existing FOCs issued to the Facility</i></b>	Provide copy of the FOCs for the facility dated August 15, 1991 (County-side landfill) and April 17, 2003 (City-side landfill) <i>(DPW to provide)</i> .	N/A	See Attachment Q
<b><i>9. Clarify if the Notice of Determination is necessary</i></b>	Provide clarification on the necessity of the Notice of Determination. If an NOD is necessary, provide a status.	No response was provided.	Staff's consultation with the Department of Regional Planning shows that an NOD was filed for the project. We will provide an update when the process is completed.

## SUMMARY OF FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE'S REQUEST AND BFI'S RESPONSE

	<b><i>Facility &amp; Plan Review Subcommittee's Request of February 8, 2007</i></b>	<b><i>BFI's Response [DEI Letter Dated March 5, 2007]</i></b>	<b><i>Staff's Comments</i></b>
<b><i>10. Letter indicating Condition 10(b) of Existing CUP has been fully satisfied or accept the new replacement CUP</i></b>	Provide a written determination from the Director of the Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning indicating that provisions of Condition 10(b) of CUP 86-312-(5) have been met allowing the development of proposed Phases V, VI, and VII <u><b>OR</b></u> a copy of the Affidavit of Acceptance showing that the replacement CUP 00-196-(5) is in effect.	BFI intends to accept the replacement CUP on or around April 1, 2007.	Staff recommends that the Subcommittee defer any action until BFI has fully complied with all Subcommittee's request.

P:\eppub\ENGPLAN\MARTIN\FOC\SCL-FOC Response Table-03-08-2007.doc