

Draft Minutes  
Upper San Gabriel River and Rio Hondo Sub-Region  
Steering Committee Meeting

April 26, 2007 – 1:30 p.m.  
San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority  
1720 Cameron Avenue, Suite 100, West Covina, CA

1. Introductions

Participants introduced themselves.

Attendees:

Ed Means	Cindy DeChaine
Andree Hunt	Aracely Lasso
Tony Zampielo	Carol Williams
Shirley Birozik	Grace Burgess
Terri Grant	Frank Kuo
Jeff Helsley	

2. Update from Leadership Committee Meeting

Frank Kuo provided an update from the March Leadership Committee meeting.

- LA County Flood Control will draft a document to the state with suggestions on factors for splitting Prop 84 funds.
- May Leadership Committee meeting will be held at MWD

3. Prop 50 and 84 Updates

Ed Means provided an update on Prop 50 Round 2 funding. An overview is included in the attached presentation

4. Review of Decision-Making Structure

A review of the decision-making structure is included in the attached presentation. Comments on the decision-making structure included:

- Discussion on the potential LC role “divide regional grant funding equitably”
  - How will LC do this?
  - Group was comfortable with this role for LC
- Discussion on WMA representatives
  - Could set up criteria for WMAs and ask sub-regions to nominate regional representatives not necessarily from own sub-region
  - Don’t like having LC select WMA reps
  - Could have sub-regions identify WMAs most important to them; LC could determine which WMA a sub-region is responsible for based on that input

- Could allow SCs to select representatives of their choice without WMA restrictions; LC could appoint an additional rep if there was a lack of representation from a WMA
- Could have a dedicated seat for LA County Sanitation District on LC to ensure representation from Sanitation.
- Discussion on who would contribute to mid-term and long-term funding
- Final decision was that each sub-region should appoint two reps that do not represent that same WMA to the LC; LC should have ability to add an additional rep if a WMA is not represented.
- Group was comfortable with LC members voting on their own projects

## 5. Prioritization Criteria Discussion

Tom West provided a summary of the draft prioritization framework via telephone. Slides from his presentation are attached. Comments on the framework can be sent to Tom West or Ed Means by the end of the day on Wednesday, May 2<sup>nd</sup>. Steering Committee discussion on the draft prioritization framework included:

- Readiness to proceed should have a lot of bearing on where projects fall on the list, since grant funding is the main reason for prioritizing projects
  - Other reasons for prioritizing projects where readiness to proceed would not be key component were discussed.
  - Prioritization framework is a tool to help Steering Committees
- Discussion on points for high profile/demo projects- what if project is not contributing to goals?
- Table 6 would have to tie back to decision that each sub-region gets a certain amount of money; otherwise, it would skew the whole process
- Overall likes where the framework is heading; consultant did a good job.
- Readiness to proceed framework does not allow for non-construction projects to obtain full points value; needs to be adjusted.

## 6. Overview of May USGR&RH Project Workshops

- Will need to go through projects linearly and spend about ten minutes on each in order to look at each

## 7. Schedule

- Thursday, May 24<sup>th</sup>, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm
- Tuesday, May 29<sup>th</sup>, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm
- Monday, June 18<sup>th</sup>, 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm